



(b) (5), (b) (6)

**Pedestrian Fence
RGV Map Series
Project: O-3**



★ Potential Relocation

(b) (7)(E)


- Baker_Fence_O-3_60
- Recommended Fee Acq.
- FEE TRACT
- PARENT TRACT
- PERPETUAL RD EASEMENT
- TEMPORARY WORK AREA
- USFWS Fee Owned Tracts

300 0 300
Feet



**US Army Corps
of Engineers®**

(b) (5), (b) (6)






Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure (BPFTI) Program Management Office (PMO)

Real Estate Plan Proposed Pedestrian Fencing Segments O-1, O-2, O-3 Starr & Hidalgo Counties, Texas

As of:
30 November 2012



Prepared By:
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Fort Worth District

REAL ESTATE PLANNING REPORT – O-1, O-2, O-3

REAL ESTATE PLANNING REPORT

PF225 Segments O-1-O-3; Rio Grande Valley Sector (Starr & Hidalgo Counties, Texas)

INDEX

<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
Summary Sheet	3
Authority	4
Project	4
Site Selection Team	4
Sites Inspected	4
Description of Selected Site.....	5
Relocations.....	5
Attitude of Owners and Neighborhood.....	7
Outstanding Interests and Reservations	7
Sales and Supporting Data	7
Valuation.....	8
Uniform Relocation Assistance Costs	8
Recommended Estate.....	9
Recapture Rights	10
Government-owned Property.....	11
Proposed Construction	11
Possession Date.....	11
Administrative Costs.....	12
Schedule of Acquisition.....	12
Summary and Recommendation.....	12
<u>Exhibits</u>	
Exhibit “A” Directive	
Exhibit “B” Regional Map	
Exhibit “C” Site Map	
Exhibit “D” Vicinity Photographs	
Exhibit “E” Project Acquisition Schedule	
Exhibit “F” Tract Map	

SUMMARY SHEET

PF225 Segments O-1-O-3; Rio Grande Valley Sector (Starr & Hidalgo Counties, Texas)

DATE: 30 November 2012

1. Fee Title (235 Acres)
2. Easements (86 Acres)
3. Improvements
4. Hazard Removals
5. Mineral Rights
6. Damages
7. Contingencies
8. Relocations
9. Uniform Relocation Assistance
10. Acquisition Administrative Costs

TOTAL

ROUNDED

Estimated for Customs and Border Protection Planning Purposes:

DOJ Administrative Cost for Condemnation: (b) (5)

Estimate based on 95% of cases resulting in condemnation.

(b) (5)

REAL ESTATE PLANNING REPORT

PF225 Segments O-1-O-3; Rio Grande Valley Sector (Starr & Hidalgo Counties, Texas)

1. AUTHORITY.

The request for this report, along with an analysis of the real estate status in project areas known as O-1, O-2, and O-3 was via phone conversation on September 5, 2012 with Facilities Management and Engineering (FM&E) and U.S. Custom and Border Protection's (CBP) Office of Chief Counsel (OCC).

Department of Homeland Security (DHS) authority for real estate acquisition is as follows:

Public Law 107-296, 116 Stat. 2311 and codified at 6 U.S.C. Sections 202, 251, 551, and 557, which transferred certain authorities to the Attorney General to the Secretary of Homeland Security; and by DHS Delegation No. 7010.3(II)(B), which delegated land acquisition authority from the Secretary of Homeland Security to the Commissioner of CBP; and by CBP Delegation 05-004, which delegated land acquisition authority to the Acting Executive Director, Facilities Management and Engineering.

2. PROJECT.

The Pedestrian Fence 225 (PF225) project involves constructing pedestrian fencing intended to deter illegal entry of persons and contraband into the United States. There are three proposed segments of fencing, referred to as Segments O-1 through O-3. Segments O-1 and O-2 are located in Roma and Rio Grande City, Starr County, Texas, respectively. Segment O-3 is located in Los Ebanos, Hidalgo County, Texas.

3. SITE SELECTION TEAM.

Alignment of the proposed PF225 fence segments is based upon a collaborative effort from CBP and the International Boundary and Water Commission (IBWC). IBWC was involved to analyze any potential impediment the fence might cause to the flow of the Rio Grande during flood events. Input from CBP was based on law enforcement and operational strategies of their agency. USACE is involved in the capacity of engineering, contractual services, project management, and real estate.

4. SITES INSPECTED.

The proposed alignment has been strategically analyzed by CBP from a law enforcement perspective and by IBWC from a flood control perspective. The fence cannot be placed in an area that would potentially divert flood waters of the Rio Grande away from the United States and into Mexico, thus violating international treaty. As a result of the strategic location of the proposed alignment, the District has not performed a site inspection. This report will contain suggestions to consider repositioning the proposed alignment of the fence to affect fewer landowners, residences, and structures. However, these suggestions should be considered in conjunction with the functionality of the proposed tactical infrastructure.

5. DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF SELECTED SITE.

The project area is located near the Rio Grande River which serves as the international boundary between the United States and Mexico (see **EXHIBIT “A”**). All three project areas have a combination of native brush, commercial, and residential properties, as well as cropland in O-2 and O-3.

6. RELOCATIONS.

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

7. ATTITUDE OF OWNERS AND NEIGHBORHOOD

(b) (5)

8. OUTSTANDING INTERESTS AND RESERVATIONS.

Any outstanding mineral rights will not be known until title evidence is obtained. The recommended fee estate will except mineral and water rights.

9. SALES AND SUPPORTING DATA.

USACE has identified two relevant sales comparables indicative of vacant land in the floodplain in Starr and Hidalgo Counties.

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

10. VALUATION

(b) (5)

11. UNIFORM RELOCATION ASSISTANCE COSTS.

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

12. RECOMMENDED ESTATE.

(b) (5)

Fee Estate Language Recommendation:

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

Perpetual Road Easement Estate Recommendation:

(b) (5)

Temporary Road Easement Estate Recommendation:

(b) (5)

Temporary Work Area Estate Recommendation:

(b) (5)

13. RECAPTURE RIGHTS.

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

14. GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY.

(b) (5)

15. PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION.

(b) (5)

16. POSSESSION DATE.

At the time of this report, there have been no funds set aside for construction of this project. As such, no possession date is required.

17. ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.

(b) (5)

18. SCHEDULE OF ACQUISITION.

(b) (5)

19. SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATION.


(b) (5)

(b) (5)

3. Fence realignment:

The below list of tracts reflects USACE recommendation of deviation from the proposed IBWC-approved alignment. The information provided is to assist in the decision making process.

O-1 Roma, Texas:



O-2 Rio Grande City, Texas



(b) (5)


O-3 Los Ebanos, Texas

(b) (5)

SUMMARY

The construction of segments O-1, O-2, and O-3 is going to be high profile project for the Government in addition to the affected landowners and communities. USACE-RE has presented several outstanding issues and recommendations, as well as cost estimates to assist with CBP decision. CBP and DHS should review the recommendations provided and determine a final path forward.

(b) (5), (b) (6)



(b) (5), (b) (6)

**Pedestrian Fence
RGV Map Series
Project: O-1**



★ Potential Relocation

(b) (7)(E)

— Baker_Fence_Alignment 60'

— RGC_

— Damaged if Taken in Fee

— Never DT'd

— Recommended Fee Acq

— FEE TRACT

--- PARENT TRACT

— PERPETUAL RD EASEMENT

— TEMPORARY WORK AREA

— USFWS Fee Owned

0 550 1,100
1 inch = 550 feet
Feet



10/12/2012

BW11101A CBP 002285



INTERNATIONAL BOUNDARY AND WATER COMMISSION
UNITED STATES AND MEXICO

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER
UNITED STATES SECTION

February 15, 2012

(b) (6), P.E., Project Manager
Customs and Border Protection
1301 Constitution Avenue NW
West Building, B-155
Washington, DC 20299

Dear Mr. (b) (6)

The U.S. Section of the International Boundary and Water Commission has completed its review of the Drainage Report dated August 2011, and associated two-dimensional hydraulic models prepared by Michael Baker, Jr. Inc., on behalf of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS), for the erection of approximately (b) (7)(E) of security fence within the limits of the Rio Grande floodplain in Roma, Texas (Segment O-1, (b) (7)(E)), Rio Grande City, Texas (Segment O-2, (b) (7)(E)), and Los Ebanos, Texas (Segment O-3, (b) (7)(E)). After an in depth and thorough review, the USIBWC has concluded that the proposed fence project(s) will not cause significant deflection or obstruction of the normal or flood flows of the Rio Grande and is, therefore, consistent with the stipulations in Article IV-B of the 1970 Boundary Treaty. With this in mind, the USIBWC has no objection to the erection of the fence segments within the limits of the Rio Grande floodplain, provided that the fence closely follows the proposed alignment and standard design details ((b) (7)(E) described in the respective Drainage Report. The USIBWC hereby requests that the DHS comply with the following conditions:

1. Implement a maintenance program to remove any trash and/or debris found along the alignment of each fence including the approaches to the fence on a regular basis, especially after a storm event.
2. To the USIBWC's satisfaction, provide any future repair along the adjacent banks pertinent to the fence segments mentioned above, should any damage occur.

It should be noted that the USIBWC did not review these fence projects for any potential environmental impacts since they are covered by the Environmental Waiver obtained by DHS in April 2008. If you have any questions, please contact me at (915) 832-4749 or via email at John.Merino@ibwc.gov.

(b) (6)

John L. Merino, P.E.
Principal Engineer