

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: FW: Emailing: RGV SPC 2013 Issue Paper - RGV Redefine PF 225 Fence Requirements for O-1 thru O-3 Segments_10102013.pdf
Date: Friday, November 01, 2013 11:39:09 AM
Attachments: [RGV SPC 2013 Issue Paper - RGV Redefine PF 225 Fence Requirements for O-1 thru O-3 Segments_10102013.pdf](#)

Gents:

I am sure, at least I am presuming, that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) provided an update to our meeting with OBP yesterday on the evolving requirements in RGV.

At a minimum that meeting yesterday open eyes on both end and we cleared the air on some perceptions.

Bottom line, by the 15th we'll be getting together with OBP to go over the impacts of the RGV requirements.

Below provid <<RGV SPC 2013 Issue Paper - RGV Redefine PF 225 Fence Requirements for O-1 thru O-3 Segments_10102013.pdf>> es a good window that the meeting was productive.

For your awareness, I am forwarding the RGV requirements.

Regards,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C), CBM, PMP
Division Director, TI Division
Border Patrol Facilities and Tactical Infrastructure
Program Management Office
Facilities Management and Engineering
1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Suite B-155
Washington, DC 20004

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Excel as a trusted strategic partner enhancing Border Patrol's proud legacy.

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Sent: Friday, November 01, 2013 11:02 AM
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: Fw: Emailing: RGV SPC 2013 Issue Paper - RGV Redefine PF 225 Fence Requirements for O-1 thru O-3 Segments_10102013.pdf

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Here is the pdf. Real progress yesterday in identifying the information needed to brief OBP leadership. Thanks.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

----- Original Message -----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, October 31, 2013 02:27 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: Emailing: RGV SPC 2013 Issue Paper - RGV Redefine PF 225 Fence Requirements for O-1 thru O-3 Segments_10102013.pdf

Your message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:

RGV SPC 2013 Issue Paper - RGV Redefine PF 225 Fence Requirements for O-1 thru O-3 Segments_10102013.pdf

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.



United States Border Patrol Rio Grande Valley Sector



RGV Revised Requirements for Projects O-1 through O-3 October 10, 2013

ISSUE / BRIEFING TOPIC:

Rio Grande Valley Sector has redefined the requirements for Projects O-1 through O-3 for McAllen and Rio Grande City Stations (**Decisional**).

DESIRED OUTCOME:

Establish (b) (7)(E) technology and associated infrastructure (b) (7)(E) the McAllen (MCS) and Rio Grande City (RGC) Stations' Area of Responsibility (AOR).

BACKGROUND:

- Of the 21 Pedestrian Fence (PF) 225 projects in Rio Grande Valley Sector, two were planned for RGC (O-1 through O-2) and one for MCS (O-3). All three projects amount to approximately (b) (7)(E) of pedestrian fence.
 - Project O-1 was to be placed on both sides of the Roma Port of Entry (POE), in (b) (7)(E), and is approximately (b) (7)(E) in length.
 - Project O-2 was to be placed on both sides of the Rio Grande City POE, in (b) (7)(E), and is approximately (b) (7)(E) in length.
 - Project O-3 was to be placed on both sides of the Los Ebanos POE, in (b) (7)(E), and is approximately (b) (7)(E) in length.
- In June 2012, RGC and MCS station management met with Office of Border Patrol (OBP) representatives to discuss the "Total Mission Concept" approach with a mix of TI and Technology and reduce the length of the fence from (b) (7)(E) to an estimated (b) (7)(E) while including (b) (7)(E) technology and patrol roads along the original fence alignment.
- RGV Sector is now assessing the options and seeks to establish a set of requirement for Projects O-1 through O-3 in the event that a path forward is decided.

CHALLENGES/CONCERNS:

Project O-3 (McAllen):

- The current fence alignment will have to be moved north due to the extensive erosion of the river bank caused by flooding from the runoff of Hurricane Alex.

Project O-1 (Rio Grande City):

- Some of the soil under the current fence alignment has been impacted by flooding from the runoff of Hurricane Alex.

Prepared by: SBPA (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

3/27/2013

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

BW11 FOIA CBP 002553

- [REDACTED] (b) (5)

Project O-2 (Rio Grande City):

- The current fence alignment east of the Rio Grande City POE has been impacted due to the erosion of the river bank caused by flooding from the runoff of Hurricane Alex.

RECOMMENDATION:

1. McAllen Station Requirement:

- [REDACTED] (b) (5)
- [REDACTED] (b) (5)
- [REDACTED] (b) (5)

2. Rio Grande City Requirement:

- [REDACTED] (b) (5)
- [REDACTED] (b) (5)
- [REDACTED] (b) (5)

f Approve/Date: [REDACTED] (b) (6) 10/11/2013 Disapprove/Date: _____

Needs Discussion/Date: _____ Modify/Date: _____

ATTACHMENTS

(b) (7)(E), (b) (5)



Projects O-1 through O-3 Overview

(b) (7)(E), (b) (5)



Project O-1 (RGC AOR)

(b) (7)(E), (b) (5)



Project O-2 (RGC AOR)

(b) (7)(E), (b) (5)



Project O-3 (MCS AOR)

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: O-1, 2, 3 Site Visit (UNCLASSIFIED)
Date: Tuesday, March 05, 2013 3:33:38 PM

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Our understanding is that the O-1 thru O-3 alignments have not been plotted out. Are there plans to plot the proposed alignment on this trip?

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Supervisory Border Patrol Agent
RGV TI Team
Rio Grande Valley Sector Headquarters
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 05, 2013 1:51 PM
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: O-1, 2, 3 Site Visit (UNCLASSIFIED)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Let's plan on seeing O-1 and O-2 on the 13th and 14th (we saw O-3 a couple of weeks ago). I'm planning to arrive into McAllen on the evening of the 12th and depart McAllen at 6:40 PM on the 14th. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Sent: Tuesday, March 05, 2013 9:43 AM
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: RE: O-1, 2, 3 Site Visit (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Thank you, (b) (6), (b) (7)

Would you mind forwarding a copy of the itinerary so travel plans can be made?

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)]

Sent: Monday, March 04, 2013 5:52 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: RE: O-1, 2, 3 Site Visit (UNCLASSIFIED)

Hi (b) (6), (b) (7)

It's going to be a small group-similar to a couple of weeks ago. I'd like to limit to 1 real estate SME if possible.

On a separate but related matter, any thoughts on how we can use technology (pre-programmed GPS handhelds; superimposing the alignments on google satellite images??) to help us determine where the current approved alignments are in the field. We really struggled two weeks ago w/ border patrol trying to locate coordinates in the field based on a hard copy piece of paper.

Thanks

(b) (6), (b) (7)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)]

Sent: Monday, March 04, 2013 4:04 PM

To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: FW: O-1, 2, 3 Site Visit (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

(b) (6), (b) (7)

Real estate is interested in participating in the site visit (b) (6), (b) (7) mentions below. How many real estate people can you accommodate on your site visit? Are you doing a small visit or something slightly larger than last time? Also, would it be possible for us to get a copy of the itinerary?

Thank you,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

----- Original Message -----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2013 02:00 PM
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: FW: O-1, 2, 3 Site Visit (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

fysa

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2013 1:45 PM
To: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Cc: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Subject: O-1, 2, 3 Site Visit

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) will be visiting these sections next week for the purpose of reviewing alignments and determining if any adjustments might be warranted.

Please let (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and I know if you are interested in participating (or sending someone on your behalf).

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) has the itinerary details.

Thank you,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE