



DHS Exhibit 300 Public Release BY09 (Form) / CBP - License Plate Reader (LPR) (2009) (Item)

Form Report, printed by: Administrator, System, Feb 6, 2008

OVERVIEW

General Information

| | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| 1. Date of Submission: | Sep 6, 2007 |
| 2. Agency: | Department of Homeland Security |
| 3. Bureau: | Security, Enforcement and Investigations (SEI) |
| 4. Name of this Capital Asset: | CBP - License Plate Reader (LPR) (2009) |
| 5. Unique ID: | 024-50-01-02-01-5091-00 |

(For IT investments only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)

All investments

6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2009?

(Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M ONLY in FY2009, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2009 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)

Operations and Maintenance

7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?

FY2001 or earlier

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap. [LIMIT: 2500 char]

The initial vision for a license plate reading tool was developed by the U.S. Customs Service and was implemented in partnership with the Immigration and Naturalization Service through a contract beginning in 1998. The License Plate Reader (LPR) is a tool (cameras and sensors) that captures an image of a vehicle's license plates. The LPR System removes the burden from CBP Officers of manually entering into TECS each license plate's information as vehicles cross. The Vehicle Counter System (VCS) was also implemented in 2000. The purpose of the VCS equipment is to count the number of vehicles passing through the border. Per regulations CBP must report the total number of crossings. The VCS capability utilizes the LPR equipment to count the number of vehicles passing through each lane as they enter the United States. LPRs have been installed on 411 lanes at 65 ports of entry and on 3 lanes at the CBP training facility.

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?

Yes

9.a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?

Jan 20, 2005

10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?

Yes

12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project?

No

12.a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?

Yes

12.b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)

No

12.b.1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?

12.b.2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?

12.b.3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?

13. Does this investment support one of the PMA initiatives?

Yes

If "yes," select the initiatives that apply:

Human Capital

Budget Performance Integration

| | |
|---|-----|
| Financial Performance | |
| Expanded E-Government | Yes |
| Competitive Sourcing | |
| Faith Based and Community | |
| Real Property Asset Management | |
| Eliminating Improper Payments | |
| Privatization of Military Housing | |
| R and D Investment Criteria | |
| Housing and Urban Development Management and Performance | |
| Broadening Health Insurance Coverage through State Initiatives | |
| Right Sized Overseas Presence | |
| Coordination of VA and DoD Programs and Systems | |

13.a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)

The LPR/VCS Project supports the PMA as follows:

Expanded E-Government: LPR data is used by CBP, the National Insurance Crime Board, the Arizona Automobile Theft Association, and the Arizona Department of Public Safety.

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)?

Yes

14.a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review?

No

14.b. If "yes," what is the name of the PART program assessed by OMB's Program Assessment Rating Tool?

CBP - Border Security Inspections and Trade Facilitation at POE's Program

14.c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?

Effective

15. Is this investment for information technology (See section 53 for definition)?

Yes

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)?

Level 1

17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)

(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment

18. Is this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4-FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)

No

19. Is this a financial management system?

No

19.a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?

19.a.1. If "yes," which compliance area: [LIMIT: 250 char]

19.a.2. If "no," what does it address? [LIMIT: 500 char]

19.b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52. [LIMIT: 2500 char]

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2009 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

| Area | Percentage | |
|--------------|------------|---|
| Hardware | 0.00 | |
| Software | 0.00 | |
| Services | 96.35 | |
| Other | 3.65 | |
| Total | 100.00 | ★ |

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

N/A

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?

No

Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas?

No

| |
|----------------------------|
| SUMMARY OF SPENDING |
|----------------------------|

| |
|---|
| SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (In Millions) |
|---|

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

All amounts represent Budget Authority

| | PY-1 & Earlier | PY | CY | BY |
|------------------------------|----------------|--------|-------|-------|
| | -2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 |
| Planning: | | | | |
| Budgetary Resources | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Acquisition: | | | | |
| Budgetary Resources | 31.938 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| TOTAL, sum of stages: | | | | |
| Budgetary Resources | 31.938 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Maintenance: | | | | |
| Budgetary Resources | 15.276 | 9.867 | 7.177 | 4.300 |
| TOTAL, All Stages | | | | |
| Budgetary Resources | 47.214 | 9.867 | 7.177 | 4.300 |
| Government FTE Costs | 0.436 | 0.153 | 0.158 | 0.163 |
| # of FTEs | 3.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Total, BR + FTE Cost | 47.650 | 10.020 | 7.335 | 4.463 |

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies).

Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

No

2.a. If "yes," how many and in what year? [LIMIT: 500 char]

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2008 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes. [LIMIT: 2500 char]