

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
FORT WORTH DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
P. O. BOX 17300
FORT WORTH, TEXAS 76102-0300

REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF:

June 4, 2007

Engineering and Construction Support Office

Environmental Assessment for the Installation of 4.2 miles of Pedestrian Fence along the International Border near Lukeville, Arizona, Office of Border Patrol Tucson Sector, Arizona

National Park Service
ATTN: Ms. Kathy Billings
Organ Pipe Cactus National Monument
10 Organ Pipe Drive
Ajo, AZ 85321

Dear Ms. Billings:

While no final decisions on the fence locations have been made, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Fort Worth District (USACE) on behalf of Customs and Border Protection (CBP) intends to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) to address the feasibility of installing and maintaining 4.2 miles of border barrier fence.

Based on congressional and executive mandates, CBP is assessing operational requirements and land issues along the entire Southwest border. Preparing the EA does not necessarily mean the 4.2 miles of barrier fence will be installed. This effort is a prudent part of the planning process needed to assess any environmental concerns.

This potential project would consist of replacing 4.2 miles of vehicle barriers with border fence. The construction footprint for this potential project would encompass the entire Roosevelt Reservation (60 feet from the U.S.-Mexico border north). The proposed border fence would begin 2.1 miles west of the Lukeville Port of Entry and extend eastward along the U.S. - Mexico border for 4.2 miles. Attached is a portion of the Lukeville 7.5 minute U.S.G.S. quadrangle, which identifies the possible project site.

We are currently in the process of gathering the most current information available regarding Federally and state listed species, cultural resources, and sensitive and unique areas occurring within the potential project area. We respectfully request that your agency provide any information regarding those resources and/or issues that you believe may be affected.


Your prompt attention to this request would be greatly appreciated. If you have any


questions, please call Mr. Charles McGregor of my staff at (817) 886-1585 or Assistant Chief Patrol Agent Craig L. Weinbrenner at the Office of Border Patrol Tucson Sector at (520) 748-3000

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Eric W. Verwers". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

Eric W. Verwers
Director, Engineering and
Construction Support Office





DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
FORT WORTH DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
P. O. BOX 17300
FORT WORTH, TEXAS 76102-0300

REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF: June 4, 2007

Engineering and Construction Support Office

Environmental Assessment for the Installation of 4.2 miles of Pedestrian Fence along the International Border near Lukeville, Arizona, Office of Border Patrol Tucson Sector, Arizona

Cabeza Prieta National Wildlife Refuge
ATTN: Mr. Roger DiRosa, Refuge Manager
1611 N. Second Ave
Ajo, AZ 85321

Dear Mr. DiRosa:

While no final decisions on the fence locations have been made, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Fort Worth District (USACE) on behalf of Customs and Border Protection (CBP) intends to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) to address the feasibility of installing and maintaining 4.2 miles of border barrier fence.

Based on congressional and executive mandates, CBP is assessing operational requirements and land issues along the entire Southwest border. Preparing the EA does not necessarily mean the 4.2 miles of barrier fence will be installed. This effort is a prudent part of the planning process needed to assess any environmental concerns.

This potential project would consist of replacing 4.2 miles of vehicle barriers with border fence. The construction footprint for this potential project would encompass the entire Roosevelt Reservation (60 feet from the U.S.-Mexico border north). The proposed border fence would begin 2.1 miles west of the Lukeville Port of Entry and extend eastward along the U.S. - Mexico border for 4.2 miles. Attached is a portion of the Lukeville 7.5 minute U.S.G.S. quadrangle, which identifies the possible project site.

We are currently in the process of gathering the most current information available regarding Federally and state listed species, cultural resources, and sensitive and unique areas occurring within the potential project area. We respectfully request that your agency provide any information regarding those resources and/or issues that you believe may be affected.

Your prompt attention to this request would be greatly appreciated. If you have any


-2-

questions, please call Mr. Charles McGregor of my staff at (817) 886-1585 or Assistant Chief Patrol Agent Craig L. Weinbrenner at the Office of Border Patrol Tucson Sector at (520) 748-3000

Sincerely,



Eric W. Verwers
Director, Engineering and
Construction Support Office



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
FORT WORTH DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
P. O. BOX 17300
FORT WORTH, TEXAS 76102-0300

REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF

June 4, 2007

Engineering and Construction Support Office

Environmental Assessment for the Installation of 4.2 miles of Pedestrian Fence along the International Border near Lukeville, Arizona, Office of Border Patrol Tucson Sector, Arizona

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
ATTN: Mr. Steve Spangle
Arizona Ecological Services
2321 W. Royal Palm Road, Suite 103
Phoenix, AZ 85021

Dear Mr. Spangle:

While no final decisions on the fence locations have been made, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Fort Worth District (USACE) on behalf of Customs and Border Protection (CBP) intends to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) to address the feasibility of installing and maintaining 4.2 miles of border barrier fence.

Based on congressional and executive mandates, CBP is assessing operational requirements and land issues along the entire Southwest border. Preparing the EA does not necessarily mean the 4.2 miles of barrier fence will be installed. This effort is a prudent part of the planning process needed to assess any environmental concerns.

This potential project would consist of replacing 4.2 miles of vehicle barriers with border fence. The construction footprint for this potential project would encompass the entire Roosevelt Reservation (60 feet from the U.S.-Mexico border north). The proposed border fence would begin 2.1 miles west of the Lukeville Port of Entry and extend eastward along the U.S. - Mexico border for 4.2 miles. Attached is a portion of the Lukeville 7.5 minute U.S.G.S. quadrangle, which identifies the possible project site.

We are currently in the process of gathering the most current information available regarding Federally and state listed species, cultural resources, and sensitive and unique areas occurring within the potential project area. We respectfully request that your agency provide any information regarding those resources and/or issues that you believe may be affected.

Your prompt attention to this request would be greatly appreciated. If you have any

questions, please call Mr. Charles McGregor of my staff at (817) 886-1585 or Assistant Chief Patrol Agent Craig L. Weinbrenner at the Office of Border Patrol Tucson Sector at (520) 748-3000

Sincerely,



Eric W. Verwers
Director, Engineering and
Construction Support Office

APPENDIX D
Air Quality Calculations

CALCULATION SHEET-COMBUSTABLE EMISSIONS-PROPOSED ACTION

Assumptions for Cumbustable Emissions					
Type of Construction Equipment	Num. of Units	HP Rated	Hrs/day	Days/yr	Total hp-hrs
Water Truck	2	300	12	120	864000
Diesel Road Compactors	0	100	12	120	0
Diesel Dump Truck	0	300	12	120	0
Diesel Excavator	0	300	12	120	0
Diesel Hole Cleaners/Trenchers	2	175	12	120	504000
Diesel Bore/Drill Rigs	2	300	12	120	864000
Diesel Cement & Mortar Mixers	2	300	12	120	864000
Diesel Cranes	2	175	12	120	504000
Diesel Graders	0	300	12	120	0
Diesel Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	2	100	12	120	288000
Diesel Bull Dozers	2	300	12	120	864000
Diesel Front End Loaders	2	300	12	120	864000
Diesel Fork Lifts	3	100	12	120	432000
Diesel Generator Set	6	40	12	120	345600

Emission Factors							
Type of Construction Equipment	VOC g/hp-hr	CO g/hp-hr	NOx g/hp-hr	PM-10 g/hp-hr	PM-2.5 g/hp-hr	SO2 g/hp-hr	CO2 g/hp-hr
Water Truck	0.440	2.070	5.490	0.410	0.400	0.740	536.000
Diesel Road Compactors	0.370	1.480	4.900	0.340	0.330	0.740	536.200
Diesel Dump Truck	0.440	2.070	5.490	0.410	0.400	0.740	536.000
Diesel Excavator	0.340	1.300	4.600	0.320	0.310	0.740	536.300
Diesel Trenchers	0.510	2.440	5.810	0.460	0.440	0.740	535.800
Diesel Bore/Drill Rigs	0.600	2.290	7.150	0.500	0.490	0.730	529.700
Diesel Cement & Mortar Mixers	0.610	2.320	7.280	0.480	0.470	0.730	529.700
Diesel Cranes	0.440	1.300	5.720	0.340	0.330	0.730	530.200
Diesel Graders	0.350	1.360	4.730	0.330	0.320	0.740	536.300
Diesel Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1.850	8.210	7.220	1.370	1.330	0.950	691.100
Diesel Bull Dozers	0.360	1.380	4.760	0.330	0.320	0.740	536.300
Diesel Front End Loaders	0.380	1.550	5.000	0.350	0.340	0.740	536.200
Diesel Fork Lifts	1.980	7.760	8.560	1.390	1.350	0.950	690.800
Diesel Generator Set	1.210	3.760	5.970	0.730	0.710	0.810	587.300

CALCULATION SHEET-COMBUSTABLE EMISSIONS-PROPOSED ACTION

Emission factors (EF) were generated from the NONROAD2005 model for the 2006 calendar year. The VOC EFs includes exhaust and evaporative emissions. The VOC evaporative components included in the NONROAD2005 model are diurnal, hotsoak, running loss, tank permeation, hose permeation, displacement, and spillage. The construction equipment age distribution in the NONROAD2005 model is based on the population in U.S. for the 2006 calendar year.

Emission Calculations							
Type of Construction Equipment	VOC tons/yr	CO tons/yr	NOx tons/yr	PM-10 tons/yr	PM-2.5 tons/yr	SO2 tons/yr	CO2 tons/yr
Water Truck	0.419	1.971	5.227	0.390	0.381	0.705	510.341
Diesel Road Paver	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Diesel Dump Truck	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Diesel Excavator	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Diesel Hole Cleaners\Trenchers	0.283	1.355	3.227	0.255	0.244	0.411	297.588
Diesel Bore/Drill Rigs	0.571	2.180	6.808	0.476	0.467	0.695	504.342
Diesel Cement & Mortar Mixers	0.581	2.209	6.931	0.457	0.448	0.695	504.342
Diesel Cranes	0.244	0.722	3.177	0.189	0.183	0.405	294.477
Diesel Graders	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Diesel Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	0.587	2.606	2.291	0.435	0.422	0.302	219.339
Diesel Bull Dozers	0.343	1.314	4.532	0.314	0.305	0.705	510.626
Diesel Front End Loaders	0.362	1.476	4.761	0.333	0.324	0.705	510.531
Diesel Aerial Lifts	0.943	3.694	4.075	0.662	0.643	0.452	328.865
Diesel Generator Set	0.461	1.432	2.274	0.278	0.270	0.308	223.674
Total Emissions	4.794	18.959	43.303	3.790	3.686	5.383	3904.125

Conversion factors	
Grams to tons	1.102E-06

CALCULATION SHEET-COMBUSTABLE EMISSIONS-PROPOSED ACTION

Proposed Action Construction Emissions for Criteria Pollutants (tons per year)						
Emission source	VOC	CO	NOx	PM-10	PM-2.5	SO ₂
Combustable Emissions	4.79	18.96	43.30	3.79	3.69	5.38
Construction Site-fugitive PM-10	NA	NA	NA	29.12	5.82	NA
Construction Workers Commuter & Trucking	0.48	4.53	0.62	0.01	0.01	NA
Total emissions	5.28	23.49	43.93	32.92	9.52	5.38
De minimis threshold	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

CALCULATION SHEET-TRANSPORTATION COMBUSTABLE EMISSIONS-PROPOSED ACTION

Construction Worker Personal Vehicle Commuting to Construction Sight-Passenger and Light Duty Trucks									
Pollutants	Emission Factors		Assumptions				Results by Pollutant		
	Passenger Cars g/mile	Pick-up Trucks, SUVs g/mile	Mile/day	Day/yr	Number of cars	Number of trucks	Total Emissions Cars tns/yr	Total Emissions Trucks tns/yr	Total tns/yr
VOCs	1.36	1.61	120	120	10	10	0.22	0.26	0.47
CO	12.4	15.7	120	120	10	10	1.97	2.49	4.46
NOx	0.95	1.22	120	120	10	10	0.15	0.19	0.34
PM-10	0.0052	0.0065	120	120	10	10	0.00	0.00	0.00
PM 2.5	0.0049	0.006	120	120	10	10	0.00	0.00	0.00

Heavy Duty Trucks Delivery Supply Trucks to Construction Sight									
Pollutants	Emission Factors		Assumptions				Results by Pollutant		
	10,000-19,500 lb Delivery Truck	33,000-60,000 lb semi trailer rig	Mile/day	Day/yr	Number of trucks	Number of trucks	Total Emissions Cars tns/yr	Total Emissions Trucks tns/yr	Total tns/yr
VOCs	0.29	0.55	60	120	2	2	0.00	0.01	0.01
CO	1.32	3.21	60	120	2	2	0.02	0.05	0.07
NOx	4.97	12.6	60	120	2	2	0.08	0.20	0.28
PM-10	0.12	0.33	60	120	2	2	0.00	0.01	0.01
PM 2.5	0.13	0.36	60	120	2	2	0.00	0.01	0.01

OBP Commute to New Site									
Pollutants	Emission Factors		Assumptions				Results by Pollutant		
	Passenger Cars g/mile	Pick-up Trucks, SUVs g/mile	Mile/day	Day/yr	Number of cars	Number of trucks	Total Emissions Cars tns/yr	Total Emissions Trucks tns/yr	Total tns/yr
VOCs	1.36	1.61	60	0	0	0	-	0.00	-
CO	12.4	15.7	60	0	0	0	-	0.00	-
NOx	0.95	1.22	60	0	0	0	-	0.00	-
PM-10	0.0052	0.0065	60	0	0	0	-	0.00	-
PM 2.5	0.0049	0.006	60	0	0	0	-	0.00	-

POV Source: USEPA 2005 Emission Facts: Average annual emissions and fuel consumption for gasoline-fueled passenger cars and light trucks. EPA 420-F-05-022 August 2005. Emission rates were generated using MOBILE.6 highway vehicle emission factor model.

Fleet Characterization: 20 POVs commuting to work were 50% are pick up trucks and 50% passenger cars

CALCULATION SHEET-TRANSPORTATION COMBUSTABLE EMISSIONS-PROPOSED ACTION

Conversion factor:	gms to tons
	0.000001102

CALCULATION SHEET-FUGITIVE DUST-PROPOSED ACTION

Fugitive Dust Emissions at New Construction Site.					
Construction Site	Emission Factor tons/acre/month (1)	Total Area- Construction Site/month	Months/yr	Total PM-10 Emissions tns/yr	Total PM-2.5 (2)
Fugitive Dust Emissions	0.11	37.82	7	29.12	5.82

1. Mid-Atlantic Regional Air Management Association (MARAMA). Fugitive Dust-Construction Calculation Sheet can be found online at: http://www.marama.org/visibility/Calculation_Sheets/. MRI= Midwest Research Institute, Inventory of Agricultural Tiling, Unpaved Roads, Airstrips and construction Sites., prepared for the U.S. EPA, PB 238-929, Contract 68-02-1437 (November 1977)

2. 20% of the total PM-10 emissions are PM-2.5 (EPA 2006).

Costruction Site Area	Demension (ft)			
Proposed Prioject	Length	Width	Units	Total Acres
New Construction Area	5,280	60	5.2	37.82
New Construction Area	5,280	60	0	0.00
Total				37.82

Conversion Factors	Feet to Miles	Acres to sq ft	Sq ft to acres	Sq ft in 0.5 acres
	5280	0.000022957	43560	21780

Assumptions	Sections/day	Length of Section (ft)	Length/day (ft)	Days/yr	Length/yr (ft)	Miles/yr
Fencing installed per day (ft)	22	10	220	290	63800	5.20

Assumptions	Sections/day	Length of Section (ft)	Length/day (ft)	Days/Month	Length/Month (ft)	Miles/Month
Fencing installed per day (ft)	22	10	220	24	5280	1.00
Length of fence/yr (miles)	5.20					

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: Answer #3 RE: Domestic Policy Council Meeting
Date: Tuesday, May 30, 2017 3:55:46 PM

Ok- (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) - this time for real. Can you get (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) to look at this please?

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Director, Business Operations Division
Border Patrol & Air and Marine Program Management Office
Facilities Management and Engineering
Office of Facilities and Asset Management
Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, May 30, 2017 3:55 PM
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: Answer #3 RE: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

Agreed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) last version appears to be clear on the fed lands and RR.

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, May 30, 2017 12:53 PM
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: Answer #3 RE: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

Thanks (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) I think it makes more sense now.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Chief, Portfolio Management and Analysis (PMA) Branch
Border Patrol & Air and Marine Program Management Office
Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, May 30, 2017 2:52 PM

To: [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Answer #3 RE: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

[REDACTED] correct me if I am wrong [REDACTED] (b) (5)

How about this:

(b) (5)

[REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Director, Business Operations Division
Border Patrol & Air and Marine Program Management Office
Facilities Management and Engineering
Office of Facilities and Asset Management
Mobile: [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, May 30, 2017 3:47 PM

To: [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Answer #3 RE: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, May 30, 2017 12:20 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Answer #3 RE: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

Please read my note I just sent, and then decide if it's ready...

v/r

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, May 30, 2017 3:19 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Answer #3 RE: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

To keep it simple suggest deleting what is in red.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) good to go?

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) one more for him to look at please.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Director, Business Operations Division

Border Patrol & Air and Marine Program Management Office
Facilities Management and Engineering
Office of Facilities and Asset Management
Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, May 30, 2017 2:53 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Answer #3 RE: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

Simplified answer:

(b) (5)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Chief, Portfolio Management and Analysis (PMA) Branch
Border Patrol & Air and Marine Program Management Office
Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, May 30, 2017 10:09 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: Answer #3 RE: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) I think we are still on the hook to tackle our #3, which is their #11 from the larger list of questions – see attached.

My advice is to say something like the following:

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

v/r

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, May 30, 2017 10:40 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

So no need to respond to 3#?

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Chief, Portfolio Management and Analysis (PMA) Branch
Border Patrol & Air and Marine Program Management Office

Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, May 30, 2017 9:39 AM

To: [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

Great, thank you.

Will send this response up.

[REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Director, Business Operations Division
Border Patrol & Air and Marine Program Management Office
Facilities Management and Engineering
Office of Facilities and Asset Management
Mobile: [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: QUIAMBAO, VIRGINIA S

Sent: Tuesday, May 30, 2017 10:22 AM

To: [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

Looks like we're only on the hook for the IBWC question? I believe OCC is working the RE responses, I forwarded them what I had so far below. Below is a draft response for the IBWC question.

Thanks,

[REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

1.

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, May 30, 2017 8:55 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

I said for #4, I meant #2.

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, May 30, 2017 8:48:50 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) for #4, we have that 2-page white paper I prepared, since they're asking for something nlt today, do you want that or something like it? I mean they're asking an extremely broad multi-layered question including - what's the process, how long does it take, why does it take so long, etc.

Alternatively, I can try to keep it at even a higher level, but by the way their question was phrased, I'm sure they don't understand RE at all nor do they know that condemnation is needed to clear title even if price is agreed. The white paper explains all that...I just need to review it and tailor it a bit, and it shouldnt take long for OCC to exit it since they've done so once already.

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Monday, May 29, 2017 8:04:49 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: FW: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

Hi all –

Looks like we need to get these answered asap (sorry, I hate that too) – hoping this isn't a big lift. Can we target 10 am Tuesday?

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can you take #1? The answer is no – no issues and we can highlight our past meeting and upcoming one as well.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can you take #2 and #4? I know these answers can be lengthy, but let's try to keep it as

straightforward as possible.

BusOps can take #3 – I think we have that answer already (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can you confirm)?

1. (b) (5)

2.. (b) (5)

(b) (5)

3. (b) (5)

4. (b) (5)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Director, Business Operations Division
Border Patrol & Air and Marine Program Management Office
Facilities Management and Engineering
Office of Facilities and Asset Management
Mobile (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Friday, May 26, 2017 1:10 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) LUCK,

SCOTT A (USBP) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

(b) (5)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Associate Chief Counsel - Trade and Finance
Office of the Chief Counsel
U.S. Customs and Border Protection

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED/ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT

~~This communication might contain communications between attorney and client, communications that are part of the agency deliberative process, or attorney work product, all of which are privileged and not subject to disclosure outside the agency or to the public. Please consult with the Office of Chief Counsel, U.S. Customs and Border Protection before disclosing any information contained in this email.~~

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Friday, May 26, 2017 12:31 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) CALVO, KARL H.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

LUCK,

SCOTT A (USBP) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

Karl – we will need to loop in OCC for confirmation, (b) (5)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Executive Director, Budget Directorate
U.S. Customs and Border Protection
Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Friday, May 26, 2017 11:22 AM

To: CALVO, KARL H. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

KOLBE, KATHRYN <(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>

Subject: RE: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

Karl, thanks for this. (b) (5)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Deputy Assistant Commissioner, Acquisition
Head of the Contracting Activity

Location: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Desk: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Main: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: CALVO, KARL H.

Sent: Friday, May 26, 2017 11:17 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

KOLBE, KATHRYN (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: FW: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

Importance: High

All: Rather than trying to coordinate a call with multiple parties on a Friday before the holiday weekend, I'm attempting to explain what is needed via email. Below I've highlighted those questions from the Domestic Policy Council (DPC) that we need to pull together input from your offices. The highlighted sections are assigned to various offices (either USBP, OCC, Procurement or OFAM). Just to note, the DPC is a high ranking council (similar to NSC but on domestic issues) and has direct input to POTUS. Your help w/ these questions is highly important to ensure we get the answers correctly articulated.

I need your input by COB Tuesday, May 30th. OFAM will compile and send to EAC Kolbe before noon on Wednesday, May 31st.

I'd like to offer some clarity from my perspective from the meeting that might help with answers:

1.
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.

(b) (5)


8.

9.

10

11

12



Please call or email me if you have questions. Thanks.

v/r Karl

Karl H. Calvo, CFM, PMP

Assistant Commissioner, Office of Facilities and Asset Management
U.S. Customs and Border Protection
Washington, DC

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (Office)
cell)

From: KOLBE, KATHRYN

Sent: Friday, May 26, 2017 5:31 AM

To: CALVO, KARL H. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: FW: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

Karl, Would you please coordinate clarification to the questions from yesterday that require follow-up (see asterisks) and provide to my front office for review, as soon as possible. Please help (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) understand the context of question 3. Did you get (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) contact information? Would appreciate your clarification on the highway discussion for internal use only.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Appreciate your assistance with answering question 3 for the White House staff. Karl can provide clarification.

Thank you...VR, KK

Kathryn L. Kolbe
Executive Assistant Commissioner
Enterprise Services
U.S. Customs and Border Protection
Office (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cell (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: VITIELLO, RONALD D (USBP)
Sent: Friday, May 26, 2017 5:21 AM
To: KOLBE, KATHRYN (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: Domestic Policy Council Meetings

thanks

Ronald Donato Vitiello
Acting Deputy Commissioner
Customs and Border Protection
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)



From: KOLBE, KATHRYN
Sent: Thursday, May 25, 2017 9:51 PM
To: MCALEENAN, KEVIN K (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); VITIELLO, RONALD D (USBP)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: FLANAGAN, PATRICK S (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); CALVO, KARL H.
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C); PROVOST, CARLA (USBP) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: Domestic Policy Council Meetings


Commissioner/Deputy,

The meeting with the DPC today went well. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), AC Calvo & I attended. We briefed Andrew Bremberg, Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy; Paul Winfree, Deputy Assistant to the President, Director of Budget Policy; Gene Hamilton, Senior Counselor to the Secretary, DHS; and several others with immigration-related responsibilities.

Some key takeaways: They would like us to stay in touch with them regarding an event with the POTUS for the roll-out of the wall prototypes in San Diego. They conveyed the HAC/SAC desire for details in support of the FY18 & beyond wall construction as a pre-requisite to securing funds. They also offered their assistance

in removing regulatory obstacles, if necessary. Below is a list of some of the dialogue/questions.

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7.
- 8.
- 9.
- 10
- 11
- 12



VR, KK

Kathryn L. Kolbe
Executive Assistant Commissioner
Enterprise Services
U.S. Customs and Border Protection
Office (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cell (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: Domestic Policy Council Meeting
Date: Tuesday, May 30, 2017 8:25:19 AM

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) – on the IBWC questions should we loop in (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

On item 3 – we are talking about fed land right? If so, I can ask Baker to pull that. I’m also guessing we want it in border miles, right?

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Chief, Portfolio Management and Analysis (PMA) Branch
Border Patrol & Air and Marine Program Management Office
Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Sent: Monday, May 29, 2017 7:05 PM
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: FW: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

Hi all –

Looks like we need to get these answered asap (sorry, I hate that too) – hoping this isn’t a big lift. Can we target 10 am Tuesday?

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can you take #1? The answer is no – no issues and we can highlight our past meeting and upcoming one as well.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can you take #2 and #4? I know these answers can be lengthy, but let’s try to keep it as straightforward as possible.

BusOps can take #3 – I think we have that answer already (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can you confirm)?

1. (b) (5)
- 2.. (b) (5)

*OFAM

(b) (5)

3. (b) (5)

4. (b) (5)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Director, Business Operations Division
Border Patrol & Air and Marine Program Management Office
Facilities Management and Engineering
Office of Facilities and Asset Management
Mobile: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Friday, May 26, 2017 1:10 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) <KARL.H.CALVO@cbp.dhs.gov>; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) KOLBE, KATHRYN (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

(b) (5)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Associate Chief Counsel - Trade and Finance
Office of the Chief Counsel
U.S. Customs and Border Protection
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED/ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT

~~This communication might contain communications between attorney and client, communications that are part of the agency deliberative process, or attorney work product, all of which are privileged and not subject to disclosure outside the agency or to the public. Please consult with the Office of Chief Counsel, U.S. Customs and Border Protection before disclosing any information contained in this email.~~

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Friday, May 26, 2017 12:31 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) CALVO, KARL H.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) KOLBE, KATHRYN (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

Karl – we will need to loop in OCC for confirmation (b) (5)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Executive Director, Budget Directorate
U.S. Customs and Border Protection
Department of Homeland Security
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Friday, May 26, 2017 11:22 AM

To: CALVO, KARL H. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) KOLBE, KATHRYN (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

Karl, thanks for this. (b) (5)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Deputy Assistant Commissioner, Acquisition
Head of the Contracting Activity

Location: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) National Place, Washington, DC

Desk: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Main: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: CALVO, KARL H.

Sent: Friday, May 26, 2017 11:17 AM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: FW: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

Importance: High

All: Rather than trying to coordinate a call with multiple parties on a Friday before the holiday weekend, I'm attempting to explain what is needed via email. Below I've highlighted those questions from the Domestic Policy Council (DPC) that we need to pull together input from your offices. The highlighted sections are assigned to various offices (either USBP, OCC, Procurement or OFAM). Just to note, the DPC is a high ranking council (similar to NSC but on domestic issues) and has direct input to POTUS. Your help w/ these questions is highly important to ensure we get the answers correctly articulated.

I need your input by COB Tuesday, May 30th. OFAM will compile and send to EAC Kolbe before noon on Wednesday, May 31st.

I'd like to offer some clarity from my perspective from the meeting that might help with answers:

1. (b) (5)
2. (b) (5)

(b) (5)

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10

11

12

(b) (5)

Please call or email me if you have questions. Thanks.

v/r Karl

Karl H. Calvo, CFM, PMP

Assistant Commissioner, Office of Facilities and Asset Management

U.S. Customs and Border Protection

Washington, DC

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (Office)

cell)

From: KOLBE, KATHRYN

Sent: Friday, May 26, 2017 5:31 AM

To: CALVO, KARL H. <(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: FW: Domestic Policy Council Meeting

Karl, Would you please coordinate clarification to the questions from yesterday that require follow-up (see asterisks) and provide to my front office for review, as soon as possible. Please help (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) understand the context of question 3. Did you get (b)(6);(b)(7)(C) contact information? Would appreciate your clarification on the highway discussion for internal use only.

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C) Appreciate your assistance with answering question 3 for the White House staff. Karl can provide clarification.

Thank you...VR, KK

Kathryn L. Kolbe

Executive Assistant Commissioner

Enterprise Services

U.S. Customs and Border Protection

Office (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cell (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: VITIELLO, RONALD D (USBP)

Sent: Friday, May 26, 2017 5:21 AM

To: KOLBE, KATHRYN <(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>

Subject: RE: Domestic Policy Council Meetings

thanks

Ronald Donato Vitiello
Acting Deputy Commissioner
Customs and Border Protection

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)



From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, May 25, 2017 9:51 PM

To: MCALEENAN, KEVIN K (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) VITIELLO, RONALD D (USBP)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: FLANAGAN, PATRICK S (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) CALVO, KARL H.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C); PROVOST, CARLA (USBP) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b)(6);(b)(7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: Domestic Policy Council Meetings

Commissioner/Deputy,

The meeting with the DPC today went well. (b)(6);(b)(7)(C), AC Calvo & I attended. We briefed Andrew Bremberg, Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy; Paul Winfree, Deputy Assistant to the President, Director of Budget Policy; Gene Hamilton, Senior Counselor to the Secretary, DHS; and several others with immigration-related responsibilities.

Some key takeaways: They would like us to stay in touch with them regarding an event with the POTUS for the roll-out of the wall prototypes in San Diego. They conveyed the HAC/SAC desire for details in support of the FY18 & beyond wall construction as a pre-requisite to securing funds. They also offered their assistance in removing regulatory obstacles, if necessary. Below is a list of some of the dialogue/questions.

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.

(b) (5)

7.
8.
9.
10
11
12

(b) (5)

VR, KK

Kathryn L. Kolbe
Executive Assistant Commissioner
Enterprise Services
U.S. Customs and Border Protection
Office (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cell (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
To: [MCALEENAN, KEVIN K](#)
Cc: [FLANAGAN, PATRICK S](#)
Subject: FW: quick call re: TA request (border bill)
Date: Thursday, June 01, 2017 5:13:09 PM
Attachments: (b) (5)

Sir,

I will also review, but OLA received an updated version of the border bill that we discussed earlier this week. DHS OLA (b) (6) is circulating with components for feedback and OCA (AC Lowry) is coordinating for CBP.

Thank you,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: LOWRY, KIM M
Sent: Thursday, June 1, 2017 10:11 AM
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: FW: quick call re: TA request (border bill)

Per our conversation....

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2017 4:55 PM
To: LOWRY, KIM M (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) >
Subject: RE: quick call re: TA request (border bill)

Hi Kim,

I was hoping to speak with you directly before sending this to avoid any confusion, but also want to ensure CBP has enough time for review. Please call any time with questions or to discuss further...

In brief, we have received an updated version of the Cornyn-McCaul border bill for further review and comment (attached). The new draft incorporates prior feedback from CBP, ICE and USCIS, as well as track change comments requesting DHS response.

We have been asked to provide immediate feedback on this new draft. To ensure there is sufficient time for review and comment, however, OLA is requesting that ICE, USCIS and CBP provide **TA by COB Monday (6/5)**. Like last time, please provide comments from both a policy and legal perspective. Anything that might undermine law enforcement or present operational challenges should be flagged. OLA will review the comments and compile them for Gene's review prior to distribution to Hill staff.

I'm still waiting for guidance on comment format. Until further notice, please ask your team to compile TA in the form of track change comments to the attached document.

Thanks in advance for your help, and please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

Best,

(b) (6)

PS – I hope we can find a time to meet soon! Are there times over the next couple of weeks that might work for you?

(b) (6)

Office of Legislative Affairs
U.S. Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Wednesday, May 31, 2017 3:21 PM

To: LOWRY, KIM M (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) >

Subject: quick call re: TA request (border bill)

Importance: High

Hi Kim,

Hope you are well!

Do you have time for a quick call? Want to be sure I'm directing a TA request to the right person.

Thanks for your help,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

Office of Legislative Affairs
U.S. Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

From: [FLANAGAN, PATRICK S](#)
To: [MCALEENAN, KEVIN K](#)
Subject: FW: FYs 2017 & 2018 budget roll out
Date: Saturday, March 18, 2017 8:10:12 AM
Attachments: [DHS FY 2017 Budget Amendment Justification.pdf](#)

FYI

V/R
Patrick

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2017 3:08:51 AM
To: FLANAGAN, PATRICK S; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); LOWRY, KIM M
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: FYs 2017 & 2018 budget roll out

Please see attached for the CJ just transmitted to the Hill.

From: FLANAGAN, PATRICK S
Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 4:46:49 PM
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); LOWRY, KIM M
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: FYs 2017 & 2018 budget roll out

Thank you; and let us know when the Congressional Justifications come in.

V/R
Patrick

Patrick Flanagan
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Notice: FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY - this transmission contains material covered by the Privacy Act of 1974 and should be viewed only by personnel having an official "need to know." If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the content of this information is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by email and delete the original message.

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 4:46 PM
To: FLANAGAN, PATRICK S (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) >; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) <(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>; LOWRY, KIM M <(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) >
Subject: RE: FYs 2017 & 2018 budget roll out

Briefing materials are attached. Note that the Congressional Justification is not yet final and is expected to be transmitted by DHS late today. A copy can be added to these materials once finalized. I also understand that Kim has already provided the reprogramming briefing deck - (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) will be delivering a hard copy of that and all other materials shortly.

Please let me know if anything further is needed,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 3:48:55 PM
To: FLANAGAN, PATRICK S; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); LOWRY, KIM M
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: FYs 2017 & 2018 budget roll out

Should have it in the next 30 minutes.

From: FLANAGAN, PATRICK S
Sent: Friday, March 17, 2017 3:35:31 PM
To: (b) (6); LOWRY, KIM M
Cc: (b) (6)
Subject: RE: FYs 2017 & 2018 budget roll out

Status check on when we can expect materials for the Sen Boozman meeting?

V/R

Patrick

Patrick Flanagan

(b) (6)

Notice: FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY - this transmission contains material covered by the Privacy Act of 1974 and should be viewed only by personnel having an official "need to know." If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the content of this information is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by email and delete the original message.

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 5:27 PM
To: FLANAGAN, PATRICK S <(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) LOWRY, KIM M <(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
>
Subject: RE: FYs 2017 & 2018 budget roll out

Hi Patrick,

We prepared a mini-Congressional Justification similar to what we normally send to the Hill for Budget rollouts, which they will have in advance of Monday's meeting (expected to be sent tomorrow). Are you also looking for any materials we developed internally with DHS and OMB that could be shared? We're working to pull that information together for both Monday's meeting and the HAC/SAC staff briefing on Wednesday. Happy to track down anything specific the Commissioner is interested in including.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: FLANAGAN, PATRICK S
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 4:14 PM
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) >; LOWRY, KIM M <(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) >
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) >
Subject: RE: FYs 2017 & 2018 budget roll out

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

For prep materials, the Commissioner asked for what information we sent up with the Budget on the Wall. And can we share that information with the Hill.

V/R
Patrick

Patrick Flanagan
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Notice: FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY - this transmission contains material covered by the Privacy Act of 1974 and should be viewed only by personnel having an official "need to know." If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the content of this information is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by email and delete the original message.

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 3:27 PM
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) LOWRY, KIM M
<(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) >
Cc: FLANAGAN, PATRICK S (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: FYs 2017 & 2018 budget roll out

Thanks very much. We'll begin working briefing materials.

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 3:22:07 PM
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); LOWRY, KIM M
Cc: FLANAGAN, PATRICK S; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: FYs 2017 & 2018 budget roll out

That works, I will get it on C1's calendar.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Adjutant for (A) Commissioner McAleenan

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (desk)

(bb)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 3:22 PM

To: LOWRY, KIM M (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

<(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>

Cc: FLANAGAN, PATRICK S (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: FYs 2017 & 2018 budget roll out

Hi (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Chairman Boozman is available for a 4:30 meeting in Hart 141. I would anticipate 45 minute duration. Please let me know if that works.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

From: LOWRY, KIM M

Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 1:19:00 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: FLANAGAN, PATRICK S; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: FYs 2017 & 2018 budget roll out

Thank you, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) I am including (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) from the approps team who has been speaking with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and can coordinate. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) is also included as a back up. Kim

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 1:00 PM

To: LOWRY, KIM M <(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>

Cc: FLANAGAN, PATRICK S <(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: FYs 2017 & 2018 budget roll out

Ma'am,

Who should I work with to Schedule? C1 wants to take the meeting and has some availability on Monday, I can pretty much make anything work other than 9:00-12:30. C1 has the Sen. Cornyn Briefing and a meeting with FEDEX, but any other times will work. Just let me know who I should coordinate with and we'll get it scheduled.

Thank you,

From: LOWRY, KIM M

Sent: Thursday, March 16, 2017 11:28 AM

To: MCALEENAN, KEVIN K <(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>

Cc: ALLES, RANDOLPH D <(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>; FLANAGAN, PATRICK S

<(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>; <(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>

<(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>

Subject: RE: FYs 2017 & 2018 budget roll out

C1,

The Senator Boozman call is now a meeting per (b) (6) (b) (6) is targeting the meeting for Monday, either with you or the C2. If we can't make Monday work, we can go back and work with (b) (6) (he may not be pleased though). Please advise how you would like to proceed.

Thank you

VR

Kim

From: MCALEENAN, KEVIN K

Sent: Wednesday, March 15, 2017 5:57 PM

To: LOWRY, KIM M <(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>

Cc: ALLES, RANDOLPH D <(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>; FLANAGAN, PATRICK S

<(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)> KOLBE,

KATHRYN <(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>; FRIEL, MICHAEL J <(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>

Subject: RE: FYs 2017 & 2018 budget roll out

Yes, okay with both proposed approaches.

From: LOWRY, KIM M

Sent: Wednesday, March 15, 2017 5:24 PM

To: MCALEENAN, KEVIN K <(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>

Cc: ALLES, RANDOLPH D <(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>; FLANAGAN, PATRICK S <(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>; KOLBE, KATHRYN <(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>; FRIEL, MICHAEL J <(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)>
Subject: FYs 2017 & 2018 budget roll out

C1,

I spoke with the C2 this morning at the 0830 regarding the way forward regarding the reprogramming as well as the budget roll out. I recommended, the C2 concurred, that we brief the appropriations committee next Thursday and provide a comprehensive briefing on the current state of play as well as the strategy with regards to the wall as well as the hiring related to the budget request. I believe that the staff is frustrated that we have provided information in pieces. If appropriations committee staff concur, the goal would be to brief the wall (and also address the funding for the surge) in the first hour and walk through the strategy and the associated funding (and being honest with the information that we don't know at this point in time). This briefing would be followed up with a hiring briefing focused on FY 2017. I spoke with EAC Kolbe and her leadership team earlier today (OF, OFAM, HRM) as well as DHS OCFO Budget Director (b) (6) about this proposed way forward. They did not have any issues with this way forward. Please advise if you are okay with this way forward.

In addition to the briefings, (b) (6) indicated yesterday that Chairman Boozman would like a call with you. It seems to be driven by the staff feeling they do not have the full information they need to brief the Chairman regarding the reprogramming/wall. The Chairman offered to get involved if it would help. I would recommend that you have a call with the Chairman to provide assurance that we are going down a reasonable path and understand the staff's frustration with limited information flow. It will also be an opportunity to inform the Chairman that we will be briefing his staff with more complete information in the near future.

I will be at the Senator Cornyn pre-brief in the am, if you would like to discuss further.

VR
Kim