

---

**From:** Barry Fass-Holmes [b2fhds@fastermac.net]  
**Sent:** Sunday, August 08, 2004 9:42 PM  
**To:** ADMIN-S&E  
**Subject:** Don't undermine NEPA protections

August 08, 2004

Department of Homeland Security  
Environmental Planning, Office of Safety and Environment Washington, D.C. 20528

Dear Secretary Ridge and Homeland Security staff,

I strongly oppose the Department of Homeland Security's current proposal for implementing the National Environmental Policy Act. The proposal would allow too many exclusions from NEPA and could close off government activities that have previously operated publicly.

One of NEPA's purposes is to allow public review of agency actions that may adversely affect the environment. The department's proposal would impede that purpose with its overly broad use of categorical exclusions.

While categorical exclusions are useful for exempting routine activities that pose no risk of environmental harm, some of the proposed exclusions involve types of activities that would cause significant harm. For example, construction of fences and barriers by the Border Patrol could impede wildlife migration and degrade wilderness values, while ground patrols in border areas could destroy or damage critical habitat for endangered species.

Some proposed categorical exclusions, such as logging and disposal of waste and hazardous material, should be completely abandoned, while many other items should be narrowed in scope.

The proposal goes well beyond what is necessary to protect national security, and risks destroying the very democratic ideals that the Department of Homeland Security was created to protect.

I urge you to limit the use of categorical exclusions and the withholding of information as narrowly as possible.

Please let me know what you will do about this important issue.

Sincerely,

Barry Fass-Holmes  
10250 Caminito Cuervo Unit 28  
San Diego, CA 92108-1806  
USA  
b2fhds@fastermac.net