Re: Solicitation HSBP1017R0022

To Whom it May Concern,

We are writing to inquire as to whether the Concept Paper for solicitation number HSBP1017R0022 is still due and if so what time today. When originally sending our paper, it looked as if (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) was the primary contact to send the paper in to. After doing some more research it appears that this is the correct email where that was to be sent. It looks like the actual RFP's have been posted and it is unclear as to the deadline time for the paper.

The Owner of our company will follow up with a copy of the Concept Paper shortly.

Thank you for your time,
Re: the RFP for the “Border Wall,” can you direct me where to find Wage and Hour requirements (pertaining to A/E designers, construction workers, employees, etc.)

We are at the Federal Business Opportunities website for this project, but can’t seem to find a link for Wage and Hour (and reporting) requirements. Will this be a “Davis-Bacon” commercial wage project? If so, we need to see those wage scales before we prepare a proposal.

Thank you in advance for your prompt response.
Have not received RFP. Concept for initial concepts still due on the 20th?

Thank you

Sent from my iPhone
Hi,

Please know this article ‘CBP Requests Proposals for Border Wall Prototypes (Learn More)’ has been published in American Security Today at https://americansecuritytoday.com/cbp-requests-proposals-border-wall-prototypes-learn/, shared with our 70k+ readers, and with our followers on Twitter, Facebook & LinkedIn - complete with live links to your website and video (if available.)

At AST we do our best to tell the COMPLETE story – with compelling images and video input whenever available – directly embedded into the article.

It was also featured in the AST Daily News Alert below, and has been picked up by Google News.

AST is kicking off our comprehensive 2017 ‘ASTORS’ Homeland Security Awards program, following Outstanding Success with our Inaugural 2016 ‘ASTORS’ Program, organized to recognize the most distinguished vendors of physical, IT, port security, law enforcement, and first responders, in acknowledgment of their outstanding efforts to ‘Keep our Nation Secure, One City at a Time.’

Great opportunity to get LOTS of media coverage and be introduced to our 70K+ readers! And the American Security Expo is a terrific opportunity to showcase your wares and schedule potential client visits in the NYC Metropolitan area.

For more information please see https://americansecuritytoday.com/ast-awards/, and a list of categories is available at https://americansecuritytoday.com/award-categories/.

To see a list of 2016 winners please visit https://americansecuritytoday.com/2016-astors-homeland-security-award-winners-announced/.

‘American Security Expo 2017’ will be held November 8-9, 2017, at the Meadowlands Exposition Center in New Jersey.

There will be a host of Exhibit, Speaker and Sponsorship Opportunities on the show floor as well as a full schedule of conference meetings and speakers. Also featuring an Unmanned Security, Robotics & Drone Exhibition Arena, Hiring Event & Education Opportunities if you’d like to get involved.

To learn more about American Security Today, request information on submitting guest editorial, get details on American Security Expo 2017 or request a Media Kit, contact (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) for advertising opportunities at (b)(6), (b)(7)(C).

American Security Today focuses on new and evolving security threats across all levels of Homeland Security and Public Safety for personnel who are on the front lines of protecting our communities, cities and nation. AST reaches both public and private experts, essential to meeting these new challenges.

AST Offers

- Compelling, attractive and easy to read 100% mobile-friendly, digital publications delivered daily to a select readership of over 70,000 decision makers in the American security and homeland security fields.
- Leading coverage of breaking issues facing modern security professionals on the local, county, state, federal and commercial security levels, in a world of heightened extremism and generalized global instability
- Innovative security products, resources, services and training initiatives for addressing today’s real threats
- Breaking technologies for creating proactive, integrated & coordinated objectives to keep pace with today’s growing challenges
- Interactive detection capabilities that can seamlessly distribute info & data to decision makers and emergency personnel to identify and respond to threats in real time

Thank you so much for your time and attention. I look forward to working with you!
Enjoy a lovely weekend!

Editorial Director at AST

American Security Today
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Cutting-Edge Products and Technologies to help Keep Our Nation Safe, One City at a Time
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Week Full of Mistakes for US Secret Service (Multi-Video)

A series of incidents is embarrassing the Secret Service, tasked with protecting the President &
Vice President of the United States. An agent's computer was stolen with floor plans & evacuation protocols for Trump Tower Thurs... Read More

http://americansecuritytoday.us13.list-manage.com/track/click?u=f772c20ff8d9e0f1438fdb51a&id=c3ddb33611&e=f985ed769e

Gun Cam Unobstructed View for Transparency in Policing (Video)
The Shield firearm mounted camera has one objective: to easily & accurately capture the a use-of-force event from start to finish, providing officers & citizens a unobstructed view during crucial moments without foiling an officer's ability to act... Read More

http://americansecuritytoday.us13.list-manage.com/track/click?u=f772c20ff8d9e0f1438fdb51a&id=ce3f80a464&e=f985ed769e

NY EMT Run Over, Killed by Man Driving Stolen Ambulance
FDNY EMT Yadira Arroyo, a mom of five, was crushed under the wheels and her partner was injured after they tried to shoo a man off the back of the ambulance, who had been joyriding on the rear bumper commandeered the vehicle... Read More

http://americansecuritytoday.us13.list-manage.com/track/click?u=f772c20ff8d9e0f1438fdb51a&id=05b090f9ee&e=f985ed769e

49 Charged in NY Drug Traffick, Robbery & Firearms Probe
49 members of Bronx-based drug-distribution orgs with narcotics, robbery, firearms & murder offenses were arrested following a joint op by ICE HSI and the NYPD with assistance from the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA)... Read More

http://americansecuritytoday.us13.list-manage.com/track/click?u=f772c20ff8d9e0f1438fdb51a&id=9ef24602ab&e=f985ed769e
SureScan x1000 First EDS to Pass ECAC 3.1 Standard
The SureScan x1000 Explosive Detection System is the first TSA certified and now, ECAC EDS Standard 3.1 multi-energy static gantry explosive detection system (EDS) for checked baggage screening, the next generation in EDS...

Data Comm Comes to New York Airports (See How it Works)
Data Comm, NextGen tech that enhances safety & reduces delays by improving the way air traffic controllers & pilots talk to each other, is up & running at five airports in the NY Metro area: JFK, LaGuardia, Newark, Teterboro & Westchester...

CBP Requests Proposals for Border Wall (Learn More)
CBP has released two RFP's to award contracts for the design & construction of wall prototypes to include Solid Concrete Border Wall, and Border Wall Other (than Solid Concrete) to include alternative options to Concrete...

Hikvision & Eagle Eye Networks to Benefit System Integrators
Partnership to provide deeper bi-directional integration between Hikvision's world class products & Eagle Eye's purpose-built cloud platform will have significant, direct benefits for systems integrators includes ease of install & ext tech support...
BAE Systems to Modernize USS Vicksburg for Navy (See in Action)

BAE Systems received $42.9M Navy contract to modernize the USS Vicksburg, a Ticonderoga-class guided missile cruiser, the second guided missile cruiser to undergo extensive repair & upgrade as part of the Navy's long-term modern... Read More
Good evening I like to know how I would obtain the RFP to design and build the border wall can you please forward me all information regarding this project so we can review and submit a proposal.
Please find the attached question in reference to the subject RFP.

VR,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question No.</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Attachment #1 SOW C.3.1 Border Wall Design Considerations Pg # 1 2nd paragraph (pg 62/132 of pdf)</td>
<td>Technical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Category</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Would the government consider a solution not incorporating a physical barrier but rather a combination of sensors, cameras, and advance surveillance/detection equipment integrated into a common operating picture? Capabilities combining data from multiple sources could support areas not amenable to physical construction and/or compliment physical barriers such as intrusion detection. If not in this procurement, is the government considering other procurements where such solutions could be offered?
My question is: The ombudsman is not listed in the original RFP, dated 17 March. Why is there a delay in establishing the staff member to serve in an advocacy position like this?

Thank you, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question No.</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Question Category</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>Solicitation, Section M.6 Evaluation Approach</td>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>Offerors must demonstrate that they have the ability to bond for a minimum value of $200,000. Is this statement meant to state 275M based upon the order limitations of the contract? Please provide the single and aggregate bonding amounts required for the contract.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>Solicitation, Section C</td>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>The RFP states that all selected contractors will be awarded one task order to construct its proposed prototype. Will the government award one prototype as the method of construction for the wall and all other awarded IDIQ contractors will bid on this design? Or will one contractor be awarded a large task order value to construct its prototype and the other IDIQ holders will bid on subsequent task orders that include the tactical infrastructure as defined in section C?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I had the following RFI questions. See attached

1. For the Phase 1 and Phase 2 portions of the process can a letter of Bondability from our Bt that shows we can bond $25,000,000? (The task order amount of $275,000,000 implies that needs to be obtained).

2. Under paragraph 1 Demonstrated Experience(page 38 of RFP) it states to demonstrate pre requirement be changed to projects over $10,000,000?
Border Control Program Manager,

Attached is an excel sheet with question 01 for solicitation HSBP1017R0023 Border Wall Design Build - phase 1
You would access the "Interested Vendors List" by accessing the information through www.fbo.gov (per below screen print).
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Monday, March 20, 2017 11:40 AM
To: BORDERWALLDESIGNBUILD <borderwalldesignbuild@cbp.dhs.gov>
Subject: Border Wall

Good Morning

We are a leading supplier of concrete accessories in the Southwest. We have branches from Yuma to El Paso near the southern US borders. We would like the opportunity to supply the contractors constructing the Border Wall with their materials. Can I get on any interest lists?

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Thanks for your consideration.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Solid Concrete Border Wall RFP

Solicitation Number: HSBP1017R0022
Agency: Department of Homeland Security
Office: Customs and Border Protection
Location: Procurement Directorate - IN

**Note:** Please log-in to view the Interested Vendors List. For Agency Users (Buyers/Engineers/Administrators) please login via the Homepage to view the IVL list.

Username:
Password:

Keep me logged in on this computer

Go Reset
We have only issued the 2 solicitations (HSBP1017R: 0022 & 0023) that are on FBO.gov. We have no knowledge as to a requirement for the work you described below.

These appear to be geared to a general contractor or subcontractor demographic and I was just curious if there was going to be any solicitation going out for a construction manager or owner's representative role?

To whom it may concern,

I have a question regarding the RFP's that I see available as of 3-17-17. These appear to be geared to a general contractor or subcontractor demographic and I was just curious if there was going to be any solicitation going out for a construction manager or owner's representative role? To specify construction manager, which can have a broad meaning in the construction industry, I mean a company that would work for the owner (US Govt.) as an onsite representative that oversees the General Contractor or the one who is physically building the wall, reviews pay applications, holds GC accountable on schedule, safety/security, assures they adhere to BMP's, etc.

I work for (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and we are a small company out of Dallas, TX that specializes in this type of role and would love an opportunity to represent both our country and our fellow small business owners in this project. I would greatly appreciate any help or information that can be given. My contact is below if needed.

This is an exciting reality for a vast majority of Americans, especially those in the construction industry who can have an opportunity to be a part of an accomplishment such as this that will create jobs and help to secure our great nation.

Thank you for your time.
Please see the following questions regarding RFP HSBP1017R0022:

1. As a part of this solicitation, will there be any requirement for a project controls dashboard or other performance metrics resources as a communications tool during the performance of services?

2. Will any requirement or goal be established for responding teams regarding the engagement of verified Service-Disabled, Veteran-owned Small Businesses for the provision of services on these projects?

3. In the evaluation of responses, will the regional presence and project portfolio of the firms performing services be taken into account (i.e. firms with offices and project experience along the border)? If so, will there be accommodation for this criteria from state to state (for example, a firm with extensive experience in Texas may not have a California presence, and vice versa)?

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 12:42 PM
To: BORDERWALLDESIGNBUILD
Subject: RE: RFP # HSBP1017R0023 - Questions
Attachments: HSBP1017R0023 Deadline Questions.xlsx

Please see some clarification requests regarding the Amendments in the attached.

Thanks,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question No.</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Question Category</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>Solicitation HSBP1017R0022: Amendments 2 &amp; 3</td>
<td>Contract</td>
<td><strong>Question/Comment:</strong> Please clarify. Will the Government please confirm that the due date stands at 4:00 pm Eastern, April 4, 2017 as stipulated in Amendment A002?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Good day:

CBP is pursuing two RFPs to maximize our opportunity to partner with industry in the development of options for border barrier. Two RFPs will allow CBP to evaluate each design category independently allowing for the best concrete wall designs and the best alternative wall designs for award, construction and evaluation.

One RFP solicits concepts for concrete wall designs and the other solicits alternative designs other than concrete. Proposals that result from both RFPs must meet the minimum U.S. Border Patrol (USBP) requirements as stipulated in the Statements of Work.

CBP anticipates constructing multiple prototypes in the San Diego Sector Area of Responsibility. CBP identified the San Diego Sector Area of Responsibility as the location to construct wall prototypes because of site accessibility to construct and the ability to evaluate wall as part of a larger, existing border infrastructure system.

CBP will leverage the lessons learned from prototype construction to develop a standard border wall design for future construction as part of a border wall system. This standard will be developed collaboratively and will account for Administration priorities, USBP operational requirements, cost effectiveness, constructability and durability.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Public Affairs Specialist

Office of Public Affairs

U.S. Customs and Border Protection

9400 Viscount Blvd., Suite 200

El Paso, TX 79925

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2017 7:19 AM
To: CBP Media Relations <CBPMediaRelations@cbp.dhs.gov>; BORDERWALLDESIGNBUILD <borderwalldesignbuild@cbp.dhs.gov>
Subject: CLARIFICATION REQUEST: RFP on US-Border wall - HSBP1017R0022 and HSBP1017R0023

Good morning,

I hope this finds you well. I'm a writer for Quartz / Atlantic Media and currently working on a piece about the design of the border wall. I have a few clarifying questions on the solicitation for prototypes:

1. Why were there 2 separate RFPs issued? Couldn't have the "solid concrete" (HSBP1017R0022) and "other border wall" (HSBP1017R0023) be condensed into one?
2. How many types of wall designs are you planning to award?
3. Where will the winning prototypes be constructed and tested? Will this be open to the public?
4. Who will be in the review panel?
5. Will the oral presentations be open to the public?

Many thanks,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Get the Quartz Daily Brief in your inbox.
Hello,
I was hoping to follow the progress of this RFP and be notified what companies were successful in the RFP process. Can you direct me on how I can access this information. Since I am a private grant writer, I do not have a DUNS number in order to register.

Thank you.
Question:
Are the set aside requirements for Primes ONLY or for Subcontractors also?
Regards-

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Factor 2-4 – Small Business Subcontracting Plan

For Factor 2-4, Small Businesses will not be assigned a rating by the Government. All large businesses will be evaluated for this factors as follows:

The Government will assess the offeror’s understanding, commitment, and past history of small business participation that will assist CBP in meeting their Small Business goals.

Reflects a valid corporate commitment between all parties in providing subcontracting opportunities for small business, small disadvantaged business, women-owned small business, Hubzone small business, and service-disabled veteran owned concerns. It is more advantageous to demonstrate specific, binding commitments for substantive work for this proposed effort;

The Government will assess how successful the offeror has been in meeting and/or exceed their subcontracting goals on previously performed contracts.

Reflects compliance with CBP goals listed in Section I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMALL BUSINESS</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMALL DISADVANTAGED</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOMAN OWNED</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUBZONE</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERVICE DISABLED VETERAN OWNED</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Design-Build Structure

Solicitation Number: 2017-JC-RT-0001
We are a Certified Small Business, Disadvantaged Business and Minority Business. I also own part of a Disadvantaged Veteran Business.

Question: Are there going to be any set aside requirement for the above certifications? If so, what are the requirements? Also, should the primes invest interest in getting this small companies to be on their team at the early stages of development?

Thank you, and God Bless OUR USA
Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender and may not necessarily reflect the views of the company.
I would like access to the most detailed vendor list the CBP has available for the “Other Border Wall RFP,” Solicitation Number: HSBP1017R0023.

Please confirm receipt of this email. I would like a response no later than Friday, March 31 at 12 p.m. Thank you.
Good afternoon,

I have a question regarding the Phase 1 and Phase 2 selection process.

Will the Phase 2 finalists be listed in a public forum? We know our product is a key player in concrete mix design for tougher, more durable concrete and we would like to make sure the finalists keep us in mind as a key player in the final mix design and design of their wall for the final bid.

Thank you for letting me know.
Amendment #2 posted on March 28th, extended the RFP response due date to 4PM on April 4, 2017.

Amendment #3, which was posted later on March 28, 2017 indicates that the response due date is not extended.

Please confirm the RFP response due date.

Are RFP responses still due on April 4, 2017?
From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2017 3:37 PM
To: BORDERWALLDESIGNBUILD
Subject: HSBP1017R0023 Questions
Attachments: Questions for CBP Solicitation HSBP1017R0023.xlsx

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) respectfully submits the attached questions pertaining to the Solicitation Number HSBP1017R0023, Border Wall Design/Build IDIQ Contract.

Kindly confirm receipt via return email.

v/r,
(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
All questions or concerns regarding any aspect of this solicitation shall be submitted electronically to BorderWallDesignBuild@cbp.dhs.gov no later than 4 PM EST on March 22, 2017. Questions received after this date and time may not be responded to by the Government. All emails with questions shall be clearly labeled in the subject line of the email with the RFP number.

Questions shall clearly identify the specific section of the solicitation to which each question relates when submitting questions. Reference should be made to the solicitation Section Heading, page number of the solicitation, and specific location on the page (e.g., third paragraph) in order to facilitate the Government’s response to each question. Questions shall be submitted in a Microsoft Excel file following a format similar to the table below:

### Table L.1 – Questions Format

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question No.</th>
<th>Solicitation or Attachments, and Section</th>
<th>Question Category</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1)</td>
<td>RFP Section L, Written Proposal Submission Format, Paragraphs 1 and 3, Page 38</td>
<td>Contract or Technical</td>
<td>In the Section L Instructions, “Written Proposal Submission Format,” we are instructed: “The paper qualifications shall be submitted in electronic format using Microsoft Word 2003 (or higher versions when available) for text submissions and Excel 2003 (or higher versions when available) for spreadsheet submissions.” However, in the third paragraph, “PDF pages shall be formatted on 8 1/2 by 11 inch paper... Would the Government prefer submission of the Phase I response in PDF format?”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2)</td>
<td>RFP Section L, Written Proposal Submission Format, Paragraphs 3 and 5, Page 38, 3) Prototype Concept Approach, Paragraph 1, page 39</td>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>In Section L, “Written Proposal Submission Format,” on page 38, we are instructed: “PDF pages should be formatted to print on 8 1/2 by 11 inch paper, unless another paper size is specifically authorized elsewhere in this solicitation or the particular submission.” In “3) Prototype Concept Approach,” on page 39, “The Offeror shall discuss and present the Offeror’s proposed design and construction concept for the Other Border Wall Prototype”, consisting of technical approach narratives and information regarding the material and system quality. This may include conceptual level presentation drawings. We believe it would be in the best interest of the Government to see a complete conceptual level approach in a drawing/graphic best depicted on a tabloid sized page (11x17 inches). For the purpose of receiving the best available conceptual approach, will the Government allow for a conceptual-level graphic on a tabloid size page? Would the Government please exempt this drawing from the already minimal 16-page count to permit the illustration and concise discussion/presentation of the concept approach?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3)</td>
<td>RFP Section L, “Written Proposal Submission Format,” page 38, paragraph 3</td>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>In Section L, “Written Proposal Submission Format,” on page 38, paragraph 3, we are instructed: “Do not use a font size smaller than 12, an unusual font style such as script, or condensed print for any submission.” In the best interest of a clear, concise response, we want to use a few illustrative graphics and tables, will the government please consider the usual font-size standard for graphics to be first and foremost readable and legible, and no less than an 8-point size for fonts used within graphics?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4)</td>
<td>RFP Section L, Written Proposal Submission Format, Paragraph 8, 1) Demonstrated Experience</td>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>In Section L, “Written Proposal Submission Format,” on page 38, paragraph 8, we are instructed: “The Offeror shall also ask the identified POC to complete a Project Performance Survey (Attachment #8), which the Offeror shall submit with its concept paper.” To best describe our ability to manage large projects, will the government please allow us to submit more than one Attachment 8, Project Performance Survey with our concept paper?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question No.</td>
<td>Reference:</td>
<td>Question Category</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5)</td>
<td>RFP Section L, Written Proposal Submission Format, Paragraph 8, 1) Demonstrated Experience</td>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>In Section L, &quot;Written Proposal Submission Format,&quot; on page 39, paragraph 8, we are instructed: &quot;The Offeror shall also ask the identified POC to complete a Project Performance Survey (see Attachment 8), which the Offeror shall submit with its concept paper.&quot; To provide the government the most complete evaluation data of our performance, will the government accept the most recent Contractor Performance Assessment Report completed by the government contract office in lieu of a Project Performance Survey?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6)</td>
<td>RFP SF1442, Block 10: Section C-Description/Specification, page 5, Description of Work, NAICS Code: 236220</td>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>In the interest of securing the US border, and especially the southern border, the Government is interested in a broad range of solutions other than a solid concrete- faced wall - &quot;alternatives to reinforced solid concrete walls.&quot; The resultant contract as a Task-Order-based, multi-award BPA, should include engineering solutions that provide electronic, dynamic, and virtual solutions that can be applied everywhere on our borders - even where traditional construction of a physical structure would be very difficult or even impossible for environmental or geotechnical reasons. Please substitute the 334220 - Design-Manufacturing Electronic Communications and 541330 - Engineering Services NAICS codes. These NAICS Codes will permit electronic, dynamic, flexible (mobile and fixed), and virtual concept approaches that will greatly enhance security, border protection, and both augment construction and substitute for it where no construction can take place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7)</td>
<td>RFP Section L, 2) Management and Technical Competence, Page 36</td>
<td>Technical</td>
<td>On page 39 and the section c and Attachment 1, statement of work, the government identifies a number of exemplary questions to be considered during its proposal review. Several of those are specific to large design-build construction projects. Given that this is not a construction effort, can the following requirements be eliminated from consideration? - Describe your experience executing high profile, high visibility and politically contentious design-build Projects - Describe your experience constructing tactical infrastructure (e.g. fencing, roads, drainage, lights, etc.) on the southwest border - Describe your design-build experience constructing projects in challenging (e.g. steep slopes up to 45 degrees) and/or inaccessible terrain on the southwest border - Describe your dollar threshold experience with large design-build contracts efforts - what was the minimum/maximum?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8)</td>
<td>RFP Section L, 2) Management and Technical Competence on page 35 and Section M.9, Evaluation Approach, Factor 1-2 on page 53, Key Personnel identified in section C.10 on page 12</td>
<td>Technical</td>
<td>RFP Section L, 2) Management and Technical Competence on page 35 and Section M.9, Evaluation Approach, Factor 1-2 on page 53 both reference the need to identify and commit Key Personnel with appropriate experience and qualifications. The Key Personnel identified in section C.10 on page 12 identifies three (3) Key Personnel as Construction Superintendent, Project Manager, and Lead Engineer that include design and construction qualifications. Given that this is not a design and construction effort, can these requirements be deleted and changed to Program Director instead of Construction Superintendent, Project Manager, and Chief Engineer, instead of Lead Designer? Given that this will not be a traditional construction, but more of an engineering effort, please remove all construction-specific qualifications from key personnel requirements?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9)</td>
<td>SF 1442, Blocks 10, 12a, 18: Section H - Special Contract Requirements, Ordering Procedures, Page 11, Section I - Contract Clauses, 52.226-2, Additional Bond Security (Oct 1997), page 14, Section L, 1) Demonstrated Experience, page 38; Section M.6, Factor 1-1, Demonstrated Experience, page 53; Attachment 8: SF-24 (Bid Bond); Attachment 87: SF-25 (Performance Bonds)</td>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>In the Government's best interests of receiving a broad range of innovative conceptual approaches for a prototype proof of concept that will secure the border in wall-like fashion without the necessity of a traditional construction effort, will the Government waive bondability and remove all bond requirements?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10)</td>
<td>Section F - Deliveries or Performance, 52.211-12 - Liquidated Damages - Construction (Sept 2009), page 8; Section I - Contract Clauses, 52.215-16 - Liquidated Damages - Subcontracting Plan (Jan 1999), page 13, Section L, 3) Prototype Concept Approach, page 40</td>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>Would the Government please eliminate the Liquidated Damages penalties referenced for those solutions and conceptual approaches that do not require a traditional construction effort either for implementation of prototype, or for full-scale deployment?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question No.</td>
<td>Reference:</td>
<td>Question Category</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 11)          | Section L, General Instructions to Offerors, Alternate Proposals  
"Alternate Proposals will not be accepted in response to this solicitation." page 35 | Contract | In the interests of receiving the broadest range of innovative conceptual approaches at least through demonstration and prototype, please change the language to state:  
"Multiple, alternate proposals will not be accepted. Just one proposal and no alternate or alternative proposals may be submitted."
| 12)          | Section L, General Instructions to Offerors, Alternate Proposals  
"Alternate Proposals will not be accepted in response to this solicitation." page 35 | Contract | Request the government defines what are alternate proposals. |
|              |            |                   |          |
|              |            |                   |          |
|              |            |                   |          |
|              |            |                   |          |
|              |            |                   |          |
|              |            |                   |          |
|              |            |                   |          |
|              |            |                   |          |
Hi, this is [Name] from Fox 10 in Phoenix. Do you have a listing you can provide of all the expressions of interest made in the Border Wall? Can we get on the email list when the RFP is sent out, or would that have to be done separately?

Thank you for all of your help in this matter.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent from Outlook
To whom it may concern;

"Phase 1 of the RFP will be due on or about March 20, 2017". Is this still the current deadline for the 2017-JC-RT-0001 announcement?

Cheers
Yes.

Click on the links at [www.fbo.gov](http://www.fbo.gov) and search for HSBP1017R0022 (link Solicitation #1 or #2) and HSBP1017R0023 (Solicitation #1). Follow the requirements to provide a submission.

In one area on FBO is shows this upcoming solicitation cancelled. Is it still active?

Thank you.
You would submit in accordance with the direction provided in the two solicitations.

---Original Message---

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2017 10:08 AM
To: BORDERWALLDESIGNBUILD <borderwalldesignbuild@cbp.dhs.gov>
Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Subject: Bid Question

How do I submit a bid for a non-conforming solution that includes $25B in private sector funding for the project??

---Original Message---

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2017 11:14 AM
To: BORDERWALLDESIGNBUILD <borderwalldesignbuild@cbp.dhs.gov>
Subject: Re: Bid Question

Thank you. I have looked there and at the long RFP documents, but I missed the electronic submitted information so we could attach a file. Is there a section you could send me too?

Thank you.

> On Mar 22, 2017, at 9:12 AM, BORDERWALLDESIGNBUILD <borderwalldesignbuild@cbp.dhs.gov> wrote:
> See www.FBO.gov
> HSBP1017R0022
> HSBP1017R0023
> > ---Original Message---
> > From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
> > Sent: Wednesday, March 22, 2017 10:08 AM
> > To: BORDERWALLDESIGNBUILD <borderwalldesignbuild@cbp.dhs.gov>
> > Cc: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)
> > Subject: Bid Question
> > > How do I submit a bid for a non-conforming solution that includes $25B in private sector funding for the project??