

(b) (5), (b) (6)



(b) (5), (b) (6)

**Pedestrian Fence
RGV Map Series
Project: O-1**



★ Potential Relocation

(b) (7)(E)

— Baker_Fence_Alignment 60'

— RGC_

— Damaged if Taken in Fee

— Never DT'd

— Recommended Fee Acq

— FEE TRACT

--- PARENT TRACT

— PERPETUAL RD EASEMENT

— TEMPORARY WORK AREA

— USFWS Fee Owned

0 550 1,100
1 inch = 550 feet



10/12/2012

BW11101A.CBP 002235



INTERNATIONAL BOUNDARY AND WATER COMMISSION
UNITED STATES AND MEXICO

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER
UNITED STATES SECTION

February 15, 2012

(b) (6), P.E., Project Manager
Customs and Border Protection
1301 Constitution Avenue NW
West Building, B-155
Washington, DC 20299

Dear Mr. (b) (6)

The U.S. Section of the International Boundary and Water Commission has completed its review of the Drainage Report dated August 2011, and associated two-dimensional hydraulic models prepared by Michael Baker, Jr. Inc., on behalf of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS), for the erection of approximately (b) (7)(E) of security fence within the limits of the Rio Grande floodplain in Roma, Texas (Segment O-1, (b) (7)(E)), Rio Grande City, Texas (Segment O-2, (b) (7)(E)), and Los Ebanos, Texas (Segment O-3, (b) (7)(E)). After an in depth and thorough review, the USIBWC has concluded that the proposed fence project(s) will not cause significant deflection or obstruction of the normal or flood flows of the Rio Grande and is, therefore, consistent with the stipulations in Article IV-B of the 1970 Boundary Treaty. With this in mind, the USIBWC has no objection to the erection of the fence segments within the limits of the Rio Grande floodplain, provided that the fence closely follows the proposed alignment and standard design details (b) (7)(E) described in the respective Drainage Report. The USIBWC hereby requests that the DHS comply with the following conditions:

1. Implement a maintenance program to remove any trash and/or debris found along the alignment of each fence including the approaches to the fence on a regular basis, especially after a storm event.
2. To the USIBWC's satisfaction, provide any future repair along the adjacent banks pertinent to the fence segments mentioned above, should any damage occur.

It should be noted that the USIBWC did not review these fence projects for any potential environmental impacts since they are covered by the Environmental Waiver obtained by DHS in April 2008. If you have any questions, please contact me at (915) 832-4749 or via email at John.Merino@ibwc.gov.

(b) (6)

JOHN L. MERINO, P.E.
Principal Engineer

From: (b) (6)
To: (b) (6)
Cc: (b) (6)
Subject: RE: CIR O-1 thru O-3 Brief
Date: Tuesday, May 07, 2013 3:40:16 PM
Attachments: [8 May Brief V 4.1.ppt](#)

I apologize, corrected read ahead for tomorrow is attached <<8 May Brief V 4.1.ppt>>

(b) (6)

CTR-LMI

BPFTI PMO

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Tuesday, May 07, 2013 3:31 PM
To: (b) (6)

(b) (6)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: CIR O-1 thru O-3 Brief

<< File: 8 May Brief V 4.ppt >>

(b) (6)

CTR-LMI

BPFTI PMO

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

-----Original Appointment-----

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Monday, May 06, 2013 6:32 AM
To: (b) (6)

(b) (6)

(b) (6)
Cc: (b) (6)
Subject: FW: CIR O-1 thru O-3 Brief
When: Wednesday, May 08, 2013 2:00 PM-3:00 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).
Where: BPFTI Large Conf Room/ VTC/ (b) (7)(E)
Importance: High

(b) (6) asked me to forward this invite to you

-----Original Appointment-----

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Tuesday, April 23, 2013 4:31 PM

To: (b) (6)

Cc: (b) (6)

Subject: CIR O-1 thru O-3 Brief

When: Wednesday, May 08, 2013 2:00 PM-3:00 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).

Where: BPFTI Large Conf Room/ VTC/ (b) (7)(E)

Importance: High

Purpose is for TI Director (b) (6) to update XD and other Directors on status and path forward.
Agenda and read aheads forthcoming.

R/

CBP Office of Administration Facilities Management and Engineering

O-1 to O-3 Planning Brief



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection



Agenda



Purpose: Discuss O-1 to O-3 Planning Process and Use on Other Potential CIR Related Projects

- RGV Sector Numbers
- Situation
- Acquisition Strategy and Timeline
- Budget
- Design
- Real Estate
- Environmental
- Risks
- Staffing
- Adapting to Change
- Next Steps



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection

Situation

Rio Grande Valley (RGV)

- (b) (7)(E) of border with Mexico
- (b) (7)(E) Border Patrol Stations
 - Rio Grande City and McAllen Stations about O-1 to O-3
 - Existing Pedestrian Fence is (b) (7)(E)
 - O-1 to O-3 segments originally under Pedestrian Fence (PF) 225
 - Comprises approximately (b) (7)(E) of fence between Roma and Rio Grande City (see map)
 - IBWC concurrence with new alignment (satisfies treaty requirement)
 - South Texas is a high priority for Border Patrol



Acquisition Strategy and Timeline

- ✓ Flexible Approach
- ✓ Leverage multiple vehicles (Existing MATOC, New MATOC, Stand-Alones, Steel)

Course of Action:

- Concurrently pursue Acquisition plans for both 'C' and MATOC strategies
 - Award on existing MATOC must be made by Feb 15
- Keep all options on the table
- Retain flexibility to seize opportunities.

Base Plan:

Segment	O-3	O-1	O-2
Acq Strat	(b) (5)		
Start			
Acq Plan Complete			
Base Contract Award			
Design Complete			
RE Certified			
Construction Complete			



Design

O-1 through O-3 will be constructed using:

- Existing Design
- TI Design Standards

Bollard with Steel Plate

(b) (7)(E)



U.S. Customs and
Border Protection

- ROM RE Budge (b) (5)
- Projected RE Schedule: (b) (5)
 - O-3: (b) (5) In Hidalgo County; Owners already ID'd; Title work underway
 - O-1: (b) (5) – Starr County (b) (5)
 - O-2: (b) (5) Starr County + more new owners; (b) (5)
- Key Assumptions:
 - (b) (5)
 - (b) (5)
- Land Acquisition Options: (will be evaluated tract-by-tract)
 - (b) (5)
 - (b) (5)
- Significant Risks: (b) (5)
(b) (5)



From: (b) (6)
To: (b) (6)
Cc: (b) (6)
Subject: FW: Border Fence Segments O-1, O-2, & O-3 (IBWC / Public Question)
Date: Friday, May 03, 2013 11:44:54 AM
Attachments: [O-3 Plan 10172012.pdf](#)
[O-2 Plan 10152012.pdf](#)
[O-1-O-3 REPR DRAFT.pdf](#)
[O-1 Plan 10162012.pdf](#)
[02-012\(a\)USIBWC Approval Letter Segments O-123.pdf](#)
Importance: High

I just sent email and I am sending you the documents. (b) (6) will set up a meeting to discuss...

(b) (6), CBM, PMP
Division Director, TI Division
Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure
Program Management Office
Facilities Management and Engineering
1301 Constitution Ave. NW, (b) (6)
Washington, DC 20004

(b) (6)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy.

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Friday, May 03, 2013 11:41 AM
To: (b) (6)
Cc: (b) (6)
Subject: Border Fence Segments O-1, O-2, & O-3 (IBWC / Public Question)
Importance: High

(b) (6)

(b) (6) from IBWC notified me about the attached documents (first 4 documents). They indicated that they were provided these documents by a reporter who obtained these by means of a FOIA Request. The IBWC have not been provided any updates regarding the current O segment work we are planning, but they are fully aware of this project (as is the public). The reporter is asking IBWC if they have reviewed the changes being proposed to the alignment of the border fence.

IBWC is asking us if DHS is planning to revise the alignments for the border fence as recommended by the USACE for Roma, Rio Grande City and Los Ebanos, Texas. Additionally, IBWC is asking if the USACE determine if revised alignment still falls within the same grid in FLO-2D? The second question stems from the approval letter CBP received from IBWC back in February 2012 for the fence per the Hydrology study conducted by Baker (see attached).

Please advise how we want to address this question since anything we write may be filtered to this reporter.

Thanks,

(b) (6)

(b) (6), PMP
Project Manager, TI Project Division

Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure Program Management Office Facilities Management and Engineering

(b) (6)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy.



(b) (5), (b) (6)

**Pedestrian Fence
RGV Map Series
Project: O-3**



★ Potential Relocation

(b) (7)(E)

- Baker_Fence_O-3_60
- Recommended Fee Acq.
- FEE TRACT
- - - PARENT TRACT
- PERPETUAL RD EASEMENT
- - - TEMPORARY WORK AREA
- USFWS Fee Owned Tracts

300 0 300
Feet



**US Army Corps
of Engineers®**