



Center for Prevention Programs and Partnerships

October 15, 2024

Fiscal Year 2024 Report to Congress



**Homeland
Security**

Under Secretary for Management

Message from the Office of the Under Secretary for Management

October 15, 2024

I am pleased to provide the following report, “Center for Prevention Programs and Partnerships,” for Fiscal Year (FY) 2024, prepared by the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Center for Prevention Programs and Partnerships (CP3).

The report was compiled pursuant to direction set forth in the Joint Explanatory Statement, which accompanies the FY 2024 DHS Appropriations Act (P.L. 118-47).

Pursuant to congressional requirements, this report is provided to the following Members of Congress:



The Honorable Mark Amodei
Chairman, House Appropriations Subcommittee on Homeland Security

The Honorable Lauren Underwood
Ranking Member, House Appropriations Subcommittee on Homeland Security

The Honorable Chris Murphy
Chair, Senate Appropriations Subcommittee on Homeland Security

The Honorable Katie Britt
Ranking Member, Senate Appropriations Subcommittee on Homeland Security

Sincerely,

**RANDOLPH D
ALLES**

Digitally signed by RANDOLPH
D ALLES
Date: 2024.10.11 15:02:49
-04'00'

R.D. Alles
Deputy Under Secretary for Management

Executive Summary

CP3 strengthens the Nation’s ability to prevent targeted violence and terrorism through funding, training, evidence-based resources, increased public awareness, and partnerships across every level of government, the private sector, and local communities. This report provides specific information requested by Congress about the evidence base for CP3’s activities; the privacy, civil rights and civil liberties protections built into those activities; and detailed information about the Targeted Violence and Terrorism Prevention (TVTP) Grant Program.

The CP3 approach, including its TVTP Grant Program, is consistent with the founding mission of DHS — to prevent terrorism — while adapting to the changing threat landscape. In developing its approach to targeted violence and terrorism prevention, DHS relies on principles of violence prevention developed over the past several decades by institutions like the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. These principles are further informed by the most up-to-date research on targeted violence and terrorism prevention.

Key among these principles is the use of behavioral indicators to engage multidisciplinary behavioral threat assessment and management interventions along with addressing risk and protective factors relevant to violence and violence prevention. The Community Awareness Briefing and TVTP Grant Program are two CP3 initiatives that reference behavioral indicators as well as risk and protective factors. CP3 also conducts annual evaluations of TVTP Grant Program grantees, including those employing this approach to case management, which inform an evidence base and drive future resource allocation decisions.

One section of this report provides qualitative and quantitative program data from TVTP grantees that provide direct case management services to individuals who have exhibited behavioral indicators for violence. Data on direct services and referrals are provided on the 1,172 cases opened by our FY 2020 – FY 2023 case management grantees. In addition, the report includes eight illustrative case summaries that identify the concerning behaviors that led to an intervention, and how the grantee created a case management plan, informed by the relevant risk and protective factors in that person’s life, to reduce the prevalence of concerning behaviors and the likelihood of violence.

CP3’s work includes equipping its partners to prevent targeted violence and terrorism in their own communities. This includes providing them with the resources to protect the privacy, civil rights, and civil liberties of the of the individuals they work with. CP3 has a long-term, robust relationship with both the DHS Privacy Office and the DHS Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties (CRCL) to protect privacy, civil rights, and civil liberties through the measures outlined in this report. CP3 does not collect personally identifiable information about TVTP Grant Program participants, and does not participate in law enforcement investigations, intelligence collection, or other direct or indirect disruption of active threats.

Transparent practices are incorporated into every aspect of CP3’s approach to targeted violence and terrorism prevention. For example, as part of CP3’s grantee evaluation process, it publishes results, promising practices, and lessons learned to inform the above-mentioned evidence base for terrorism and targeted violence prevention. CP3 is committed to operating in a transparent manner while leading DHS’s targeted violence and terrorism prevention efforts.

CP3's targeted violence and terrorism prevention efforts nationwide coincide with a public demand for resources and support for preventing targeted violence. In FY 2024, DHS received the highest number and most geographically diverse set of qualified applications of any grant cycle to date receiving 178 eligible applications from 47 U.S. states and territories, including Washington, DC, requesting \$98.9 million for the available \$18 million.



Center for Prevention Programs and Partnerships

Table of Contents

I.	Legislative Language.....	1
II.	Background.....	1
III.	Evidence Base for Behavioral Indicators and Risk Factors Associated with Violence....	4
IV.	Privacy, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties Protections.....	10
V.	Targeted Violence and Terrorism Prevention Grant Program.....	13
VI.	Conclusion	17
VII.	Appendices.....	31
VIII.	List of Abbreviations	32

I. Legislative Language

This document was compiled pursuant to the direction set forth in the Joint Explanatory Statement, accompanying the Fiscal Year (FY) 2024 Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Appropriations Act (P.L. 118-47).

Joint Explanatory Statement states:

Within 180 days of the date of enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter, CP3 shall submit to the Committees and make publicly available online a report containing the following:

(1) for each risk factor or behavioral indicator used in CP3 trainings and programs, the evidence base supporting its inclusion, including peer-reviewed research validating its inclusion and whether the Federal Government has funded or supported the cited evidence;

(2) a description of all procedural and substantive privacy, civil rights, and civil liberties protections applicable to CP3 programs, whether administered directly by the Department, through grant recipients, or by other third parties and a detailed description of how CP3 monitors grant recipient compliance with Federal civil rights laws pursuant to 44 C.F.R. Part 7 and any other applicable statutory or regulatory provisions; and (3) beginning with the fiscal year 2020 grant cycle, detailed descriptions of:

(a) the operative policies for award decisions for each cycle, including the specific criteria for awarding grants and how they were applied;

(b) the performance metrics and evaluation criteria for grant recipients for each cycle;

(c) a summary of all ongoing evaluations of grantees, including evaluation criteria and performance metrics, as well as a list of all completed or published evaluations;

(d) the number of federal, state, and local criminal inquiries opened as a result of referrals from CP3-supported threat assessment and management teams;

(e) data on Federal inquiries, which shall be disaggregated by investigating agency, type of inquiry, Federal investigative classification, and further enforcement action to include arrest and prosecution;

(f) form of terrorism, or targeted violence to be addressed, disaggregated by fiscal year; and

(g) a full list of grant applications and the methodology used to assess grant applications.

II. Background

DHS created the Center for Prevention Programs and Partnerships (CP3) in 2021 to improve the Department's ability to prevent targeted violence and terrorism, consistent with privacy protections, civil rights, and civil liberties, and other applicable laws and policies. CP3 strengthens the Nation's ability to prevent targeted violence and terrorism nationwide, through funding, training, evidence-based resources and increasing public awareness across every level of government, the private sector, and local communities.

The Targeted Violence and Terrorism Prevention (TVTP) Grant Program and the CP3 approach are consistent with the founding mission of DHS — to prevent terrorism — while adapting to the changing threat landscape. In developing its approach to targeted violence and terrorism prevention, DHS relies on principles of violence prevention developed over the past several decades by the public health community. These principles are further informed by the most up-to-date research on targeted violence and terrorism prevention from DHS and other Federal agencies including the Department of Justice and the Department of Health and Human Services' Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Intervention requires awareness of common behavioral indicators, and an understanding of what resources are available to help prevent an individual from proceeding down a pathway to violence. Key among these principles is the use of multidisciplinary behavioral threat assessment and case management interventions to put the person on a healthier path away from violence by addressing individual risk and protective factors.

CP3 partners with the DHS Science and Technology Directorate (S&T), which conducts annual evaluations of TVTP grantees, which informs an evidence base and drives future resource allocation decisions. CP3 will continue to prioritize the administration of impactful, transparent, and evidence-based programming. In FY 2024, DHS received the highest number of qualified applications of any grant cycle to date (178), representing an approximate 80 percent increase from the 99 eligible applications received in FY 2023. This was also the most geographically diverse applicant pools with applications from 47 U.S. states and territories, including Washington, DC. In the aggregate, applicants requested approximately \$98.9 million in grant funding to accomplish this work — four and a half times the available grant funding of \$18 million. The TVTP Grant Program is the only federal financial assistance program dedicated to providing funding for local communities to establish or enhance targeted violence and terrorism prevention programs. DHS appreciates the opportunity to share this information with Congress and the public at a time when there is a strong demand for violence prevention support — including grant funding — for communities across the country.

III. Evidence Base for Behavioral Indicators and Risk Factors Associated with Violence

The Community Awareness Briefing (CAB) and TVTP Grant Program are two CP3 initiatives that reference behavioral indicators, as well as risk and protective factors. CP3's staff members provide the CAB to community members across the United States. While this briefing incorporates information about behavioral indicators and risk factors, the CAB also discusses protective factors that can mitigate the occurrence of risk factors. CP3 administers DHS's TVTP Grant Program, which references behavioral indicators and risk factors, but encourages programs to address protective factors in its annual Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO). CP3 emphasizes this strengths-based approach in public remarks and other written materials such as Prevention Resources.

Behavioral Indicators

The behavioral indicators CP3 references are derived from examinations of previous attempted or completed acts of targeted violence or terrorism. They are not predictive of violence, but when taken together, may serve as the impetus to refer an individual to a non-criminal justice intervention, or to notify law enforcement due to a concern for public safety. An individual may exhibit one or more behavioral indicators associated with violence without ever engaging in an act of targeted violence or terrorism, and many of these behaviors are in and of themselves common and lawful. Bystanders who are aware of behavioral indicators associated with previously attempted or completed acts of targeted violence and terrorism are better able to recognize and assess circumstances in which an intervention may help prevent an individual from proceeding down a pathway to violence.¹

The behavioral indicators associated with previously attempted or completed acts of targeted violence or terrorism referenced in the CAB include:²

- Expressing acceptance of violence.
- Online engagement with violent extremist content and/or individuals.
- Participating in online sites/groups that promote violent extremism.
- Unprecedented violence/aggression/violent outburst.
- Preparatory behavior for committing an act of violence.

¹ Smith, A.G. (June 2018). *Risk Factors and Indicators Associated with Radicalization to Terrorism in the United States*. Washington, DC: The National Institutes of Justice.

² NCTC, FBI, and DHS. (2021 Edition). *U.S. Violent Extremist: Mobilization Indicators*. Washington, DC: The Director of National Intelligence; Office of Intelligence and Analysis (2023). *National Threat Evaluation & Reporting Program Behavioral Approach to Violence Prevention*. Washington, DC: The Department of Homeland Security.

- Deepening desperation/despair that leads to the isolation of oneself from family and peers, particularly if citing violent ideology.
- Unusual acquisition of weapons or weapons expertise for the person of concern.
- Unusual building or testing of explosives for the person of concern.
- Prior threatening/concerning communication, or directly communicated threat - threatened someone, including their target.
- Expressed or implied intent to harm.
- Preoccupation/fixation with violent ideology or past violent extremist(s).
- Unusual preoccupation with death or end of life planning.

Below are the sources used for behavioral indicators for either the CAB or the TVTP Grant Program:

The National Counterterrorism Center (NCTC), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), and DHS publication of *U.S. Violent Extremist: Mobilization Indicators*, published by the Director of National Intelligence, from December 2021.³ This tri-seal NCTC, FBI, and DHS publication informs the CAB.

The National Threat Evaluation & Reporting Program Office (NTER), Intelligence and Analysis (I&A), DHS publication “Behavioral Approach to Violence Prevention” from 2023. NTER strengthens information sharing by bringing together experts and research from a wide variety of disciplines to provide operational awareness of emerging trends and topics in Behavioral Threat Assessment and Management (BTAM), targeted violence, and violence prevention. The referenced publication outlines common threatening or concerning behaviors identified across a wide variety of completed and averted acts of targeted violence.⁴ These behaviors should be viewed within an individual’s totality of circumstances, including life stressors, personal risk factors, and threat mitigators, to assess if a person is moving along a pathway to violence. I&A is funded through Congressional appropriations. This NTER publication informs the CAB.

The National Institute of Justice (NIJ), Department of Justice (DOJ) publications, including “Comparing Individuals Who Engage in Violent Extremism and Similar Acts: What Research Sponsored by the National Institute of Justice Tells Us,” from 2024;⁵ and “Risk Factors and Indicators Associated with Radicalization to Terrorism in the United States,” from June 2018.⁶ These reports provide a meta-analysis of previous NIJ research on terrorism. Based on the findings of research funded under the NIJ's Domestic Radicalization to Terrorism program, these

³ NCTC, FBI, and DHS. (2021 Edition). *Ibid.*

⁴ Office of Intelligence and Analysis (2023). *Ibid.*

⁵ Aryaeinejad, K. and Scherer, T. L. (2024). *Comparing Individuals Who Engage in Violent Extremism Research and Similar Acts: What Research Sponsored by the National Institute of Justice Tells Us*. Washington, DC: The National Institute of Justice.

⁶ Smith, A. G. (June 2018). *Ibid.*

reports summarize behaviors, offense types and potential indicators associated with engaging in or attempting to engage in terrorism and mass shootings among group-based and lone-actor terrorists in the United States. The NIJ is part of the DOJ and is funded through Congressional appropriations. These NIJ reports are resources made available for the TVTP Grant Program.

The National Threat Assessment Center (NTAC), U.S. Secret Service, DHS: NTAC provides several resources on mass attacks in public spaces and school violence they deem as instances of targeted violence. As part of the U.S. Secret Service, NTAC is funded through Congressional appropriations. These NTAC products are a resource for the TVTP Grant Program. Specific reports utilized are:

- NTAC. (July 2018). *Enhancing School Safety Using a Threat Assessment Model: An Operational Guide for Preventing Targeted School Violence*. Washington, DC: U.S. Secret Service.
- NTAC. (November 2019). *Protecting America's Schools: A U.S. Secret Service Analysis of Targeted School Violence*. Washington, DC: U.S. Secret Service.
- NTAC. (March 2021) *Averting Targeted School Violence: A U.S. Secret Service Analysis of Plots Against Schools*. Washington, DC: U.S. Secret Service.
- NTAC. (January 2023). *Mass Attacks in Public Spaces (2016-2020)*. Washington, DC: U.S. Secret Service.

Risk and Protective Factors

After an individual has exhibited behaviors associated with previous acts of targeted violence as referenced above, they may be referred to service providers, such as behavioral health specialists or social workers, or multidisciplinary teams of trained prevention providers, for the purposes of a threat assessment and case management. These professionals will then look at what strengths-based protective factors, such as mental health treatment, connection with a mentor, or substance abuse treatment, can help reduce the risk for negative outcomes, like targeted violence. Risk factors, like behavioral indicators, are not predictive of violence. The presence of relevant risk factors may inform a case management plan that decreases the likelihood of violence occurring.⁷

Examples of risk factors for targeted violence and terrorism referenced in the CAB include:⁸

- Perceived discrimination,
- Sense of superiority,
- Abuse,
- Job loss,
- Family violence, and
- Violent media exposure.

The CAB, the TVTP Grant Program, and other CP3 materials describe evidence-based protective factors to decrease the risk of violence or other negative outcomes, such as suicide or substance abuse. In addition to S&T research studies, these findings are drawn from public health evidence about protective factors that are relevant to multiple forms of violence.⁹

Strengthening protective factors can help mitigate the occurrence of risk factors. For violence prevention broadly, this includes supporting communities to ensure families have adequate access to basic needs, including medical care, mental/behavioral counseling, safe and stable housing, high-quality education, and economic opportunities, ensuring that individuals feel connected to others in the community.¹⁰ In the targeted violence and terrorism space, protective factors can include institutional trust, social support, parental involvement, and life satisfaction.¹¹

⁷ Smith, A. G. (June 2018). *Ibid.*

⁸ Wolfowicz, M., Litmanovitz, Y., Weisburd, D., & Hasisi B. (2021). *Cognitive and behavioral radicalization: A systematic review of the putative risk and protective factors*. Campbell Systematic Reviews, 17, e1174.

⁹ Wilkins, N., Tsao, B., Hertz, M., Davis, R., Klevens, J. (2014). Connecting the Dots: An Overview of the Links Among Multiple Forms of Violence. Atlanta, GA: National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Oakland, CA: Prevention Institute.

¹⁰ <https://www.cdc.gov/violence-prevention/about/index.html>

¹¹ Wolfowicz, M., Litmanovitz, Y., Weisburd, D., & Hasisi, B. (2021). *Ibid.* <https://doi.org/10.1002/cl2.1174>

Researchers further suggest that risk and protective factors for targeted violence and terrorism can vary depending on local demographics, geographic locations, and socio-political context.¹²

The CAB training materials, TVTP Grant Program, and other CP3 materials describing evidence-based risk and protective factors are derived from the resources below:

The NIJ, DOJ publication “Risk Factors and Indicators Associated with Radicalization to Terrorism in the United States” from June 2018.¹³ This is a meta-analysis of previous NIJ research on terrorism. Based on the findings of research funded under NIJ's Domestic Radicalization to Terrorism program, this report identifies and discusses potential risk factors associated with engaging or attempting to engage in terrorism among both group-based and lone-actor terrorists in the United States. The NIJ is funded through Congressional appropriations. This NIJ study is a resource for the TVTP Grant Program.

The Science and Technology Directorate (S&T), DHS-funded Campbell Collaboration report, “*Cognitive and Behavioral Radicalization: A Systematic Review of the Putative Risk and Protective Factors*,” from July 2021. The report provides findings and analysis on multiple risk factors for terrorism.¹⁴ S&T entered into a contractual agreement with the Campbell Collaboration, a non-profit organization, to build a global research initiative that uses rigorous methods to conduct relevant studies to inform policy and practice. The Campbell Collaboration is funded by multiple nations including the United States, United Kingdom, Norway, Canada, Australia, and Sweden to conduct systematic reviews on a number of topics. These partnerships help to support the Campbell Collaboration’s international program on countering violent extremism, relied upon by the Five Research and Development (5RD) Countering Violent Extremism Network, which is represented by Government research organizations in the United States, Canada, the United Kingdom, Australia, and New Zealand. The Risk and Protective Factors systematic review informs the CAB and is a resource for the TVTP Grant Program. Additional systematic reviews for targeted violence research topics are underway with additional reviews expected to be published over the next 12 months.¹⁵ In addition to these reviews, CP3 works closely with DHS S&T to further academic research on the baseline capabilities for behavioral threat assessment programs and case management processes, including through a program of ongoing research supported by the National Counterterrorism Innovation, Technology, and Education Center (NCITE), which is the DHS Center of Excellence at the University of Nebraska, Omaha.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s (CDC) National Center for Injury Control and Prevention report from 2014, “*Connecting the Dots: An Overview of the Links Between Multiple Forms of Violence*.” The report provides an overview of risk and protective

¹² Ellis, B., Miller, A., Sideridis, G., Frounfelker, R., Miconi, D., Abdi, S., Aw-Owman, F., & Rousseau, C. (2021). Risk and protective factors associated with support of violent radicalization: Variations by geographic location. *International Journal of Public Health*, <https://doi.org/10.3389/ijph.2021.617053>; National Institute of Justice. <https://nij.ojp.gov/library/publications/risk-and-protective-factors-associated-support-violent-radicalization>

¹³ Smith, A. G. (June 2018). *Ibid*.

¹⁴ Wolfowicz, M., Litmanovitz, Y., Weisburd, D., & Hasisi B. (2021). *Ibid*.

¹⁵ S&T project overview of research conducted with The Campbell Collaboration can be found here: https://www.dhs.gov/sites/default/files/publications/5077_counter_terrorism_systematic_reviews_factsheet_nov_2019_v2.pdf.

factors for multiple forms of violence, drawn from a range of evidence-based studies. CP3 works closely with CDC to integrate this evidence on the public health-informed approach to violence prevention as well as programs and materials describing shared risk and protective factors. CP3 also references CDC violence prevention resources from the [Veto Violence](#) campaign for grantees and the public to engage in violence prevention.

IV. Privacy, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties Protections

CP3 ensures there are adequate protections for individuals' privacy, civil rights, and civil liberties, as required under DHS legal authorities and DHS policy, as part of its mission and operations. Preventing targeted violence and terrorism requires the trust and voluntary involvement of all elements of society to be successful, and CP3 works to ensure that its programs include fundamental constitutional and legal protections. CP3 equips its partners to prevent targeted violence or terrorism in their own communities. As such, CP3 does not broadly collect information or participate in law enforcement investigations, intelligence collection, or other direct or indirect disruption of active threats. Additionally, CP3 does not engage in censorship or encourage or facilitate any censorship by its grant recipients. Government censorship of viewpoints not only infringes on individuals' constitutional rights, but it is also an ineffective tactic to prevent targeted violence and terrorism. The following section outlines CP3's commitment to upholding key constitutional protections. Much of these protections were outlined in the [FY 2023 Report to Congress](#).

In FY 2024, CP3 added new language to the privacy, civil rights, and civil liberties eligibility criteria in the FY 2024 NOFO to further clarify what CP3 considers protected by these criteria; new text is in *italics*:

Privacy, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties: Proposed projects shall uphold individual privacy, civil rights, and civil liberties. Applications shall describe *the project's plans for collection of personally identifiable information (PII), its purpose for the collection, its plans for the use and retention of such PII, and any potential impacts to privacy, civil rights, and civil liberties and ways in which applicants will prevent or mitigate those impacts and administer their projects in a nondiscriminatory manner. For example, proposed projects shall not profile or target individuals or groups based on their political affiliation or legally protected characteristics, including but not limited to their race, religion, ethnicity, gender, or sexual orientation, nor in any way infringe on First Amendment or other protected activities.* Applications that describe programs, projects, or activities that do not appropriately protect privacy, civil rights, or civil liberties will be deemed ineligible for selection and not reviewed or scored.

Preventing targeted violence and terrorism is not intended to be a way to develop law enforcement or intelligence tips or leads. However, a natural outcome of raising awareness of targeted violence and terrorism or of funding work to intervene with individuals on a pathway to violence is the involvement of law enforcement in certain circumstances. CP3 does not have, nor does it seek, the authority to be involved in the process of reporting imminent threats to law enforcement. Instead, CP3 is helping to create an ecosystem of practitioners that can provide non-law enforcement interventions to individuals in crisis and equip communities seeking to prevent violence. CP3 works with partners and grantees to establish protocols that involve law enforcement only when there is a credible threat of harm to self or others, or if they are a State or professional mandatory reporter.

Finally, CP3 has a long-term, robust relationship with both the DHS Privacy Office and the DHS Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties (CRCL), which includes proactive outreach; review of new materials, programs, and other projects; review of changes to programs, detailing staff between offices, joint meetings with stakeholders, and other routine interactions to ensure privacy, civil rights, and civil liberties protections.

CP3 and the TVTP Grant Program are governed by the Privacy Act of 1974 and the E-Government Act of 2002, which both cover the collection of PII by federal government agencies.¹⁶ CP3 and the TVTP Grant Program do not have a mission need to collect the PII of individuals participating in prevention activities. The only PII that CP3 collects and uses is from individuals who voluntarily provide their contact information for communication with CP3 (covered by the [Privacy Impact Assessment \(PIA\) and System of Records Notice \(SORN\) for DHS general contact lists](#)) and for applicants to the TVTP Grant Program to include: contact information and bank account information of recipients to facilitate communication about award decisions, recipient monitoring, and payment (Covered by the [Grant Management PIA](#) and [Non-Disaster Grants SORN](#)).

DHS is committed to ensuring that its recipients of DHS financial assistance, including recipients of the TVTP Grant Program, comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act and DHS's implementing regulations, as well as other federal laws and policy that collectively prohibit discrimination based on race, ethnicity, gender, national origin, age, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity, and disability in DHS-assisted programs and activities. As affirmed by the [Memorandum from Secretary Alejandro Mayorkas on May 25, 2023, "Reaffirming the Commitment to Nondiscrimination in Department of Homeland Security Activities"](#) :

The 2023 DOJ Guidance is the policy of the Department as it applies to Federal law enforcement personnel and Federal non-law enforcement personnel engaged in or supporting Federal law enforcement activity and intelligence activity conducted by Federal law enforcement agencies, except as described in this Policy Statement. Consideration of race, ethnicity, gender, national origin, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity, and disability in the Department's covered law enforcement activities shall occur only in strict accordance with the Standard and Application sections of the 2023 DOJ Guidance.

Specifically, the requirement to comply with Title VI is incorporated into financial assistance awards via the following DHS Standard term:

VI. Civil Rights Act of 1964 – Title VI

Recipients must comply with the requirements of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Pub. L. No. 88-352 (codified as amended at 42 U.S.C. § 2000d *et seq.*), which provides that no person in the United States will, on the grounds of race, color, or

¹⁶ “**Personally Identifiable Information**” or PII, is any information that permits the identity of an individual to be directly or indirectly inferred, including any other information that is linked or linkable to that individual, regardless of whether the individual is a U.S. citizen, legal permanent resident, visitor to the U.S., or employee or contractor to the Department. (DHS Instruction Manual 047-01-007, “*Handbook for Safeguarding Sensitive Personally Identifiable Information (PII)*”).

national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance. DHS implementing regulations for the Act are found at 6 C.F.R. Part 21 and 44 C.F.R. Part 7. Recipients of an award from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) must also comply with FEMA's implementing regulations at 44 C.F.R. Part 7.

Other relevant civil rights laws and requirements for compliance are outlined in [DHS Standard Terms and Conditions](#). The TVTP Grant Program consistently expands protections and seeks to implement promising practices with grantees with respect to protecting privacy, civil rights, and civil liberties.

The TVTP Grant Program has implemented the following measures to prevent privacy, civil rights, and civil liberties violations by TVTP grantees:

Eligibility

The TVTP Grant Program reviews all applications for eligibility, which includes being compliant with the privacy, civil rights, and civil liberties. For example, proposed projects cannot infringe on privacy, civil rights, or civil liberties, and proposals that do are eliminated immediately. When selecting grantees, the review panel closely considers concerns about privacy, civil rights, or civil liberties, and requires the requisite level of monitoring and mitigation needed to ensure compliance when the projects are implemented.

Training

TVTP Grant Program recipients, or grantees, receive mandatory training from CRCL, which covers (a) privacy, civil rights, and civil liberties considerations when implementing TVTP programs in their communities, and (b) how to design programs that are protective of these core constitutional and statutory requirements. CRCL also provides a virtual workshop on utilizing the Civil Rights Evaluation Tool as part of TVTP grantee kick-off meetings.

Minimum Standards for Certain Project Types

The CP3 Grants and Innovation Team, which manages the TVTP Grant Program, collaborated with grantees, CP3 staff with professional experience in threat assessment and management, and experts in threat assessment from the DHS NTER, the U.S. Secret Service NTAC, and the DHS Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) to develop the Minimum Standards for Recipients of Projects in Threat Assessment and Management Teams, Recidivism Reduction and Reintegration, and Referral Services.¹⁷ These standards for TVTP grantees include minimum standards for policies and procedures, as well as training and subject-matter expertise among key personnel. These minimum standards represent the current best practices for professional standards and the protection of civil rights, civil liberties, and privacy of individuals participating in or benefiting from these project types. Included in these standards are policies related to privacy and nondiscrimination.

Personally Identifiable Information

¹⁷ Find these standards on beginning on page 9 of Appendix A of this document.

The TVTP Grant Program is jointly managed by CP3 and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and is administered through the Non-Disaster Grants System (FY 2020, FY 2021, FY 2022, and FY 2023 cycles) and FEMA Grants Outcomes grant system (FY 2024 and future cycles). The TVTP Grant Program, like other FEMA non-disaster grants, is limited to collecting the contact information of applicants, and the contact information and bank account information of recipients to facilitate communication about award decisions, recipient monitoring, and payment. (Covered by the [Grant Management PIA](#) and [ND Grants SORN](#)).

Transparency

DHS recently launched the TVTP [Grantee Results](#) site, which shares lessons learned, promising practices, templates, training, reports and other grantee created materials to assist practitioners and the public learn more about the work of TVTP grantees and to replicate their projects in their own communities. Since FY 2020, CP3 has published the name of the recipient, a description of the project, the project type(s) awarded, and the amount for each awarded application when the awards for each fiscal year are announced. Full applications of awarded projects are released after award, with minimal redactions allowed (generally the PII of project staff) for proactive disclosures under the Freedom of Information Act. CP3 proactively directs prospective applicants to previous full proposals as resources to help educate applicants on how to design successful proposals, while also providing transparency to interested parties.

Content Review

CP3 works with many DHS offices and components to establish the annual priorities and major changes to the TVTP Grant Program and to review the materials developed by grantees and the CP3 Grants and Innovation Team to share publicly. The Privacy Office and CRCL are part of the core team that is consulted on the development of all major TVTP Grant Program documents and initiatives.

Award Terms

The TVTP Grant Program utilizes and monitors grantees' compliance with the [DHS Standard Terms and Conditions](#).

The most helpful tool for compliance with civil rights protections is CP3's partnership with CRCL. Included as a standard condition, grantees are required to complete the [DHS Civil Rights Evaluation Tool](#), which is a technical assistance tool administered by CRCL to assist recipients in understanding and being able to meet their civil rights requirements under their Federal award. Grantees must complete the DHS Civil Rights Evaluation Tool within 30 days of receipt of the Notice of Award.

Additionally, all the instructions, guidance, limitations, and other conditions set forth in the NOFO for this program are incorporated into the award terms and conditions, through an explicit reference to the NOFO requirements. All recipients must comply with any such requirements set forth in the NOFO. The TVTP Grant Program prohibits the transmission to DHS of PII from program participants by grant recipients. Beginning in FY 2020, the prohibition on providing DHS with PII in the FY 2024 NOFO includes the following Administrative Requirement (page 27):

Privacy of Project Participants and Beneficiaries:

DHS is committed to protecting the privacy, civil rights, and civil liberties of individuals in all funded activities. DHS expects recipients of DHS funding to share this commitment. Beyond adhering to the “Best Practices for Use and Collection of Personally Identifiable Information (PII)” included in the DHS Standard Terms and Conditions, grant recipients must limit the collection of PII to what is strictly necessary and relevant for the project’s implementation. Recipients must describe the types of PII that will be collected and identify the purposes, uses, and retention for such PII as well as any potential impacts to privacy, civil rights, and civil liberties and ways in which applicants will prevent or mitigate those impacts and administer their projects in a nondiscriminatory manner. Recipients are prohibited from transmitting any PII of program participants to DHS. In collecting information for measuring performance, program evaluation, and complying with DHS reporting requirements, data must be aggregated or anonymized before transmittal to ensure that individuals cannot be identified or be able to be re-identified.

This provision is highlighted in multiple trainings to grantees, as well as in briefings to prospective applicants. CP3 has strict policies of remediation and termination, in the event of intentional violations of this provision; accidental violations will result in remedial training. Regardless of the intention, unauthorized PII provided by a grantee to CP3 will be deleted and not used for any purpose, and CP3 will report a Privacy Incident to the Privacy Office in accordance with the DHS Privacy Incident Handling Guidance.¹⁸ On the first intentional offense, grantees will be provided with a written warning and remedial training for project, administrative, and other relevant grantee staff or volunteers. On a second intentional offense, CP3 will pursue termination of the award for noncompliance.

DHS is committed not just to ensuring compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act, but also to ensuring compliance of other laws that protect civil rights, civil liberties, and privacy. The TVTP Grant Program has five full-time positions overseeing portfolios of grant awards. In addition to monitoring performance, they monitor for compliance with the award terms. The following DHS standard terms included in TVTP Awards are relevant to privacy, civil rights, and civil liberties:

III. Age Discrimination Act of 1975

Recipients must comply with the requirements of the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, Pub. L. No. 94-135 (1975) (codified as amended at 42 U.S.C. § 6101 *et seq.*), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age in any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance.

IV. Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990

Recipients must comply with the requirements of Titles I, II, and III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, Pub. L. No. 101-336 (1990) (codified as amended at 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101– 12213), which prohibits recipients from discriminating on the basis of disability

¹⁸ Available here: <https://www.dhs.gov/publication/privacy-incident-handling-guidance-0>.

in the operation of public entities, public and private transportation systems, places of public accommodation, and certain testing entities.

V. Best Practices for Collection and Use of Personally Identifiable Information

Recipients who collect personally identifiable information (PII) are required to have a publicly available privacy policy that describes standards on the usage and maintenance of the PII they collect. DHS defines PII as any information that permits the identity of an individual to be directly or indirectly inferred, including any information that is linked or linkable to that individual. Recipients may also find the DHS Privacy Impact Assessments: Privacy Guidance and Privacy Template as useful resources respectively.

VI. Civil Rights Act of 1964 – Title VI

Recipients must comply with the requirements of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Pub. L. No. 88-352 (codified as amended at 42 U.S.C. § 2000d *et seq.*), which provides that no person in the United States will, on the grounds of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance. DHS implementing regulations for the Act are found at 6 C.F.R. Part 21 and 44 C.F.R. Part 7. Recipients of an award from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) must also comply with FEMA's implementing regulations at 44 C.F.R. Part 7.

VII. Civil Rights Act of 1968

Recipients must comply with Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968, Pub. L. No. 90-284, (codified as amended at 42 U.S.C. § 3601 *et seq.*) which prohibits recipients from discriminating in the sale, rental, financing, and advertising of dwellings, or in the provision of services in connection therewith, on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, disability, familial status, and sex as implemented by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development at 24 C.F.R. Part 100. The prohibition on disability discrimination includes the requirement that new multifamily housing with four or more dwelling units— i.e., the public and common use areas and individual apartment units (all units in buildings with elevators and ground-floor units in buildings without elevators)—be designed and constructed with certain accessible features. (See 24 C.F.R. Part 100, Subpart D.)

XII. Education Amendments of 1972 (Equal Opportunity in Education Act) – Title IX

Recipients must comply with the requirements of Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, Pub. L. No. 92-318 (1972) (codified as amended at 20 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.*), which provide that no person in the United States will, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any educational program or activity receiving federal financial assistance. DHS implementing regulations are codified at 6 C.F.R. Part 17. Recipients of an award from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) must also comply with FEMA's implementing regulations at 44 C.F.R. Part 19.

XXI. Limited English Proficiency (Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title VI)

Recipients must comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, (42 U.S.C. § 2000d *et seq.*) prohibition against discrimination on the basis of national origin, which requires that recipients of federal financial assistance take reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to persons with limited English proficiency to their programs and services. For additional assistance and information regarding language access obligations, please refer to the DHS Recipient Guidance: <https://www.dhs.gov/guidance-published-help-department-supported-organizations-provide-meaningful-access-people-limited> and additional resources on <http://www.lep.gov>.

XXIV. Nondiscrimination in Matters Pertaining to Faith-Based Organizations

It is DHS policy to ensure the equal treatment of faith-based organizations in social service programs administered or supported by DHS or its component agencies, enabling those organizations to participate in providing important social services to beneficiaries. Recipients must comply with the equal treatment policies and requirements contained in 6 C.F.R. Part 19 and other applicable statutes, regulations, and guidance governing the participations of faith-based organizations in individual DHS programs.

XXIX. Rehabilitation Act of 1973

Recipients must comply with the requirements of Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Pub. L. No. 93-112 (1973), (codified as amended at 29 U.S.C. § 794,) which provides that no otherwise qualified individual with a disability in the United States shall, solely by reason of their disability, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance.

XXXIX. Whistleblower Protection Act

Recipients must comply with the statutory requirements for whistleblower protections at 10 U.S.C § 2409, 41 U.S.C. § 4712, and 10 U.S.C. § 2324, 41 U.S.C. §§4304 and 4310.

V. Targeted Violence and Terrorism Prevention Grant Program

Operative Policies for Award Decisions

TVTP Grant Program Award decisions are made in accordance with the NOFO for each fiscal year. Each NOFO details the merit review process which requires applications be first reviewed for eligibility; eligible applications are then scored by teams of two subject matter experts (SME). Scores are reviewed and applications are selected for award based on score and compliance with the selection criteria and the program priorities.

From FY 2020 through FY 2024, the program has maintained the same selection criteria:

- Meeting the priorities and objectives identified in Section A and Appendix A¹⁹;
- Achieving diversity in project type while avoiding duplication of effort;
- Achieving geographic diversity (to include regions as well as type (e.g., urban, suburban, rural);
- Achieving diversity in eligible applicant type; and
- Maximizing the use of funds.

The FY 2020 TVTP Grant Program has the following priorities:

- Establishing and Enhancing Local Prevention Frameworks with an emphasis on Threat Assessment and Management Capabilities;
- Preventing Domestic Terrorism; and
- Innovative Solutions for Preventing Targeted Violence and Terrorism.

The FY 2021 TVTP Grant Program has the following priorities:

- Preventing Domestic Violent Extremism;
- Enhancing Local Threat Assessment and Management Capabilities;
- Implementing Innovative Solutions for Preventing Targeted Violence and Terrorism; and
- Challenging Online Violence Mobilization Narratives.

The FY 2022 and FY 2023 TVTP Grant Programs have the following priorities:

- Implementing Prevention Capabilities in Small and Mid-Sized Communities;
- Advancing Equity in Awards and Engaging Underserved Communities in Prevention;
- Addressing Online Aspects of Terrorism and Targeted Violence;
- Preventing Domestic Violent Extremism; and
- Enhancing Local Threat Assessment and Management Capabilities.

The FY 2024 TVTP Grant Program has the following priorities:

- Enhancing Recidivism Reduction and Reintegration Capabilities;
- Advancing Equity in Awards and Engaging Underserved Communities in Prevention;
- Addressing Online Aspects of Targeted Violence and Terrorism; and

¹⁹ For more information and for Appendix A of the TVTP NOFO for FY 2024 refer to: <https://grants.gov/search-results-detail/352057>. For TVTP NOFOs for the remaining fiscal years, please refer to grants.gov.

- Preventing Domestic Violent Extremism.

These award criteria were applied by the panel review team of SME scorers, and a reference to the selection criteria for each award is included in the decision memo approved by the Under Secretary for Strategy, Policy, and Plans for each cycle.

Performance Metrics and Evaluation Criteria

The TVTP Grant Program publishes the performance measures for each project type annually in the NOFO, Appendix B.²⁰ All grantees report on their performance measures on a quarterly basis. The grantee and grants manager assess progress toward meeting their goals on a bi-monthly basis. CP3 partners with S&T, who conducts independent and objective evaluations with third parties to ensure the Department is evaluating these prevention programs. Grantees are chosen for evaluation jointly by CP3 and S&T to fill knowledge gaps in the prevention evidence base. The remaining projects are reviewed at the conclusion of their period of performance by CP3 grants managers to assess whether the projects were implemented as designed, contributed to the development of any promising practices, or provided lessons learned. Successful grantee projects are examined for any useful models or templates that can be shared with other prevention practitioners.

The following award term is included in all TVTP Grant awards, beginning with FY 2021:

The DHS Center for Prevention Programs and Partnerships (CP3) will measure the recipient's performance in accordance with all required measures for each project type as identified in Appendix B of the Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO). The recipient's Implementation and Measurement Plan (IMP), submitted with their application package, must outline the recipient's timelines, data collection methods, outputs, and performance measurements for all proposed activities in accordance with the required measures within Appendix B, and must be approved by CP3 prior to program implementation as stated in the NOFO. Recipient shall submit performance data quarterly to be measured against their DHS-approved IMP and will meet regularly with DHS CP3 to assess ongoing progress. The recipient shall support and facilitate DHS CP3 Grants site visits to assess performance when applicable.

CP3 and S&T subject matter experts review IMPs, approve them before grant projects begin operation, and monitor for continued compliance and results.

Grantee Evaluation Summaries

CP3 works closely with DHS S&T to evaluate a subset of TVTP Grant recipients and has been evaluating seven awards per cycle between FY 2020 – FY 2023 and will evaluate additional awards selected in the FY 2024 cycle. CP3 and S&T jointly review the awarded applications

²⁰ For more information and for Appendix B of the TVTP NOFO for FY 2024 please refer to: <https://www.grants.gov/search-results-detail/352057>. For TVTP NOFOs for the remaining fiscal years please refer to [grants.gov](https://www.grants.gov). For ease of reference all past TVTP NOFOs can also be found on CP3's webpage here: <https://www.dhs.gov/publication/nofos-previous-tvtp-grant-programs>

each year to select projects where evaluation would help address a gap in the evidence base. Additionally, DHS seeks to evaluate a representative sample from each fiscal year cohort to include grantee type (nonprofit, university, SLTT government) and project types. Additionally, S&T in partnership with a DHS Center of Excellence, University of Nebraska Omaha-based NCITE, is conducting a process and outcome evaluation of the overall program, to examine TVTP Grant Program procedures, assess the evidence of grant program effectiveness, and provide recommendations for enhancing the TVTP Grant Program implementation in the future. CP3 and S&T will publish an evaluation report for each award under evaluation, as well as share other materials with practitioners, ultimately including close-out reports, evaluations reports, tools, and other resources created by grantees. The following is a listing of active TVTP Grant Program grantee evaluations. Project Types within the evaluation table are referred to by their Promising Practices Project Type number (1-8) or “Innovation”. The table provided below is for ease of reference:

Type #	Project Type Name
1	Raising Societal Awareness
2	Understanding Violent Content
3	Civic Engagement
4	Youth Resilience
5	Behavioral Threat Assessment and Management Teams
6	Bystander Training
7	Referral Services
8	Recidivism Reduction and Reintegration
N/A	Innovation

Award Year	Organization Name	Org Type	Project Types	Site Profile Estimated Completion Date and Link to Public Report, if available
FY 2020	Bay Area UASI	Local Government	1, 2, 5	Complete
	Counter Extremism Project	Non-profit	8	Complete
	University of Denver	Institute of Higher Education	1, 3, 5, 6	Complete
	National Governors Association	Non-profit	Innovation	Complete
	Arizona State University, McCain Institute	Institute of Higher Education	Innovation	Complete
	Life After Hate	Non-profit	Innovation	Complete

Award Year	Organization Name	Org Type	Project Types	Site Profile Estimated Completion Date and Link to Public Report, if available
	Citizens Crime Commission of New York City	Non-profit	Innovation	December 30, 2024
	Final Evaluation Report	NA	NA	Complete
FY 2021	Boston Children's Hospital	Non-profit	Innovation	FY 2024
	Case Western Reserve University	Institute of Higher Education	1, 5, 6	FY 2025
	Columbia University	Institute of Higher Education	Innovation	FY 2024
	Middlebury College	Institute of Higher Education	1, 2, 3, 4	FY 2024
	Palm Beach County Sheriff's	Local Government	5, 6, 7, 8	Complete
	University of Colorado – Boulder	Institute of Higher Education	1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7	FY 2025
	Virginia Dept Criminal Justice Services	State Government	1, 3, 5, 6	FY 2024
	Final Evaluation Report	NA	NA	FY 2025
FY 2022	Woodrow Wilson International School for Scholars' Serious Games Initiative	Non-profit	1, 2, 3	FY 2025
	Berkeley County City Council	Local Government	1, 3, 5	FY 2025
	DC Homeland Security and Emergency	Local Government	3, 5, 7	FY 2025

Award Year	Organization Name	Org Type	Project Types	Site Profile Estimated Completion Date and Link to Public Report, if available
	Management Agency			
	Southern Illinois University Edwardsville	Institute of Higher Education	1, 2, 3	FY 2025
	Global Peace Foundation	Non-profit	1, 3, 4	FY 2025
	American University	Institute of Higher Education	2, 3	FY 2025
	Final Evaluation Report	NA	NA	FY 2025
FY 2023	University of Texas El Paso	Institute of Higher Education	1, 2, 3, 4	FY 2026
	Educational Services District #123	Local Government	4, 5, 6, 8	FY 2026
	Search For Common Ground	Non-Profit	1, 2, 3, 6	FY 2026
	SMYAL	Non-Profit	1, 4	FY 2026
	Strong Cities Network	Non-Profit	Innovation	FY 2026
	Health Quality Partners of Southern California	Non-Profit	5	FY 2026
	One World Strong	Non-Profit	1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8	FY 2026
	Final Evaluation Report	NA	NA	FY 2026

Public Health-Informed Approach to Violence Prevention

CP3 advocates for and supports a public health-informed approach to TVTP, which focuses on strengthening the health, safety, and well-being of entire populations. Central to this approach is the investment in the primary, secondary, and tertiary levels of prevention. The eight promising

practices project types outlined above range from primary to tertiary prevention, which can be understood as follows:

- **Primary Prevention Programming:** Projects that decrease the likelihood of targeted violence and terrorism by enhancing protective factors from the individual to societal levels that reduce the risk of violence, such as by fostering connectedness and social inclusion, enhancing community awareness, and supporting civic engagement.
- **Secondary Prevention Programming:** Projects that decrease the likelihood of targeted violence and terrorism among individuals exhibiting behaviors associated with previous acts of targeted violence and terrorism, such as by fostering referral networks to public health prevention providers and behavioral threat assessment and management teams, or by implementing bystander intervention training.
- **Tertiary Prevention Programming:** Projects that decrease the likelihood of targeted violence and terrorism among individuals previously engaged in those forms of violence, such as through diversion, rehabilitation, or reintegration programs.

Many CP3 projects increase skills relevant to these levels of prevention directly with recipients or indirectly with third party prevention practitioners activated by TVTP grantees.

Case Management Data

In line with CP3's public health-informed approach to targeted violence and terrorism prevention, a subset of TVTP Grant Program projects implementing secondary and tertiary prevention projects work directly with individuals exhibiting behavioral indicators associated with the pathway to violence. These fall under TVTP Grant Program project types: 5) Behavioral Threat Assessment and Management Teams, 7) Referral Services, and 8) Recidivism Reduction and Reintegration Services. To assess the performance of these projects, grantees provide performance measures to CP3 which include anonymized quantitative and qualitative data about their cases.

The goal of these projects is to work with individuals exhibiting behavioral indicators for violence, identified in Section III of this report, to provide them with the services and support necessary to get off a pathway to violence. While projects can differ in their approaches and the populations they serve, they must each meet the program's minimum standards outlined in the NOFO for these case management project types (see Appendix A of this report). Case management grantees provide individuals with in-house services and make referrals to external providers as part of case management plans. Successful interventions can save lives while also averting the direct and indirect costs of violence, which can total millions for a single mass casualty event.²¹ Furthermore, a 2019 RAND analysis found that even before accounting for the averted costs associated with violence, successful prevention efforts pay for themselves by decreasing the number of investigations, trials, and incarcerations.²²

²¹ Mueller, J., & Stewart, M. G. (2021). Terrorism and Bathtubs: Comparing and Assessing the Risks. *Terrorism and Political Violence*, 33(1), 138–163. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09546553.2018.1530662>.

²² Jackson, B., Rhoades, A., Reimer, J., Lander, N., Costello, K., Beaghley, S. (2019). *Practical Terrorism Prevention: Reexamining U.S. National Approaches to Addressing the Threat of Ideologically Motivated Violence*. RAND Corporation. https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RR2647.html.

Seventeen TVTP grantee projects have directly managed cases from FY 2020 to FY 2023. FTVTP grantees have opened 1,172 cases across the case management project types. Of the 1,172 individual cases, 767 were managed by the grantee providing direct services (65 percent), while 405 (35 percent) individual cases involved one or more referrals to a resource external to the grantee. In many cases, individuals have received multiple services and/or referrals. Across the 1,172 cases, TVTP grantees provided services in 1,194 instances, and made 881 referrals to external resources.

The below chart shows the services provided by grantees to individuals in case management projects:

Services Provided by Program	
Counseling (Mental/Behavioral Health)	459
School/Workplace Accommodations	195
Conflict Resolution	181
Job Training/Skill Development	93
Faith-Based Counseling	18
Housing Assistance	4
Other	244
Total	1194

Of the 881 referrals made, a total of 70 percent were for mental/behavioral health counseling (54 percent) or social services (16 percent). Of the 1,172 cases opened by TVTP case management grantees, only 77, or 6.5 percent of cases, resulted in a referral to law enforcement for a potential criminal investigation based on the discovery that the person exhibiting behavioral indicators for violence posed an imminent threat to others.

The below chart shows the external referrals as reported by the case management grantees (FY 2020 – FY 2023):

Cases Referred Externally	
Mental/Behavioral Health Counseling	476
Social Services	141
Law Enforcement Total	77
Local	52
State	10
Federal	15
Housing Assistance	59
Job Training/Skill Development	48
Faith-Based Counseling	7
Other External Referral ²³	73
Total External Referrals	881

²³ Other referrals reported by grantees include primary care providers, food banks, mentoring programs, extracurricular activities and memberships, volunteer opportunities, and educational programming.

The NOFOs from FY 2020 – FY 2024 do not require grantees to collect additional information about cases referred externally. As such, DHS does not receive or have access to information about how cases referred to law enforcement are handled. DHS cannot identify the type of inquiry or the investigative classification for any cases referred by grantees to law enforcement. CP3 assesses that all or most of the Federal law enforcement referrals were made to the FBI, but because DHS cannot collect PII relating to these cases, DHS is not able to follow up with the FBI or other law enforcement agencies to obtain this information. Similarly, when grantees have partnered with outside organizations that are not funded through the TVTP Grant Program to develop similar intervention capabilities, in particular BTAMs, the case management data for those third-party partners are not captured by grantees or CP3. CP3 is aware of thousands of cases initiated by grantee partners or by former grantees who have continued to expand case management following their period of performance.

Case Summaries

The below case summaries, identified by our case management grantees and shared with CP3 without personally identifiable information, are an illustrative sample of the 1,172 cases that our grantees have managed through their TVTP grant funded projects.²⁴ Each involve a threat of violence or a self-referral from an individual seeking to desist from violence, followed by case management activities to reduce the likelihood of violence.

1: Life After Hate, FY 2020 TVTP Award

Primary Ideology: Racially and Ethnically Motivated Violent Extremism (REMVE)-Antisemitic

A client self-identified as a “Neo-Nazi,” holding violent, antisemitic beliefs for over 30 years reached out to Life After Hate in the summer of 2021 stating, “I don’t know what I want, but (my beliefs) are becoming a problem.” He worked closely with a peer mentor from Life After Hate who helped him to challenge his long-held antisemitic and racist beliefs. The client began disposing of his Nazi paraphernalia, including flags and clothing emblazoned with swastikas and “WWII memorabilia” (his terminology for Nazi artifacts). He now believes, “violence isn’t right,” and independently challenges the violent rhetoric directed at Jewish people. He has begun developing and nurturing friendships with prosocial people outside of Violent White Supremacist Extremism (VWSE). Near the end of the grant period, the peer mentor succeeded in convincing the client to work more actively with the social worker assigned to his case to find and access mental health services to address the effects of childhood trauma, abuse, and neglect.

2: Boston Children’s Hospital, FY 2020 TVTP Award

Primary Ideology: Unknown / Nonapplicable

A student was assessed by Boston Children’s Hospital school-based Threat Assessment Teams and then referred to their Massachusetts Area Prevention Program (MAPP) clinic for bringing

²⁴ These case summaries are not necessarily representative nor generalizable to the entire population served by these grants.

weapons to school, as well as for behavioral issues, including fighting and assaulting peers and staff. After their referral, the student received numerous services via MAPP, including school advocacy for their academic and social-emotional needs; the support and presence of family in school disciplinary meetings; Individual Education Plan meetings; individual therapy; and coaching for their parents. The student also received referrals to positive outlets such as a boys and girls club, youth athletic leagues, a Big Brother program, and summer camp. Finally, MAPP also provided information, support, and consultation to providers involved with the case, including school staff, lawyers, and probation officers, as well as crisis stabilization for the student when necessary. After receiving these services from MAPP, the student experienced multiple positive outcomes, including that they are engaged in therapy weekly; their father continues to grow as a parent/caregiver; the client has engaged productively in all activities to which they were referred (sports, camp, afterschool program); and after overcoming longstanding hesitation from the family, they have started medication which has helped significantly. Since receiving these services, the client has experienced significantly less engagement in violence.

3: Palm Beach County Sheriff's Office, FY 2021 TVTP Award Primary Ideology: Personal Grievance

The Palm Beach County Sheriff's Office (PBSO) Targeted Violence Unit (TVU) conducted significant outreach to the local community in coordination with establishing their BTAM team and other targeted violence prevention services. As a result of outreach to local colleges and universities, a student at a local college reported online messages threatening mass casualty violence at local campuses to their college administration. The college then contacted the TVU to share the report. The individual who posted the messages included specific targets (people and places) in the messages, sent messages seeking to purchase an AR-15 rifle online, and indicated he had the resources and ability to procure similar weapons. After TVU reviewed the messages, they identified the individual and committed him to mental health care under Florida's Baker Act. During the Baker Act detention, the individual confirmed for the PBSO detectives that he made the threatening posts, the law enforcement community determined that the individual had already crossed a criminal threshold, and that he remained an active threat. As a result, the individual was charged with making terroristic threats and continues to be held in Palm Beach County Jail with a \$1 million bail.

4: Los Angeles County District Attorneys' Office, FY 2021 TVTP Award Primary Ideology: Anti-LGBTQ+ Violent Extremism

After violating California Penal Code section 243(d) (aggravated battery with serious bodily injury) a client was instructed to participate in the Los Angeles County District Attorneys' Office Reconciliation Education and Counseling Crimes of Hate (REACCH) Program, launched via their TVTP grant award. The client had physically and verbally assaulted a member of the LGBTQ+ community, referring to them with homophobic slurs during the violent assault. During the REACCH Program – a 40-week program comprised of weekly individual and group

counseling sessions led by Gateways Hospital and Mental Health Center – licensed clinicians worked with the client to address key treatment foci. These included their history of impulsivity and poor anger management, their insecurity stemming from tumultuous life events during their youth in particular, such as their family’s abrupt descent into poverty, and their status as a high-school dropout. By the end of the program, Gateways clinicians reported that the client’s answers showed improved self-awareness and progress in expressing themselves compared to their pre-program survey answers. The clinicians further stated that the client has severe trauma that could take years of therapy to repair, but that by the end of the program, the client was able to acknowledge that they were in the wrong in the incident, and they showed deep remorse for their actions. The client’s biases and personal issues are continuing to be addressed, and they have expressed a desire to rehabilitate, not only for their own sake, but that of their family and friends.

5: Michigan State Police, FY 2021 TVTP Award
Primary Ideology: Other Domestic Terrorism/Non-Specific

The Michigan State Police BTAM team received a referral from a local law enforcement agency about an individual who had been leaving multiple voicemails for a state senator, coming to the senator’s office building demanding to speak with the senator repeatedly, and who then stated he was going to buy a gun and return to the office. He was referred to the multidisciplinary BTAM team who reviewed this case, did a thorough background investigation, interviewed collateral contacts and the subject of concern, and completed a threat assessment. The team identified risk factors including employment/financial concerns, emotional instability, and multiple traumatic brain injuries. The individual had very strong protective factors including a very healthy and stable relationship with his adult daughter and young granddaughter. While the statements that this individual made were concerning, there was no behavioral evidence of pre-attack behavior. The team created a case management and mitigation plan that included giving the individual appropriate avenues to express his concerns to the senator, such as writing letters, attending open forums, and voicing concerns without making threatening statements. The team was able to provide him with the paperwork needed to solve some issues with his driver’s license, allowing him to obtain a valid license again. The team also enhanced protective factors by encouraging him to talk about his concerns with his daughter and allowing her to assist him, and to ensure he set a good example for his granddaughter. Since the team has worked with this individual, he has not engaged in any concerning behavior.

6: Parents for Peace, FY 2022 Award:
Ideology: REMVE – Antisemitism

The psychologist of an adolescent called Parents for Peace’s (P4P) helpline in April 2023 because of concerns regarding their client’s antisemitic beliefs and fascination with weapons. P4P met with the client’s parents, who reiterated concerns about their child’s antisemitic beliefs, desire to access weapons, and acute mental health symptoms. After ensuring the family was

connected with appropriate mental health resources and assessing the potential risk for violence, P4P worked with the parents and psychologist to help them understand the client's antisemitic beliefs. P4P recommended that the parents focus on their relationship with their child and accompany them to pro-social activities. Additionally, P4P suggested limiting the child's unsupervised access to the internet. The parents agreed to this strategy, and eventually allowed P4P to meet with the client individually. During these sessions with the client, P4P learned that the motivation behind the antisemitic beliefs were associated with a falling out with a friend group at her school. By this time, the 2023 school year was over and the summer break had begun. Throughout the summer, the client attended multiple camps. At these camps, she met new friends and developed skills. The family also received a more accurate mental health diagnosis of their child from a psychiatrist, prompting a change in treatment. By the end of the summer, the client was excited to start a new year at school. P4P remained with the family in the event help was needed during this transitional phase. Fortunately, P4P's services were no longer necessary after the client ceased exhibiting concerning behaviors. P4P off-ramped the family, encouraging them to continue engaging with their child and remaining a safe, supportive presence.

7: Parents for Peace, FY 2022 TVTP Award
Ideology: International Terrorism

The mother of an adolescent called P4P helpline in April 2024 because she was concerned about her son's violent extremist beliefs. She was referred to P4P by a local FBI Special Agent who had been investigating her son. The FBI flagged the individual for speaking to members of the Islamic State of Iraq and Syria, a foreign designated terrorism organization, in online chatrooms and for comments he made declaring an interest in becoming a violent jihadist. P4P has met with the family for a total of 16 sessions thus far: 7 sessions with both the client and his parents present, 2 parent sessions, and 7 sessions between the client and a peer-exit specialist. To help the parents support their son, the P4P team educated them about his relationship with violent extremism, explaining that their son was primarily attracted to the ideology because of his intense social anxiety as opposed to an attraction to the beliefs themselves. The peer exit specialist also encouraged the client's interest in a career path and reinforced the seriousness of prison and the FBI's warning about criminal consequences if he provides material support to a foreign designated terrorist organization.

8: University of Colorado Denver, FY 2023 TVTP Award
Primary Ideology: Multiple

A student was referred to the University's Office of Case Management after an arrest. The student was experiencing homelessness as a result of this arrest and had a prior felony with a weapon. The student was at risk of losing their employment on campus and felt they were being singled out by the university. The advocate who was working with the student for court accompaniment prior to the referral shared emails from the student in which the student had voiced their frustrations about being arrested, shared that they would be isolating themselves from

others, and expressed threats about seeking revenge on the city of Denver and making references to terrorism. Social media revealed that the student was posting similarly threatening messages online about the city of Denver. The student shared with their case manager that they have a history of substance abuse, that they have a mental health diagnosis that they take medication for, and that they are mandated to meet with a therapist at a community mental health center due to their prior charge. The Office of Case Management connected with the student's therapist, who noted that the student has episodes of extreme anger and that their thoughts are often disjointed. The Office of Case Management conducted a violence risk assessment. The assessment revealed no imminent or direct threat but encouraged continued monitoring of the student. As of this report, the student has lessened their contact with the Office of Case Management but is still involved in the university's conduct process. The case manager is working with the student to address their housing needs and continues to monitor their public social media.

Specific Threat Types Addressed by Grantees

CP3 is committed to addressing all forms of targeted violence and terrorism. TVTP Grant Program projects providing direct case management services have worked with individuals with the broadest set of personal grievances and violent ideologies.

The below chart identifies the ideology or grievance that was identified by the case management grantees. Many individuals have multiple and overlapping ideologies and grievances, below represents the main category as identified by the grantees in the 1,172 cases opened.

Cases by Ideology/Grievance	
Personal Grievance	264
Racially or Ethnically Motivated Violent Extremism	161
(Included in above REMVE Total) Antisemitic	58
(Included in above REMVE Total) Islamophobic	6
Anti-Government or Anti-Authority Violent Extremism	68
International Terrorism (directed or inspired)	59
Other Domestic Terrorism ²⁵	54
(Included in above Other DT Total) Anti-LGBTQ+ Violent Extremism	25
(Included in above Other DT Total) Involuntary Celibate (Incel)/Gender Based Violent Extremism	7
Abortion Related Violent Extremism	3
Animal Rights/Environmental Violent Extremism	2
Unknown/Non-specific	561 ²⁶

²⁵ Includes domestic terrorism threats not included other categories of domestic terrorism included in the [Strategic Intelligence Assessment and Data on Domestic Terrorism - 2023 — DHS/FBI](#)

²⁶ One grantee in the Referral Services project type (239 cases), and one grantee in the Recidivism Reduction project type (117 cases) do not collect ideology or grievance information as part of their intake.

Total Cases by Ideology/Grievance	1,172
-----------------------------------	-------

Additionally, CP3 tracks the focus of applications submitted and selected for award. In general, applicants approach the threat of targeted violence and terrorism from a public health-informed approach. As such, the vast majority of applications (and therefore awards) focus on multiple forms of terrorism or the larger umbrella category of targeted violence. Domestic terrorism remains the deadliest terrorism threat in the United States, and as an evolving threat, DHS still receives a significant number of applications focused specifically on domestic terrorism. As seen in the previous section, grantees work on both international terrorism and domestic terrorism as part of their larger focus.

Table 1: Applications and Awards by Threat Focus

	FY 2020		FY 2021		FY 2022		FY 2023	
Threat Focus	Applied	Awarded	Applied	Awarded	Applied	Awarded	Applied	Awarded
Domestic Terrorism	21	3	38	14	10	8	4	0
Multiple Forms	51	20	36	20	44	23	41	16
Targeted Violence	15	6	9	3	19	12	49	18
International Terrorism	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Not Clear	6	0	6	0	3	0	5	0
Totals	95	29	90	37	76	43	99	34

VI. Conclusion

CP3's targeted violence and terrorism prevention efforts nationwide are accompanied by a public demand for resources and support for preventing targeted violence. In the FY 2024 TVTP Grant Program cycle, American communities demonstrated this increased demand for resources to strengthen their communities as CP3 received the highest number and most geographically diverse set of eligible applications of any grant cycle to date, receiving 178 eligible applications from 47 U.S. states and territories, including Washington, DC. The high number of applications received in FY 2024 represents a nearly 80 percent increase from the 99 eligible applications received in FY 2023.

CP3 is committed to operating in a transparent manner, upholding privacy, civil rights, and civil liberties while leading DHS's TVTP efforts. CP3 incorporates these practices into every aspect of its approach to targeted violence and terrorism prevention.

As part of CP3's grantee evaluation process, CP3 will continue to publish results, promising practices, and lessons learned, all to inform an evidence base for targeted violence and terrorism prevention. On September 6, 2023, CP3 launched the TVTP [Grantee Results webpage](#), which is a public-facing repository including resources such as grantee-produced resources, highlights, and evaluation reports. Six FY 2020 and one FY 2021 external evaluation reports are currently accessible on the TVTP Grantee Results webpage, as well one crosscutting FY 2020 evaluation report, with additional reports to be published upon completion. CP3 requested, and is currently undergoing, a third-party evaluation of the full TVTP Grant Program to foster continuous improvement, which will be published upon completion.

Targeted violence and terrorism pose enduring threats to our nation. While threat actors may change and tactics may vary over time, the persistent public safety threats from terrorism and targeted violence require long-term investments and strategies to address them. Presently, CP3 invests in secondary and tertiary prevention, such as safety-net programs that support at-risk individuals and involve multidisciplinary support. Long-term investments in primary prevention are essential to reduce the volume of violence over time, and to build a culture of targeted violence and terrorism prevention.

VII. Appendices

- A. FY 2024 Application Tracks, Project Types, and Minimum Standards (Appendix B of the FY 2024 NOFO)
- B. Grant Applications FY 2020 – FY 2024

Appendix A: Application Tracks, Project Types, and Minimum Standards

DHS intends to fund proposals that fall under two distinct application tracks:

1. **Promising Practices:** This track will fund prevention projects in all segments of the community, either through in-person or online-focused prevention initiatives at the local or national level. There are eight promising practices project types. Applicants may propose one or more project types to include in their project. The project type(s) submitted must align with one or more of the eight general project types, including the required elements outlined under the “Promising Practices” track below. Applicants in this track have already met one or more of the Objectives outlined in Appendix A. DHS anticipates funding approximately \$12,150,000 across 20 - 30 awards in the “Promising Practices” track.
2. **Innovation:** This track will fund prevention projects that approach the broader goal of TVTP in new or untested ways. This can include a project that does not generally fall within the eight specified project types under “Promising Practices” or a new theory of change that has not been previously implemented. Given the competitive nature of this track, we recommend applying in the “Promising Practices” track if your project proposal can be aligned to one or more of the promising practices. DHS anticipates funding approximately \$5,850,000 across 6 - 8 awards in the “Innovation” track.

All applicants should clearly indicate whether they are applying for the “Promising Practices” or “Innovation” track, identify the project types included in the application, and adhere to the requirements and other guidance provided in each track/project description.

As stated in Section C.4, “Other Eligibility Criteria/Restrictions” applicants may only submit one application per funding track. Therefore, the maximum number of applications an applicant can submit is two, one each for the “Promising Practices” and “Innovation” tracks.

Each project contains a target funding level; this is neither a maximum nor a minimum. Applicants are encouraged to build a budget that best reflects the lowest cost to complete their project. Consideration should be given to the following: size of proposed audience, local cost of living, prevailing wages, and the cost of procuring necessary services. The needs assessment described in Appendix C should identify if there are resources in other programs that can be leveraged to lower the cost to the federal award. While all projects should contain a clear estimation of the audience size and other factors impacting budgets, proposed projects that request an award amount that deviates more than 25% from the target award amount listed in this Section must include a clear justification for the deviation in the budget narrative submitted with their application.

All projects require the recipient to gather and report to DHS on specific program monitoring measures or, in some cases, identify performance measures that align with the goals, objectives, and outcomes in Appendix A. Upon award, DHS will provide additional guidance to recipients clarifying expectations around program monitoring measures. Recipients, by virtue of applying, agree to meet DHS’s expectations for data collection.

Applicants should refer to Section F.2(d) “Privacy of Project Participants and Beneficiaries” and Section F.2(e) “Protection of Human Subjects in Research” while designing their data collection methods. Adherence to these provisions helps ensure that project participants are properly protected in accordance with federal laws, regulations, and DHS policy, as well as any applicable state, tribal, or local laws.

a. Promising Practices Track

Successful applicants will describe how the various activities included in their funding request will support the objectives outlined in Appendix A. Applicants that require participation of other organizations will include sufficient evidence that there is support for such work through letters of support, letters of intent, or memoranda of understanding.

Required Program Monitoring Measures: All required performance measures for each proposed project are identified below. These measures should be factored into the appropriate section of each applicant’s IMP. Other measures sufficient to ensure the project is being implemented as designed and as determined by DHS may be required.

Anticipated Award Range: \$100,000 to \$800,000. The requested amount should be broken down by each project type included in the application. The budget narratives should consider the guidance on the target award amounts of the included projects and include well-supported costs associated with operating other proposed activities.

Target Award Amounts Stack: For example, an applicant proposing a program with two project types “Raising Societal Awareness” (Target Award Amount: \$175,000) and “Civic Engagement” (Target Award Amount: \$60,000) would have a total target award amount of \$235,000.

Applicants will also be required to provide an assessment of the severability of the proposed projects in this track and rank the projects by priority in the event there is not sufficient funding to fund the entire proposal.

Project Type	Target Award Amount
1. Raising Societal Awareness	\$175,000
2. Understanding Violent Content	\$115,000
3. Civic Engagement	\$60,000
4. Youth Resilience Programs	\$90,000
5. Behavioral Threat Assessment and Management Teams	\$350,000
6. Bystander Training	\$115,000
7. Referral Services	\$175,000
8. Recidivism Reduction and Reintegration	\$230,000

CP3 advocates for and supports a public health-informed approach to TVTP, which focuses on strengthening the health, safety, and well-being of entire populations. Central to this approach is the investment in the primary, secondary, and tertiary levels of prevention. The eight promising

practices project types outlined above range from primary to tertiary prevention, which can be understood as follows:

- **Primary Prevention Programming:** Projects that decrease the likelihood of targeted violence and terrorism by enhancing protective factors from the individual to societal levels that reduce the risk of violence, such as by fostering connectedness and social inclusion, enhancing community awareness, and supporting civic engagement.
- **Secondary Prevention Programming:** Projects that decrease the likelihood of targeted violence and terrorism among individuals exhibiting behaviors associated with previous acts of targeted violence and terrorism, such as by fostering referral networks to public health prevention providers and behavioral threat assessment and management teams, or by implementing bystander intervention training.
- **Tertiary Prevention Programming:** Projects that decrease the likelihood of targeted violence and terrorism among individuals previously engaged in those forms of violence, such as through diversion, rehabilitation, or reintegration programs.

***State and Local Government Applicants*:** As described in Section A.10 “Program Overview, Objectives, and Priorities,” many states have developed state TVTP strategies. The types of projects outlined in the eight project types below are essential components of any state or local prevention strategy. In turn, state or local government agency applicants may apply for funding in order to develop and implement a prevention strategy, provided the strategy incorporates one or more of the promising practices project types outlined below.

***Public Health Field Applicants*:** Given the public health-informed approach to TVTP outlined above, CP3 encourages organizations in the public health field to apply for a TVTP grant, as the public health community has relevant expertise and knowledge from working in violence prevention related to other forms of violence.

Promising Practices Project Types

1. Raising Societal Awareness

- a. Eligible entities may apply for funding to raise awareness of TVTP for community members (law enforcement, service providers, faith leaders, and other audiences). Awareness-raising activities shall focus on risk factors and protective factors (as defined above) for individuals on a pathway to violence. DHS Community Awareness Briefings can be requested by applicants and customized for local needs. Applicants may propose specific awareness-raising activities for specific audiences such as faith groups, schools, workplaces, etc.
- b. Required Program Monitoring Measures:
 - Number of awareness-raising sessions or initiatives conducted
 - Number of participants at each awareness-raising session or number of participants reached via each awareness-raising initiative
 - Average aggregate change in knowledge of those reached via awareness-raising activities, which can be measured, where applicable, by designing

and administering pre- and post-tests to participants in awareness-raising activities to better understand their change in knowledge as result of the activity, which will enable the program to better demonstrate the impact of the activity on the project

- Aggregate-level demographic information of participants
 - Other measures sufficient to ensure that, following award, the project is being implemented as designed and as determined by DHS
- c. Target Award Amount: \$175,000

2. **Understanding Violent Content**

- a. Eligible entities may apply to develop and deliver training to students or adults, either as part of standalone classes or integrated into a larger curriculum, dedicated to building skillsets to recognize and develop resilience to content that spurs violence. The proposed curricula shall include identifying, avoiding, or rejecting communications that may manipulate audiences by fomenting or encouraging targeted violence or terrorism. Applicants should outline the main themes of lesson plans included in the proposed curricula. Successful applicants will demonstrate ready access to the target population for the curricula such as schools, school districts, colleges/universities, community learning centers, or other entities with a letter of support/intent from a school.
- b. Required Program Monitoring Measures:
- Number of participants enrolled in course
 - Number of participants who complete course
 - Average aggregate change in knowledge of those who complete the classes or curriculum, which can be measured by designing and administering pre- and post-tests to those who take the curriculum to better understand their change in knowledge from the activity and which will enable the program to better demonstrate the impact of the activity on the project
 - Aggregate-level demographic information on participants
 - Aggregated data on results of skills-based tests
 - Other measures determined by DHS post-award to ensure project implementation as designed
- c. Target Award Amount: \$115,000

3. **Civic Engagement**

- a. Civic engagement means building and sustaining partnerships to foster understanding between groups and lessen fear or animosity in communities. Eligible entities may apply for funding to build or expand programs encouraging community engagement, education, and resilience against the threats of targeted violence and terrorism. Applicants for this project must describe how they will build partnerships with a diverse range of local or national stakeholders to further the mission of TVTP. In doing so, applicants are encouraged to seek partnerships

with organizations that represent underserved communities (see Appendix D, subsection “Definitions” for a definition of “underserved community”). Intended activities will address the early stages of the pathway to violence through coordination and engagement activities that reduce community vulnerability to associated risk factors or enhance protective factors (as defined above).

Applicants must describe how intended outcomes seek to increase community resilience against risk factors for targeted violence and terrorism through the understanding of threat prevention, improved social cohesion, reduced intergroup tensions, or reduced youth vulnerability.

- b. Required Performance Measures
 - Number of community engagement events
 - Number of participants at community engagement events
 - Aggregate level demographic information on participants
 - Other measures sufficient to ensure the project is being implemented as designed and as determined by DHS following award
- c. Target Award Amount: \$60,000

4. Youth Resilience Programs

- a. Eligible entities may apply for funding to establish or expand programming to develop protective factors in youth (as defined above). Applicants must propose programs that mitigate the risk factors associated with youth on a pathway to violence while fostering protective factors such as leadership, mentorship, employment skill building, or civic engagement. (**NOTE:** Minors are a vulnerable population and therefore have additional protections under the [Common Rule](#) for human subject research [[6 C.F.R. Part 46](#), [45 C.F.R Part 46, Subparts B-D](#)]). Applicants proposing research involving this target audience must submit evidence that their project will go through an Institutional Review Board [IRB] review [**IRB** means an institutional review board established in accord with and for the purposes expressed in this policy]. All such projects must also be approved by the [DHS Compliance Assurance Program Office \[CAPO\]](#) prior to initiation of research activities as described in Section F.2(e) “Protection of Human Subjects in Research”).
- b. Required Program Monitoring Measures:
 - Number and type of engagements/services provided
 - Other measures determined by DHS post-award to ensure project implementation as designed
- c. Target Award Amount: \$90,000

5. Behavioral Threat Assessment and Management (BTAM) Teams

- a. Eligible entities may apply for funding to develop a BTAM team’s capability, encompassing training for practitioners, protocol development for handling referrals, and engagement with individuals exhibiting risk factors for targeted violence and terrorism.

- b. Required Program Monitoring Measures:
 - Number of BTAM team members including professional background or other organizational affiliation (e.g., school counselor, faith leader, etc.)
 - Frequency and purpose of team meetings
 - Number of cases opened including:
 - Identified risk factor(s) (as defined above)
 - Identified behavioral changes
 - Identified extremist ideology (if any)
 - Specific grievance (if identified)
 - Number of referrals for outside services including service type (e.g., mental health, substance abuse, job skills, housing assistance)
 - Case status (active, in progress, closed):
 - If “closed,” outcome of case (e.g., resolved, referred to law enforcement, etc.)
 - “Closed Cases,” which only includes applicable cases where an intervention or threat assessment was completed, not cases that were referred to a BTAM team and never “opened” because the case was outside the scope of the BTAM team’s mandate
 - Anonymized case studies illustrating the threat management process
 - Other measures determined by DHS post-award to ensure project implementation as designed
- c. Targeted Award Amount: \$350,000

6. Bystander Training

- a. Eligible entities may apply for funding to deliver bystander training within their local communities. A bystander is defined as someone positioned to have awareness of risk factors or who observes warning behaviors from a person who may be considering violence. If applicants propose to develop training, topics covered in the curricula shall include risk factors (as defined above), behavioral changes, and provision of a locally relevant list of services or contact information for referrals. Applicants should describe the main themes of the training within the proposed curricula. Successful applicants will demonstrate ready access to their target population. Applicants may also apply to implement programs utilizing a “train-the-trainer” model to educate community members on delivering bystander training. DHS also has funded existing bystander training curriculum that aims to enhance the ability of individuals to recognize behaviors that indicate an individual is on a pathway to violence. This bystander training curriculum also teaches participants how to take the appropriate steps to engage with individuals in their social, family, or professional circles to provide them with assistance, refer them to other resources or services, or refer them to law enforcement if there is a risk of imminent harm. The training curriculum can be provided upon request during the application period. The training has a section that is customizable and minor modifications can be made with prior approval. Successful applicants will propose their intended target audience(s) and how they will engage individuals to

participate. The training is appropriate for a general adult audience, and DHS intends to seek applications that will provide the training to a general audience, as well as applications that propose audiences that may have a force-multiplying factor, such as professionals who engage with populations with risk factors for violence.

b. Required Program Monitoring Measures:

- Number of administered trainings
- Number of participants who received training
- Average aggregate change in knowledge of those who complete the classes or curriculum, which can be measured by designing and administering pre- and post-tests to those who take the curriculum to better understand their change in knowledge as result of the activity and which will enable the program to better demonstrate the impact of the activity on the project
- Aggregate-level demographic information of participants
- Other measures as determined by DHS following award to ensure the project is being implemented as designed
- Recommended Performance Measure: Average aggregate change indicated “willingness to act” among those who complete the training
 - This performance measure is optional but recommended for applicants proposing to conduct bystander training. This can be measured by designing and implementing pre- and post-tests to those who take the training and is intended to gauge the change in their willingness to now engage as an informed bystander. Before and after the training, the trainer could ask one or a series of questions on a sliding scale (i.e., a Likert-type scale) and then aggregate the responses to each of those questions to function as a proxy for this performance measure. For example, a question that could be asked is “How likely are you to help connect someone to services that you may be concerned about?” Attendees would then select their response to this question on a 1-5 scale (for example), and their responses before and after the training could be compared to indicate a change in their willingness to act.

c. Targeted Award Amount: \$115,000

7. Referral Services

- a. Eligible entities may apply for funding to establish or expand a referral service (via telephone, text, app, online, etc.) to address crises with callers, assess risk factors (as defined above) for targeted violence or terrorism, and provide referrals or resources to individuals seeking help or referred through an existing BTAM team or process. Eligible entries may also apply for funding to improve the process of receiving referrals and assisting in referring them to organizations or

programs that assist in threat management. Successful applicants will have an implementation plan detailing training methods for hotline counselors, protocols for referring callers for additional assessment or management and mental health resources, protocols for referring to law enforcement in case of an imminent threat, and protocols for implementing services in support of a threat management plan. The plan will also describe the location to which individuals will be referred or the services that will be provided. If providing services to individuals who are at risk of involvement in targeted violence or terrorism, applications should identify the services to be provided and describe the assessment tools and other methods they will use to measure progress of individuals through the program.

- b. Required Program Monitoring Measures:
 - Number of calls, categorized by type of call
 - Number of individuals referred to additional services
 - Number of callers referred for behavioral threat assessment and management
 - Number of calls referred to law enforcement
 - Number of referrals accepted for follow-up care based on an identified threat management strategy
 - Other measures determined by DHS post-award to ensure project implementation as designed and sufficient to ensure the project is being implemented as designed and as determined by DHS following award.
- c. Targeted Award Amount: \$175,000

8. **Recidivism Reduction and Reintegration**

- a. Eligible entities may apply for funding to develop institutional or community-based recidivism reduction and reintegration programs, to reduce risk factors and promote protective factors (as defined above) in individuals re-entering society following release from correctional facilities, and in probation or diversion programs. Applications should describe the risk factors they will examine, how they will select individuals for participation in the programming, and the services to be provided (e.g., for individuals who have previously committed hate crimes). The application should also describe the assessment tools and other methods planned for measuring the progress of individuals through the program.
 (NOTE: Obtaining access to correctional facilities and probation authorities can be challenging. Applicants proposing to work in correctional facilities or with state and local probation and parole authorities must demonstrate that they have the support of those facilities in their application, ideally with a clear letter of support from the respective correctional facility or authorities.)
 (NOTE: Prisoners are a vulnerable population and therefore have additional protections under [Subsection C](#) of the [Common Rule](#) for human subject research). Applicants proposing research involving this target audience must submit evidence that their project will go through an IRB review. All such projects also must be approved by the [DHS Compliance Assurance Program Office \(CAPO\)](#)

prior to initiation of research activities as described in Section F.2(e) “Protection of Human Subjects in Research.”

b. Required Program Monitoring Measures:

- Number of individuals recommended for violence rehabilitation program(s)
- Number of individuals enrolled in violence rehabilitation program
- Number of individuals that completed violence rehabilitation programming
- Status of cases (active, in progress, closed); if “closed,” outcome of case (e.g., resolved, referred to law enforcement, U.S. Attorney’s Office, etc.)
- Other measures determined by DHS post-award to ensure project implementation as designed

c. Target Award Amount: \$230,000

b. Innovation Track

DHS seeks to continue to stimulate innovation in prevention by soliciting applications for new project ideas that could enhance prevention capabilities within local communities and among grantees. Projects that meet at least one of the six objectives in Appendix A are eligible, especially projects that implement one or more of the four priorities. All applicants must specify which objective their proposed project aims to fulfill. While projects in this category should still have a well-developed theory of change, they need not have been implemented previously. DHS anticipates making approximately \$5,850,000 in awards in the Innovation Track and anticipates making awards in the range of \$300,000 to \$815,000.

Required Performance Measures: Measures must be sufficient to ensure the project is being implemented as designed and as determined by DHS following award. Please review the performance measures for the Promising Practices track for examples of measures that may apply to Innovation Track projects.

Institutions of Higher Education Applicants: CP3 welcomes applications in the innovation track from colleges and universities seeking to design undergraduate or graduate curricula for an accredited, Targeted Violence and Terrorism Prevention (TVTP) course or certificate program. For example, this could include a four-course sequence to gain a specialization. The course should be multidisciplinary and could span different schools within the college or university, such as the fields of medicine, social work, mental or behavioral health, criminology, criminal justice, emergency management, and security studies. Where possible, the course should be open to enrollment to students from other colleges and universities.

Minimum Standards for Recipients of Projects in Threat Assessment and Management Teams; Recidivism Reduction and Reintegration; and Referral Services

Projects within the BTAM Teams; Recidivism Reduction and Reintegration; and Referral Services promising practices project types, along with related innovation track projects, inherently engage with vulnerable individuals. It is important to adhere to certain standards to ensure the safety and well-being of program participants, their families, peers, and others

involved in their lives. Recipients will work with their assigned CP3 grants manager to ensure these standards are met prior to beginning services under their grant award.

Behavioral Threat Assessment and Management (BTAM) Teams

BTAM teams serve as effective proactive measures aimed at preventing – rather than predicting – potential acts of targeted violence and terrorism. Through identifying, assessing, and engaging with individuals exhibiting threatening or concerning behaviors, these teams create opportunities for redirection, de-escalation, and early intervention with individuals exhibiting signs of being on a pathway to violence.

1. Minimum Standards for Policies and Procedures

Recipients are required to demonstrate that:

- They have a comprehensive TVTP plan in place prior to implementing a BTAM team. For further guidance, please refer to [US Secret Service, National Threat Assessment Center's threat assessment model for enhancing school safety](#).
- Policies and procedures define targeted violence and terrorism and that said definitions do not conflict with those of DHS (see Appendix D, subsection “Definitions”).
- Policies and procedures are proactive in avoiding and mitigating discrimination on a protected basis (e.g., race, ethnicity, national origin, religion), including unconscious bias, and include statements of non-discrimination.
- Detailed procedures exist for the categorization, response, and escalation of cases. This includes policies and procedures for identifying individuals and triaging cases to ensure they are appropriate for the expertise and services of the team.
- Duty to Warn, Duty to Protect, and Mandated Reporting policies are in place.
- Procedures are in place for referral to appropriate resources where escalation is not warranted.
- Robust privacy, confidentiality, records management, data protection, and nondiscrimination policies are in place, which include audit/accountability mechanisms.
- Procedures for information sharing with outside agencies, transferring active cases to outside agencies, and making cases dormant are implemented.

2. Minimum Standards for Training and Subject Matter Expertise among Key Personnel

Recipients are required to demonstrate that:

- The BTAM team is multidisciplinary, including at a minimum a relationship with a local law enforcement partner and a mental/behavioral health professional.
 - **NOTE:** Effective BTAM teams should have additional members and should consider representatives among education administrators, mental health and social service providers, faith leaders, medical personnel, law enforcement, technology experts, persons with disabilities, and others. Teams will also want to build the capacity to include other members with additional areas of expertise (for example, expertise working with children with behavioral disabilities) that can be drawn on as necessary on a case-by-case basis. While it is important for law enforcement to be involved to

ensure a comprehensive and inclusive approach – and to intervene if the threat escalates – the primary goal of this approach is to provide individuals with support services before the threat rises to a level requiring law enforcement. As such, partnerships across a variety of sectors and disciplines are crucial.

- Equal access is provided to qualified team members from individuals from diverse backgrounds including people of color and others from marginalized communities.
- A member or members of the behavioral threat assessment team have received trainings and certifications from an accredited and professional threat assessment training program.³ These certifications will demonstrate the individual’s advanced understanding of core BTAM competencies and their application.
- Members of the BTAM team and management team are aware of the nondiscrimination obligations in connection with carrying out their responsibilities as part of a DHS-funded program.
- Members of the BTAM team possess a relevant educational background in diverse disciplines such as behavioral health, behavioral sciences, sociology, social work, disability, and/or psychology, education, or law enforcement or at least two years of professional experience in the field of behavioral threat assessment and management.
- Members of the BTAM team are familiar with best practices to protect privacy and understand applicable federal, state, local, tribal, territorial privacy requirements.

Referral Services and Recidivism Reduction and Reintegration Programs

1. Minimum Standards for Policies and Procedures

Recipients are required to demonstrate that:

- Programs intending to provide services to prisoners, individuals who are or have been involved in targeted violence or terrorism, or individuals who are at risk of involvement in targeted violence or terrorism have procedures in place to identify and address multiple forms of threats.
- Programs intending to provide services to the family members of prisoners, individuals who are or have been involved in targeted violence or terrorism, or individuals who are at risk for involvement in targeted violence or terrorism have procedures in place to identify and address multiple forms of risks and threats to members of the household and community.
- Policies and procedures define targeted violence and terrorism and that said definitions do not conflict with those of DHS (see Appendix D, subsection “Definitions.”)

³ Examples of organizations offering threat assessment training and certification programs include: Association of Threat Assessment Professionals (ATAP), National Association for Behavioral Intervention and Threat Assessment (NABITA), Third Degree Communications, Crisis Intervention Team (CIT) International, SIGMA Threat Assessment and Management Services, Federal Law Enforcement Training Centers (FLETC), and DHS National Threat Evaluation and Reporting Master Trainer Program (NTER MTP), among others. Please note: The preceding list is for informational purposes only and does not constitute an endorsement by DHS.

- Policies and procedures are proactive in avoiding and mitigating discrimination on a protected basis (e.g., race, ethnicity, national origin or religion), including unconscious bias, and include statements of non-discrimination.
- Procedures are detailed for the categorization, response, and escalation of cases. This includes policies and procedures for screening potential clients to ensure they are appropriate for the expertise and services of the organization.
- Duty to Warn, Duty to Protect, and Mandated Reporting policies are in place.
- Procedures are in place for referral to appropriate resources where escalation is not warranted.
- Robust privacy, confidentiality, records management, data protection, and nondiscrimination policies, which include audit/accountability mechanisms, are in place.
- Procedures are implemented for information sharing with outside agencies, transferring active cases to outside agencies, and making cases dormant.

2. Minimum Standards for Training and Subject-Matter Expertise among Key Personnel

Recipients are required to demonstrate that:

- Personnel providing services to the identified target population possess a relevant educational background in disciplines such as behavioral health, behavioral sciences, sociology, social work, or psychology.
- Personnel providing services to the identified target population possess the relevant certifications and licensure when necessary. For example, programs intending to provide direct mental/behavioral health services to their target population must demonstrate that personnel possess professional licensures within the field of behavioral health.
 - NOTE: Programs may choose to involve community members or peer mentors as a holistic component of the services provided to their intended target population. However, the applicant must identify and communicate a clear distinction between the role of peer mentors and the primary service providers who possess professional licenses and academic backgrounds relevant to managing each case and its associated level of risk and threat.
- Equal access is provided to qualified team members from individuals from diverse backgrounds including people of color and others from marginalized communities.
- Members of the threat assessment team and management team are aware of the nondiscrimination obligations in connection with carrying out their responsibilities as part of a DHS-funded program.
- Personnel providing services to the identified target population are familiar with best practices to protect privacy and understand applicable federal, state, local, tribal, territorial privacy requirements.

Appendix B: Grant Applications FY20-FY24

FY20 TVTP Grant Applications – 113 Applications:

Applicant Name	FY	ST	AMT
A 1 Learning Connections	FY20	TN	\$526,628
A Massachusetts Area Prevention Framework to Prevent Targeted Violence and Terrorism Among Juveniles	FY20	MA	\$749,995
ABOR for and on behalf of Northern Arizona University	FY20	AZ	\$925,000
Abundant Life Christian Center of La Marque, Inc	FY20	TX	\$99,548
American Counter-Terrorism and Resilience Institute	FY20	VA	\$149,488
American Counterterrorism Targeting and Resilience Institute	FY20	VA	\$539,194
American Samoa Department of Homeland Security	FY20	AS	\$575,438
American University (PERIL)	FY20	DC	\$575,438
American University, School of Communications	FY20	DC	\$568,613
Arizona Department of Public Safety	FY20	AZ	\$200,000
Arizona State University	FY20	AZ	\$99,847
Arizona State University	FY20	AZ	\$433,141
Arlington County High Threat Response Program	FY20	VA	\$400,000
Artis Corporation	FY20	AZ	\$459,918
AZ Board of Regents on behalf of ASU	FY20	AZ	\$99,824
Bay Area Urban Area Security Initiative	FY20	CA	\$830,242
Bexar County, TX	FY20	TX	\$175,613
Boise State University	FY20	ID	\$757,715
Boston Children's Hospital	FY20	MA	\$749,995
Buncombe County Sheriff's Office	FY20	NC	\$210,000
Case Western Reserve University	FY20	OH	\$184,981
Chatham County	FY20	GA	\$430,000

Applicant Name	FY	ST	AMT
Citizens Crime Commission of NYC	FY20	NY	\$741,878
City and County San Francisco Department of Emergency Management	FY20	CA	\$1,493,045
City of Dallas	FY20	TX	\$150,494
City of Elizabeth	FY20	NJ	\$45,000
City of Houston, Mayor's Office of Public Safety and Homeland Security	FY20	TX	\$569,625
City of Houston, Mayor's Office of Public Safety and Homeland Security	FY20	TX	\$604,012
City of Issaquah	FY20	WA	\$1,025,000
Cleora Public School	FY20	OK	\$1,259
Counter Extremism Project	FY20	NY	\$277,755
County of Bexar	FY20	TX	\$175,613
Crisis Intervention of Houston, Inc.	FY20	TX	\$150,000
DC Homeland Security and Emergency Management	FY20	DC	\$150,000
DC Homeland Security and Emergency Management Agency	FY20	DC	\$750,000
DC Homeland Security and Emergency Management Agency	FY20	DC	\$750,000
Delaware Emergency Management Agency	FY20	DE	\$859,410
Faith-Based Information Sharing and Analysis Organization	FY20	VA	\$744,744
Faribault Diversity Coalition	FY20	MN	\$75,000
Florida International University Police Department	FY20	FL	\$7,001
Georgia Bureau of Investigation, Georgia Information Sharing and Analysis Center	FY20	GA	\$750,000
Georgia State University Research Foundation, Inc.	FY20	GA	\$746,996
Green Light Project, Inc. - the Counter Extremism Project	FY20	NY	\$277,755
Hawaii Department of Defense	FY20	HI	\$302,169
Hennepin County Sheriff's Office	FY20	MN	\$565,100
Institute for Strategic Dialogue	FY20	NY	\$750,000
International Center for Religion and Diplomacy	FY20	DC	\$461,836
International Center for the Study of Violent Extremism	FY20	DC	\$461,836
J. Sargeant Reynolds Community College	FY20	VA	\$517,095

Applicant Name	FY	ST	AMT
Jazzy Human Services	FY20	MI	\$225,000
Kentucky Office of Homeland Security	FY20	KY	\$250,000
Life After Hate	FY20	IL	\$749,996
Long Beach Police Department	FY20	CA	\$50,380
Louisiana State Analytical and Fusion Exchange	FY20	LA	\$125,286
Maricopa County	FY20	AZ	\$32,910
Maryland Emergency Management Agency	FY20	MD	\$657,689
Massachusetts Department of Mental Health	FY20	MA	\$371,678
Michigan State Police, Michigan Intelligence Operations Center	FY20	MI	\$300,000
Missouri State Highway Patrol	FY20	MO	\$677,881
MS Department of Public Safety, Office of Homeland Security	FY20	MS	\$500,000
Muflehun (Innovation)	FY20	DC	\$748,250
Muflehun (Replication)	FY20	DC	\$77,025
Muscogee Creek Nation	FY20	OK	\$28,994
National Capital Region Threat Intelligence Consortium	FY20	DC	\$150,000
Nebraska Emergency Management Agency	FY20	NE	\$568,392
New Jersey Department of Law and Public Safety	FY20	NJ	\$750,000
New Mexico Department of Homeland Security and Emergency Management Homeland Security Bureau	FY20	NM	\$600,000
New York Presbyterian Hospital	FY20	NY	\$149,985
NGA	FY20	DC	\$435,000
North Carolina Division of Emergency Management, NC State Bureau of Investigation	FY20	NC	\$356,790
NY State Division of Homeland Security	FY20	NY	\$164,850
Ohio Department of Public Safety	FY20	OH	\$148,500
One World Strong Foundation	FY20	MA	\$630,723
Operation 250	FY20	MA	\$121,278
Operation 250: Prevention Through Education	FY20	MA	\$121,278
Parents For Peace	FY20	TN	\$440,000

Applicant Name	FY	ST	AMT
Pennsylvania Commission on Crime and Delinquency	FY20	PA	\$524,547
Philadelphia Police Department	FY20	PA	\$43,300
Progress Humanity	FY20	DC	\$735,000
Puerco Fire District	FY20	AZ	\$83,846
Ramsey County Sheriff's Office	FY20	MN	\$1,108,950
Sauk-Suiattle Indian Tribe	FY20	WA	\$300,000
Simon Wiesenthal Center	FY20	CA	\$225,692
START	FY20	MD	\$150,000
State of Connecticut, Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection	FY20	CT	\$457,712
Tarleton State University	FY20	TX	\$63,948
Texas Department of Public Safety, Intelligence, and Counter-terrorism Division	FY20	TX	\$191,321
Texas Education Agency	FY20	TX	\$743,000
Texas Tech University	FY20	TX	\$411,758
The Citizens Crime Commission of New York City	FY20	NY	\$741,878
The CNA Corporation	FY20	VA	\$749,000
The Colorado Department of Public Safety, Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Management	FY20	CO	\$193,620
The International Association of Chiefs of Police, Inc.	FY20	VA	\$750,000
The MPOWER Project LTD	FY20	NY	\$1,500,000
The National Consortium for Advanced Policing	FY20	DC	\$750,000
The National Governor's Association Center for Best Practices	FY20	DC	\$500,000
The University of Denver and it's Graduate School of Professional Psychology, Colorado Resilience Collaborative	FY20	CO	\$491,865
The Virginia Center for Policing Innovation	FY20	VA	\$225,000
Town of Fuquay Varina	FY20	NC	\$169, 725
Town of Greece Police Department, Rochester County of Monroe, New York	FY20	NY	\$394,255
Tuesday's Children	FY20	NY	\$566,126

Applicant Name	FY	ST	AMT
Turkish Cultural Center (NYC, NY)	FY20	NY	\$300,000
Twenty-Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians	FY20	CA	\$149,882
UMD START	FY20	MD	\$159,249
University of Central Oklahoma	FY20	OK	\$657,281
University of Denver	FY20	CO	\$491,865
University of Maryland	FY20	MD	\$553,315
Utah Department of Public Safety	FY20	UT	\$205,850
Virginia Department of Criminal Justice Services	FY20	VA	\$322,878
Vivo Farm Recovery	FY20	WA	\$19,157,600
Washington District 50 Schools	FY20	IL	\$14,154
Xavier University	FY20	OH	\$124,817
YMCA International Services of Greater Houston	FY20	TX	\$377,717

FY21 TVTP Grant Applications – 124 Applications:

Application Name	FY	ST	AMT
A1 Learning Connections Co.	FY21	TN	\$584,250
Abundant Life Christian Center of La Marque, Inc	FY21	TX	\$99,548
American Counterterrorism Targeting and Resilience Institute	FY21	VA	\$750,000
American University	FY21	DC	\$526,529
Arizona State University	FY21	AZ	\$710,451
Artis Corporation	FY21	AZ	\$299,985
Average Mohamed	FY21	MN	\$150,000
Barbour, County of	FY21	AL	\$285,183
Berkeley County Council	FY21	WV	\$377,166
Board of Regents, NSHE obo University of Nevada, Reno	FY21	NV	\$105,389
Boise State University and the Wassmuth Center for Human Rights	FY21	ID	\$130,964
Boston Children's Hospital	FY21	MA	\$480,780
California Bay Area Urban Area Security Initiative	FY21	CA	\$1,060,924
Capitol Technology University	FY21	MD	\$749,874
Case Western University	FY21	OH	\$530,000
City and County San Francisco Department of Emergency Management	FY21	CA	\$1,919,703
City of Detroit	FY21	MI	\$542,605
City of Houston Mayor's Office of Public Safety and Homeland Security	FY21	TX	\$603,855
City of Houston, Mayor's Office of Public Safety & Homeland Security	FY21	TX	\$603,855
City of New Smyrna Beach	FY21	FL	\$6,307
City of Southlake	FY21	TX	\$69,034
City of St. Petersburg	FY21	FL	\$300,000
Clark County	FY21	NV	\$650,000
Coalition for Safer Web	FY21	DC	\$492,820
Cobb County Government	FY21	GA	\$96,521
Connecticut Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection	FY21	CT	\$150,000
Corrections, New Jersey Department of	FY21	NJ	\$150,000
Counter Extremism Project (New York City)	FY21	NY	\$279,290
County of Cook	FY21	IL	\$745,021
County of Los Angeles	FY21	CA	\$200,000
County of Roanoke	FY21	VA	\$94,246
County of Summit	FY21	OH	\$215,396
Crisis Intervention of Houston, Inc.	FY21	TX	\$700,000
Crisis Intervention of Houston, Inc.	FY21	TX	\$75,000
Cure Violence Global	FY21	OR	\$749,974
Cure Violence Global	FY21	IL	\$750,045
Delaware River Port Authority	FY21	NJ	\$791,866
Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex Training Academy	FY21	TX	\$1,000,000

Application Name	FY	ST	AMT
District of Columbia Homeland Security and Emergency Management Agency	FY21	DC	\$735,600
Eagle Mountain-Saginaw Independent School District	FY21	TX	\$813,860
Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University	FY21	FL	\$232,720
Faith-Based Information Sharing and Analysis Organization	FY21	VA	\$694,528
Film2Future, Inc	FY21	CA	\$750,100
Fund for the City of New York	FY21	NY	\$636,053
George Mason University	FY21	VA	\$199,469
Georgia Emergency Management and Homeland Security Agency	FY21	GA	\$100,000
Georgia State University Research Foundation, Inc.	FY21	GA	\$608,558
Global Peace Foundation	FY21	MD	\$157,630
GMS - City of New York	FY21	NY	2,000,000
GMS - County of Roanoke	FY21	VA	88,310
GMS - Kentucky Office of Homeland Security	FY21	KY	250,000
Howell Township Police Athletic League Preventing Targeted Violence and Terrorism	FY21	NJ	\$85,000
Indian River State College	FY21	FL	\$150,000
Institute for Intergovernmental Research	FY21	FL	\$750,000
Institute for Strategic Dialogue-U.S.	FY21	OH	\$745,000
International Center for Religion and Diplomacy	FY21	DC	\$1,170,599
International Center for the Study of Violent Extremism	FY21	VA	\$718,293
James Madison University	FY21	VA	\$227,978
Jazzy Human Services	FY21	MI	\$225,000
Jeffco DeAngelis Foundation	FY21	CO	\$565,600
JOEL NGASSAM	FY21	WA	\$1,010,000
Karuna Center for Peacebuilding Inc	FY21	MA	\$195,135
Kennesaw State University Research and Service Foundation	FY21	GA	\$749,337
King County Office of Emergency Management	FY21	WA	\$300,000
Life After Hate	FY21	IL	\$684,781
Lion Global Foundation	FY21	CO	\$388,268
Los Angeles Police Department	FY21	CA	\$250,000
Mansfield Independent School District	FY21	TX	\$735,000
Massachusetts Water Resources Authority	FY21	MA	\$162,500
Massachusetts Water Resources Authority	FY21	MA	\$93,000
Michigan State Police.	FY21	MI	\$451,255
Mid-America Regional Council Community Services Corporation	FY21	MO	\$251,871
Middle East Media Research Institute, Inc.	FY21	DC	\$989,358
Middlebury College, Center on Terrorism, Extremism and Counterterrorism (CTEC)	FY21	VT	\$629,533
Missouri State University	FY21	MO	\$645,775
MPOWER Project, LTD., The	FY21	NY	\$500,000
MUFLEHUN	FY21	DC	\$643,362
Music in Common	FY21	MA	\$400,000
Needham Diversity Initiative, Inc.	FY21	MA	\$524,498

Application Name	FY	ST	AMT
NE-Nebraska Emergency Management Agency	FY21	NE	\$639,890
Network Contagion Research Institute	FY21	NJ	\$750,000
Nez Perce Tribe	FY21	ID	\$468,289
North Dakota Department of Emergency Services (NDDES)	FY21	ND	\$160,000
NYC Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice	FY21	NY	\$2,000,000
NYS Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Services	FY21	NY	\$625,000
Oklahoma City National Memorial Foundation	FY21	OK	\$250,000
One World Strong Foundation	FY21	MA	\$749,300
Palm Beach County Sheriff's Office	FY21	FL	\$750,000
Parents For Peace	FY21	TN	\$750,000
PeaceTech Lab, Inc.	FY21	DC	\$750,000
Police Foundation	FY21	DC	\$681,954
Police2Peace	FY21	AZ	\$721,325
Colorado Department of Public Safety	FY21	CO	\$364,720
Research Foundation of SUNY, UAlbany	FY21	NY	\$355,949
Rise to Peace	FY21	DC	\$675,746
City of San Antonio	FY21	TX	\$750,000
Search for Common Ground	FY21	DC	\$949,338
State of New Jersey, Department of Law & Public Safety	FY21	NJ	\$449,944
Teachers College, Columbia University	FY21	NY	\$748,277
Temple University-Of the Commonwealth System of Higher Education	FY21	PA	\$1,609,317
Texas Department of Public Safety	FY21	TX	\$216,162
The Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois - Chicago	FY21	IL	\$846,851
The Center for Rural Development	FY21	KY	\$700,000
The Childrens Hospital Corporation	FY21	MA	\$480,780
The Citadel	FY21	SC	\$747,948
The Citizens Crime Commission of New York City	FY21	NY	\$770,222
The City of Aurora	FY21	CO	\$751,512
The CNA Corporation	FY21	VA	\$749,885
The Mediation Center of the Coastal Empire	FY21	GA	\$140,936
The Working Group	FY21	CA	\$837,495
Town of Fuquay Varina	FY21	NC	\$169,725
Tuesdays Children	FY21	NY	\$598,421
Turkish Cultural Center	FY21	NY	\$300,000
University of Colorado Boulder	FY21	CO	\$1,183,411
University of Hawaii	FY21	HI	\$780,671
University of Massachusetts	FY21	MA	\$563,756
University of Nebraska, Omaha, NCITE	FY21	NE	\$715,102
University of South Florida	FY21	FL	\$746,223
University of Southern California	FY21	CA	\$298,488
University of Texas, El Paso	FY21	TX	\$750,000
Upland Police Department	FY21	CA	\$160,000

Application Name	FY	ST	AMT
Virginia Department of Criminal Justice Services	FY21	VA	\$714,850
Wisconsin Department of Justice	FY21	WI	\$1,248,956
World Learning Inc.	FY21	VT	\$749,045

FY22 TVTP Grant Applications – 86 Applications:

Applicant Name	FY	ST	AMT
Advocates for Immigrant Rights	FY22	TN	\$120,000
Alcorn State University	FY22	MS	\$160,000
American Counterterrorism Targeting and Resilience Institute	FY22	VA	\$199,700
American University Peril	FY22	DC	\$749,828
Arizona State University, McCain Institute	FY22	AZ	\$659,327
Association of State and Territorial Health Officials	FY22	VA	\$700,000
Average Mohamed	FY22	MN	\$100,000
Bay Area (Innovation	FY22	CA	\$997,916
Berkeley City Council	FY22	WV	\$383,563
Black Push, Inc.	FY22	GA	\$100,000
Boss Girl Inc	FY22	GA	\$413,000
Charleston County Consolidated 9-1-1 Center	FY22	SC	\$198,628
City and County San Francisco Department of Emergency Management	FY22	CA	\$749,000
City of Atlanta	FY22	GA	\$475,600
City of Aurora	FY22	CO	\$302,966
City of Smithville	FY22	MO	\$23,276
City of Tuscaloosa	FY22	AL	\$479,548
Coalition for a Safer Web	FY22	DC	\$446,820
Crisis Intervention of Houston, Inc.	FY22	TX	\$550,000
Cure Violence Global	FY22	IL	\$796,328
District of Columbia Homeland Security and Emergency Management Agency	FY22	DC	\$637,500
Fairfax County Police Department	FY22	VA	\$215,000
Foundation for the Prevention of Violence	FY22	UT	\$1,336,074
Georgia Bureau of Investigation-GISAC Updated	FY22	GA	\$500,000
Global Peace Foundation	FY22	MD	\$275,341
Hawaii Health Information Exchange	FY22	HI	\$746,239
Institute for Intergovernmental Research	FY22	FL	\$750,000
International Center for Religion and Diplomacy	FY22	DC	\$750,000
International Center for the Study of Violent Extremism	FY22	VA	\$147,510
International Documentary Foundation	FY22	CA	\$193,410
J Allen Allstars Foundation	FY22	GA	\$1,999,491
Jackson County SD 549c	FY22	OR	\$189,507
Karuna Center for Peacebuilding	FY22	MA	\$258,298

Applicant Name	FY	ST	AMT
Kypria L.L.C	FY22	MI	\$2,005,000
Larry's Boys School Program Incorporation	FY22	IL	\$249,900
Lee Memorial Health System	FY22	FL	\$110,000
Lewis University	FY22	IL	\$157,707
Lincoln Laboratory Massachusetts Institute of Technology	FY22	MA	\$600,000
Mansfield Independent School District	FY22	TX	\$75,000
Maryland Department of Emergency Management	FY22	MD	\$693,522
Maryland Emergency Management Agency (Innovation)	FY22	MD	\$398,000
Masjid Muhammad, Inc.	FY22	DC	\$716,000
Michigan State University	FY22	MI	\$878,283
Middlebury College, Center on Terrorism, Extremism and Counterterrorism	FY22	VT	\$699,763
MPOWER Project, LTD., The	FY22	NY	\$735,000
Muflehun	FY22	DC	\$374,996
Music in Common	FY22	GA	\$441,300
Muslim American Leadership Alliance	FY22	IL	\$395,000
North America Scholastic E-Sports Federation	FY22	GA	\$750,000
Nu Art Education, Inc.	FY22	CA	\$600,000
NYS (Innovation)	FY22	NY	\$1,999,070
NYS Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Services	FY22	NY	\$500,000
One World Strong Foundation Inc	FY22	MA	\$848,300
onePULSE Foundation	FY22	FL	\$247,900
Out Boulder County	FY22	CO	\$153,579
Out of Hand Theater, Inc.	FY22	GA	\$250,000
Parents 4 Peace	FY22	TN	\$749,546
Pars Equality Center	FY22	CA	\$381,443
Police Foundation	FY22	DC	\$749,713
Project-Survive inc	FY22	OH	\$449,210
Public Safety, Colorado Department of	FY22	CO	\$337,500
Re: The Regenerative School, Inc.	FY22	TN	\$750,000
Shep-Ty	FY22	CA	\$929,776
Sisters of Providence of Saint Mary-of-the-Woods, Indiana	FY22	IN	\$272,451
Southern Illinois University - Edwardsville	FY22	IL	\$332,491
Southern University and A&M College	FY22	LA	\$160,000
State of Hawaii Office of Homeland Security	FY22	HI	\$423,000
Syracuse University S.I. Newhouse School of Public Communication	FY22	NY	\$592,598
Teacher's College, Columbia University	FY22	NY	\$748,494
Texas Department of Public Safety, Intelligence and Counterterrorism Division	FY22	TX	\$286,381
Texas Southern University	FY22	TX	\$467,016
The Carter Center	FY22	GA	\$99,372
The Carter Center	FY22	GA	\$557,102
The Children's Hospital Corporation	FY22	MA	\$634,307

Applicant Name	FY	ST	AMT
The Green Light Project, Inc.	FY22	NY	\$706,860
The Social Ecological Economic Development Corporation	FY22	OK	\$417,000
Think Empowered	FY22	TN	\$1,023,764
Tuesday's Children	FY22	NY	\$829,504
United States Esports Association	FY22	WA	\$226,260
University of Dayton	FY22	OH	\$352,109
University of Hawaii	FY22	HI	\$533,285
University of Maryland National Consortium for the Study of Terrorism and Responses to Terrorism	FY22	MD	\$749,504
University of Rhode Island	FY22	RI	\$701,612
Urban Rural Action	FY22	DC	\$769,190
Winnemucca Indian Colony	FY22	NV	\$436,389
Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars	FY22	DC	\$750,000

FY23 TVTP Grant Applications – 123 Applications:

Applicant Name	FY	ST	AMT
1036 Ministries	FY23	AL	\$120,000
4G44 ESPORTS, INC.	FY23	AZ	\$440,000
Alberti Center for Bullying Abuse Prevention, University at Buffalo	FY23	CA	\$243,955
American Counterterrorism Targeting and Resilience Institute Incorporated	FY23	CT	\$175,000
American University PERIL	FY23	NY	\$784,276
Arizona State University	FY23	AZ	\$770,610
Augusta University Research Institute, Inc.	FY23	GA	\$116,915
Big Horn County Montana	FY23	MT	\$575,000
Board of Regents, University of Nebraska dba University of Nebraska Omaha	FY23	NE	\$799,059
Boise State University	FY23	VA	\$265,000
Boston Children's Hospital	FY23	OH	\$820,990
Carter Center, Inc., The	FY23	GA	\$399,923
Cherokee Nation	FY23	CT	\$300,000
Citizens Crime Commission of New York City	FY23	NY	\$631,180
City and County San Francisco Department of Emergency Management	FY23	CA	\$1,310,000
City and County San Francisco Department of Emergency Management (2)	FY23	CA	\$815,000
City of El Paso	FY23	TX	\$263,468
City Of Long Beach	FY23	CA	\$581,250
City of Mountain View	FY23	CA	\$168,040
City of Tuscaloosa	FY23	AL	\$520,071
City of Vicksburg	FY23	MS	\$300,000
Clarion Project, Inc.	FY23	TX	\$425,000
Clarion Project, Inc.	FY23	TX	744, 846
Colorado Information Analysis Center, Colorado Department of Public Safety	FY23	ID	\$755,720
Columbia University	FY23	WA	\$820,332
Connecticut Center for School Safety and Crisis Preparation/Western Connecticut State University	FY23	IL	\$362,655
County of El Dorado	FY23	CA	\$525,000
County of Sonoma	FY23	CA	\$607,200
Denver Documentary Society	FY23	CO	\$360,000
Denver Police Department	FY23	CO	\$625,782
East Central Florida Regional Planning Council	FY23	FL	\$797,000
East End Arts District	FY23	CO	\$525,000
Educational Services District 123	FY23	NY	\$1,195,153
Elizabeth City State University	FY23	NC	\$487,602
Eradicate Hate Global Summit	FY23	DC	\$770,610

Applicant Name	FY	ST	AMT
Evangelistic Outreach Mission Inc	FY23	TX	\$290,000
Florida Gulf Coast University	FY23	FL	\$282,636
George Mason University	FY23	VA	\$526,001
Get Safe Choice Personal Safety, Inc.	FY23	CA	\$286,847
Getting Better Foundation	FY23	MT	\$1,382,890
Global Game Jam Inc	FY23	WA	\$750,000
Global Peace Foundation	FY23	MD	\$561,278
Greater Opportunities Demonstrated Corporation	FY23	FL	\$1,073,062
Hacks Hackers	FY23	DC	\$496,500
Hampton University	FY23	AZ	\$150,000
Health Quality Partners of Southern California	FY23	CO	\$227,359
Hennepin County Sheriff's Office	FY23	MN	\$69,784
Hood Works Inc	FY23	NY	\$790,000
How To Build Up, Inc.	FY23	CA	\$149,981
Institute for Strategic Dialogue - Strong Cities Network	FY23	FL	\$817,129
Intel and Law Enforcement Training Services	FY23	MD	\$853,370
International Center for Religion and Diplomacy	FY23	DC	\$795,628
International Center for the Study of Violent Extremism	FY23	VA	\$753,765
John Jay College	FY23	CA	\$126,764
Kaiser Foundation Hospitals	FY23	CA	\$815,000
King County Office of Emergency Management	FY23	WA	\$270,009
Lily of the Valley Emmanuel Church of Jesus Christ	FY23	CA	\$510,000
Loudoun Citizens for Social Justice, Inc	FY23	VA	\$325,000
Manteca Police Department	FY23	CA	\$545,098
Mary Jane Bull	FY23	TX	\$175,000
Masjid Muhammad, Inc.	FY23	DC	\$716,000
Massachusetts State Police	FY23	MA	\$286,370
Mediation Center of The Coastal Empire, Inc. The	FY23	GA	\$359,904
Mediators Beyond Borders International unk	FY23	DC	\$1,080,000
Michigan State Police	FY23	AZ	\$425,845
Middle Rio Grande Development Council	FY23	TX	\$271,765
Midtown Utica Community Center	FY23	NY	\$150,000
Minneapolis Health Department	FY23	NY	\$297,147
Minnesota Department of Public Safety	FY23	CT	\$700,659
Mississippi Office of Homeland Security	FY23	TX	\$668,746
National Governors Association Center for Best Practices	FY23	DC	\$500,000
New York State Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Services	FY23	NY	\$296,566
North Carolina Central University	FY23	NC	\$799,979
NOVA Bulls Organization	FY23	VA	\$75,000
NW3C Inc. d/b/a the National White Collar Crime Center	FY23	VA	\$406,570
One World Strong	FY23	TX	\$1,150,067
One World Strong Foundation Inc (2)	FY23	MA	\$814,523

Applicant Name	FY	ST	AMT
Palm Beach Sheriff's Office	FY23	VT	\$610,000
Parents for Peace	FY23	WI	\$832,000
Peoria Regional Office of Education #48	FY23	MA	\$691,610
Project-Survive inc	FY23	OH	\$490,550
Research Foundation for The State University Of New York, The	FY23	NY	\$245,955
Research Foundation for The State University Of New York, The	FY23	NY	\$350,000
Rhoe Corp	FY23	OH	\$200,000
Richland Parish Sheriff's Office	FY23	LA	\$72,241
Saginaw, City Of	FY23	MI	\$280,000
School Administrative Unit 18	FY23	NH	\$489,457
Search For Common Ground	FY23	MI	\$505,097
Sexual Minority Youth Assistance League	FY23	VT	\$540,000
Shelby County Government	FY23	TN	\$585,000
Shep-Ty	FY23	CA	\$815,000
Somali Community Resettlement Services of Olmstead County	FY23	MN	\$960,000
Southern Nevada Association of PRIDE, Inc.	FY23	NV	\$150,000
Sparta Area School District	FY23	WI	\$115,000
St. Luke's Health System, LTD	FY23	ID	\$255,048
State of New Jersey, Department of Law & Public Safety	FY23	NJ	\$500,000
Texas Department of Public Safety	FY23	TX	\$352,185
Texas Southern University	FY23	TX	\$545,237
Texas State University	FY23	TX	\$596,328
Texas State University	FY23	TX	\$175,000
The CNA Corporation	FY23	VA	\$531,000
The Green Light Project, Inc.	FY23	NY	\$706,827
The Kim Turner Foundation Inc	FY23	CA	\$174,814
The New York and Presbyterian Hospital	FY23	NY	\$230,000
The University of Alabama	FY23	AL	\$697,646
The University of Texas at El Paso	FY23	TX	\$289,746
Town of Bristol	FY23	RI	\$675,226
Town of Ramapo	FY23	NY	\$20,000
Tuesday's Children	FY23	NY	\$73,000
United States Esports Association	FY23	WA	\$856,108
University Enterprises Corporation at CSUSB	FY23	CA	\$740,151
University of California Irvine	FY23	MN	\$684,006
University of Cincinnati	FY23	OH	\$596,412
University of Colorado Denver	FY23	MS	\$606,524
University of Colorado, Boulder	FY23	TX	\$868,875
University of Hawaii	FY23	HI	\$926,536
University of Illinois at Chicago, School of Medicine	FY23	CO	\$981,916
University of North Dakota	FY23	DC	\$386,682
University of Oklahoma	FY23	OK	\$427,355

Applicant Name	FY	ST	AMT
University of Texas, El Paso	FY23	ID	\$296,806
University of Vermont	FY23	CO	\$943,976
Urban Rural Action	FY23	ND	\$799,201
Xavier University	FY23	NY	\$53,334

FY24 TVTP Grant Applications – 208 Applications:

Applicant Name	FY	ST	AMT
A Galvanized Innovative Approach to Leading Excellence Planning Solutions Inc	FY24	LA	\$175,000
Advocate Health & Hospitals Corp	FY24	IL	\$930,000
Ahn Research Institute.	FY24	PA	\$503,329
American Counterterrorism Targeting and Resilience Institute Incorporated	FY24	MA	\$175,000
American University - Peril	FY24	DC	\$761,066
American University - School Of International Service	FY24	DC	\$995,104
Arlington County, Va	FY24	VA	\$785,000
Auburn University	FY24	AL	\$638,004
Average Mohamed	FY24	MN	\$530,000
Board Of Regents, Nevada System Of Higher Education	FY24	NV	\$519,376
Boise State University	FY24	ID	\$386,246
Borderland Rainbow Center	FY24	TX	\$151,748
Boston Children's Hospital	FY24	MA	\$858,087
Casa Karinyo	FY24	TX	\$67,500
Catholic Charities Cyo	FY24	CA	\$867,956
Center For Family Services Of Palm Beach County, Inc	FY24	FL	\$92,988
Centerlink	FY24	FL	\$350,000
Chaffey College Community District	FY24	CA	\$640,000
Chez Hope Inc	FY24	LA	\$624,221
Citizens Crime Commission Of New York City Inc	FY24	NY	\$887,206
Citizens Crime Commission Of New York City Inc (Deep)	FY24	NY	\$674,952
City And County Of Denver	FY24	CO	\$616,822
City And County Of San Francisco	FY24	CA	\$614,250
City Of Allen	FY24	TX	\$418,656
City Of Crown Point	FY24	IN	\$905,000
City Of Glendale	FY24	CA	\$100,000
City Of Longmont	FY24	CO	\$722,898
City Of Los Angeles	FY24	CA	\$405,000
City Of Madison	FY24	WI	\$350,000
City Of Rochester	FY24	MN	\$526,712
City Of San Antonio	FY24	TX	\$344,983
CITY OF SPOKANE - ACCOUNTING DEPARTMENT*City Of Spokane - Accounting Department*	FY24	WA	\$362,000
CITY OF ST. PETERSBURG City Of St Petersburg	FY24	FL	\$335,682
City Of Tuscaloosa	FY24	AL	\$468,378
Clemson University	FY24	SC	\$855,648
County Of San Mateo Sheriffs Off	FY24	CA	\$800,000

Applicant Name	FY	ST	AMT
Collin, County Of	FY24	TX	\$563,761
Colorado Department Of Public Safety	FY24	CO	\$427,915
Communities In Schools Of The South Plains Inc	FY24	TX	\$112,499
County Of Charleston	FY24	SC	\$523,603
County Of King	FY24	WA	\$312,314
County Of King (Emergency Mgmt)	FY24	WA	\$392,342
County Of Yolo	FY24	CA	\$409,944
Covenant House Alaska	FY24	AK	\$233,120
Cure Violence Global Inc	FY24	IL	\$772,845
Cure Violence Global Inc (Innovation)	FY24	IL	\$894,500
Cyber Sports Limited	FY24	ND	\$686,845
Cyber Sports Ltd	FY24	NE	\$204,629
Dallas Independent School District	FY24	TX	\$630,000
Department Of Education Nebraska	FY24	NE	\$659,389
Department Of State Police Pennsylvania	FY24	PA	\$675,014
Dignity Beyond Borders	FY24	NY	\$404,713
Dillard University	FY24	LA	\$289,920
Diversity Center Of Oklahoma Inc	FY24	OK	\$800,000
Diverting Hate, Inc.	FY24	DC	\$782,100
East Central Florida Regional Planning Council Inc	FY24	FL	\$777,000
Educational Service District 101	FY24	WA	\$575,000
Elizabeth City State University	FY24	NC	\$451,878
Enough Is Enough	FY24	VA	\$350,000
Envision Community Services Inc - Nfp	FY24	IL	\$350,000
Eradicate Hate Global Summit	FY24	PA	\$962,704
Exitusa / Life After Hate	FY24	WI	\$869,372
Extremism And Gaming Research Network	FY24	AK	\$580,387
Farmington School District 6	FY24	AR	\$237,660
Gapbuster, Inc.	FY24	MD	\$230,000
Georgia Emergency Management And Homeland Security Agency	FY24	GA	\$460,000
Georgia Health Sciences / August University Research Institute	FY24	GA	\$686,807
Getting Better Foundation	FY24	MT	\$441,470
Global Impact Inc District Of Columbia	FY24	VA	\$1,075,900
Global Peace Foundation	FY24	MD	\$332,733
Global Peace Foundation (Innovation)	FY24	MD	\$534,349
Green Dome Foundation Inc.	FY24	NJ	\$726,000
Green River Education Cooperative	FY24	KY	\$787,369
Hacks/Hackers	FY24	DC	\$275,000
Hennepin County Sheriff's Office	FY24	MN	\$106,284
Homeland Security & Preparedness, New Jersey Department Of	FY24	NJ	\$500,000
Hope4utah	FY24	UT	\$207,856
ICRD	FY24	DC	\$814,906

Applicant Name	FY	ST	AMT
Ideos Institute Inc	FY24	CA	\$430,373
Illinois State Police	FY24	IL	\$750,888
Illinois Youth And Family Services	FY24	IL	\$965,000
Impact Services Corp	FY24	PA	\$465,000
Independent Production Fund	FY24	NY	\$575,999
Indiana Family And Social Services Administration, Division Of Mental Health And Addiction	FY24	IN	\$541,201
Intel & Law Enforcement Training Seminar Inc	FY24	MD	\$165,970
International Documentary Foundation	FY24	CA	\$433,965
Jewish Federation Of Greater Pittsburgh	FY24	PA	\$260,012
Karuna Center For Peace Building Inc	FY24	MA	\$609,992
King County Sheriff's Office	FY24	WA	\$151,000
Lakewood Shomrim Inc	FY24	NJ	\$416,000
Lauren Mccluskey Foundation	FY24	WA	\$440,000
Leviticus Development Corp*	FY24	IN	\$59,000
Liberty County	FY24	GA	\$89,870
Lighthouse Foundation	FY24	IL	\$350,000
Los Angeles Society For Prevention O	FY24	CA	\$70,500
M.O.Y.A. Moving Our Youth Ahead, Nfp*	FY24	IL	\$380,000
Marion County Auditor	FY24	IN	\$654,316
Marshall University Research Corporation	FY24	WV	\$1,084,152
Maryland State Department Of Education	FY24	MD	\$681,694
Metropolitan Family Services	FY24	IL	\$815,000
Metropolitan Family Services	FY24	IL	\$350,000
Miami University	FY24	OH	\$732,550
Michigan State University	FY24	MI	\$812,693
Missouri State University	FY24	MO	\$798,416
Monongalia County Health Department	FY24	WV	\$343,135
Movementforward Inc	FY24	GA	\$550,000
Ms Department Of Public Safety	FY24	MS	\$507,522
Music In Common	FY24	GA	\$511,780
Music In Common Inc	FY24	GA	\$521,780
Muslim American Leadership Alliance	FY24	IL	\$495,000
Nami Of Greater Chicago	FY24	IL	\$174,930
Nasef	FY24	GA	\$750,000
National Association For Community Mediation	FY24	KY	\$511,500
National Center For Policing Innovation (Ncpi)	FY24	VA	\$959,060
National Community Safety Foundation Inc	FY24	AL	\$1,099,000
National Council Of Young Men's Christian Associations Of The United States Of America	FY24	IL	\$684,305
National Governors Association	FY24	DC	\$573,780
National Network Of Public Health Institutes Inc	FY24	LA	\$800,000

Applicant Name	FY	ST	AMT
New York State Division Of Homeland Security & Emergency Services	FY24	NY	\$329,000
Newport News Public Schools	FY24	VA	\$90,000
Nonviolent Peaceforce	FY24	MN	\$698,962
Noorda College Of Osteopathic Medicine Llc - Innovation App	FY24	UT	\$638,953
Noorda College Of Osteopathic Medicine Llc - Promising Practices App	FY24	UT	\$638,953
North Carolina Central University	FY24	NC	\$796,270
North Carolina Department Of Public Safety	FY24	NC	\$942,247
North Carolina State University	FY24	NC	\$655,293
North Shore School District 112	FY24	IL	\$30,000
Norwich University	FY24	VT	\$296,159
Oakland Community Health Network	FY24	MI	\$646,000
Office Of Sponsored Research / University Of North Carolina	FY24	NC	\$639,989
Office Of Violence Prevention	FY24	MO	\$410,000
Ohio Department Of Public Safety	FY24	OH	\$535,000
Oklahoma State University	FY24	OK	\$478,865
One World Strong Foundation*	FY24	MA	\$624,750
Otoe-Missouria Tribe	FY24	OK	\$384,536
Palm Beach County Sheriff's Office	FY24	FL	\$700,000
Parents For Peace	FY24	TN	\$656,205
Pharos Global Health Advisors, Limited	FY24	MA	\$1,378,311
Pierce County Department Of Emergency Management	FY24	WA	\$706,000
President And Fellows Of Middlebury College	FY24	VT	\$494,424
Public Safety	FY24	OH	\$200,000
Rainier Beach Community Empowerment Coalition	FY24	WA	\$90,000
Reaching The Remnant Ministries	FY24	AL	\$265,000
Refugee Development Center, Inc	FY24	RI	\$289,740
Regents Of The University Of California, The	FY24	CA	\$554,971
Resort Bear Creek Fire Dept	FY24	MI	\$50,000
Respect Graduate School Inc	FY24	PA	\$1,000,000
Rhode Island Department Of Health	FY24	RI	\$637,065
Rocky Mountain Equality	FY24	CO	\$288,890
Rocky Mountain University Of Health Professions, Inc	FY24	UT	\$290,000
Root Change. Inc	FY24	DC	\$583,860
Safe States Alliance	FY24	MD	\$265,000
San Diego Lgbt Pride	FY24	CA	\$265,000
School Administrative Unit #18	FY24	NH	\$486,386
Sharing Sacred Spaces Inc*	FY24	CT	\$796,000
Shep-Ty	FY24	CA	\$815,000
Shoutoutuk Ltd.	FY24	WI	\$513,218
Southern Illinois University	FY24	IL	\$499,446

Applicant Name	FY	ST	AMT
Southern University	FY24	LA	\$350,000
Southwest Tx Fusion Center	FY24	TX	\$350,000
Sponsored Projects Services	FY24	NY	\$370,452
St. Luke's Health System, Limited	FY24	ID	\$309,619
State Of Hawai'i Office Of Homeland Security	FY24	HI	\$803,330
State Of Oklahoma Department Of Public Safety	FY24	OK	\$420,676
State Police Department	FY24	MA	\$325,398
Strong Cities Network	FY24	DC	\$670,321
Sub & S	FY24	DC	\$6,601,000
Surprise Valley Education Foundation	FY24	CA	\$784,740
T Of L Inc.	FY24	PA	\$225,000
Teachers College Columbia University	FY24	NY	\$814,023
Teen & Police Service Academy	FY24	TX	\$588,384
Texas A & M University	FY24	TX	\$519,125
The Carter Center, Inc.	FY24	GA	\$324,452
The Chicago Scholars Foun	FY24	IL	\$581,688
The Disinformation Project	FY24	VA	\$600,000
The Green Light Project, Inc.	FY24	NY	\$582,058
The Parsonage Project Inc	FY24	DE	\$501,230
The Research Foundation For SUNY On Behalf Of The Rockefeller Institute Of Government	FY24	NY	\$453,818
The Research Foundation For The State University Of New York	FY24	NY	\$670,520
The Research Foundation For The State University Of New York (2)	FY24	NY	\$1,457,200
The School Board Of Broward County, Florida	FY24	FL	\$90,000
The University Of Iowa	FY24	IA	\$776,016
Trustees Of Indiana University	FY24	IN	\$174,420
Tuesday's Children	FY24	NY	\$555,000
Tuesday's Children (Innovation)	FY24	NY	\$492,000
UCAN	FY24	IL	\$520,000
University Of Chicago	FY24	IL	\$1,002,949
University Of Cincinnati	FY24	OH	\$588,298
University Of Colorado	FY24	CO	\$789,882
University Of Colorado-Denver	FY24	CO	\$377,915
University Of Dayton	FY24	OH	\$999,099
University Of Massachusetts Lowell	FY24	MA	\$934,952
University Of Nebraska At Omaha	FY24	NE	\$586,301
University Of Nebraska Medical Center	FY24	NE	\$515,579
University Of North Alabama*	FY24	AL	\$334,848
University Of North Texas	FY24	TX	\$573,205
University Of Southern Maine	FY24	ME	\$403,501
University Of Vermont & State Agricultural College	FY24	VT	\$717,416
Urban Rural Action	FY24	DC	\$799,034

Applicant Name	FY	ST	AMT
Utah Department Of Health And Human Services	FY24	UT	\$750,000
Violence Prevention Network USA, Inc.	FY24	PA	\$817,465
Waves Ahead, Corp	FY24	PR	\$175,000
We The Veterans Foundation	FY24	CO	\$740,293
Weber-Morgan Health Department	FY24	UT	\$325,000
West 40 Isc 2	FY24	IL	\$350,000
Western Connecticut State University	FY24	CT	\$171,737
Wood County Alcohol, Drug Addiction, And Mental Service Board	FY24	OH	\$398,917
Wyoming Department Of Education*	FY24	WY	\$323,973

VIII. List of Abbreviations

CP3	Center for Prevention Programs and Partnerships
CAB	Community Awareness Briefing
CRCL	DHS Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties
DHS	Department of Homeland Security
DOJ	Department of Justice
FBI	Federal Bureau of Investigation
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FY	Fiscal Year
IMP	Implementation and Measurement Plan
NCTC	National Counterterrorism Center
NIJ	National Institute of Justice
NOFO	Notice of Funding Opportunity
NTAC	National Threat Assessment Center
NTER	National Threat Evaluation & Reporting Program Office
P4P	Parents for Peace
PIA	Privacy Impact Assessment
PII	Personally Identifiable Information
REMVE	Racially and Ethnically Motivated Violent Extremism
S&T	Science & Technology Directorate
SME	Subject Matter Experts
SORN	System of Records Notice
TVTP	Targeted Violence and Terrorism Prevention
VWSE	Violent White Supremacist Extremism