**MEETING AGENDA**
Meeting Date and Time: 9 December 2003 10:00
Meeting Location: Phoenix – HDR Offices
Suite #350 3200 E. Camelback Road
Phoenix, AZ 85018-2311
(602) 522-7700

**MEETING PURPOSE**
PDT Meeting

**INTRODUCTIONS**

**REVIEW OF ACTION ITEMS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>RESPONSIBLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Report on Ephraim Ridge Real Estate</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. MOU developed for Bureau of prisons to fabricate fence extension for DGL – need work statement from</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Follow up on contract for GSRC to prepare EA for RVS in Douglas AO – need survey and engineering support – need purpose statement developed by HDR – funding followed up by access to sites reviewed by</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. SWPPP responsibility for construction and ongoing reporting - Kleinfelder &amp; Stations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. SWPPP training – need proposed dates and costs for training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Full material source located for February deployment to Douglas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. WWD foundation change to grouted rock – Baker to check on Geotech – AzANG to check with constructing unit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Baker needs official comment resolution sign off on Nogales Tunnels from the PDT.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Articulated concrete units specifications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Inspector that will be at 10 Feb 2004 JTF-6 deployment must be at the 19 November meeting with the constructing units commander.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Construction practices and procedures to avoid defects conference call 21 November 2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Organ Pipe NM Temp Vehicle Barrier EA, copy to GSRC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Trade information on ROE formats</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Rent 6” forms for next JTF-6 deployment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BW1 FOIA CBP 007572
### ACTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Working Group</th>
<th>RESPONSIBLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Mitigation costs possible from BA to be provided to (b)(6)</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The Naco-Douglas Corridor SEA internal review comments by 7 November. GSRC will revise the preliminary final and submit the final and FONSI to HQ CBP for staffing 24 November. Final EA and signed FONSI for 30 November.</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Tucson checkpoint (b)(7)(E) - GSRC still needs some information from (b)(6) (Ajo) regarding strategic enforcement reasons the checkpoint needs to be relocated</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Letter drafted to USF&amp;WS Phoenix Field Office for CBP signature regarding Yuma BO and Tucson/Yuma BA; the letter should also acknowledge the USFWS cooperation</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. PEIS Matrix due 12 Dec. followed by 19 December 2003 conference call</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Naco Douglas Corridor SEA FONSI, track down and forward once signed</td>
<td>GSRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Strategy Document for TCA NEPA Docs</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 10:15 – 10:20 RVS

**Monthly Report**

### 10:20 – 10:45 MILITARY DEPLOYMENTS

**Arizona National Guard**

- **WWD – West of DGL POE**
  - Oregon National Guard – June 2004, 30 soldiers for two 2-week deployments
  - Alaska National Guard – 15 soldiers May 2004
  - Survey Crew from Idaho N.G.

**Douglas Erosion Control**


**Douglas Roadway West of POE**

- Illinois N.G. 10 July 2004

**JTF-6**

### LOCATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>PLANNER</th>
<th>START</th>
<th>END</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NACO, AZ USBP (Patrol Roads)</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
<td>10-Feb</td>
<td>30-Mar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOUGLAS, AZ USBP (Patrol Roads)</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
<td>10-Feb</td>
<td>30-Mar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORGAN PIPE, AZ, NPS (Vehicle Barriers)</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
<td>15-Mar</td>
<td>15-Apr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOGALES, AZ USBP (Patrol Roads)</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>Aug</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 10:45 – 11:15 ENGINEERING

Baker Eng. – updates and discussion

Douglas, Lighting (5 Miles) Split East and West of the POE, Preliminary and Final Design.

Douglas, Construction Oversight and Staking.

Douglas, 13-Miles Roadway, Preliminary and Final Design

---

BW1 FOIA CBP 007573
BORDER BARRIER SYSTEM PROGRAM MANAGEMENT
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
BUREAU OF CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION
TUCSON SECTOR - U.S. BORDER PATROL

Douglas, International Ditch, Preliminary and Final Design
Naco, Lighting (5 Miles) Split East and West of the POE, Preliminary and Final Design
Naco, Primary Fencing, Preliminary and Final Design
Naco, Construction Oversight and Staking
Nogales, Construction Oversight and Staking
Nogales, Aerial West of Nogales
Nogales, Schematic Design
Sonoita, Preliminary Design
Permanent Survey Markers
Temporary Vehicle Barriers
Naco, 10-miles Roadway, Preliminary and Final Design
Nogales, 1-1/2 Miles Roadway, Final Design

11:15 - 12:00 ENVIRONMENTAL
GSRC - updates and discussion

PEIS Tucson & Yuma (AERC 225)
Checkpoints (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) (AERC 499)
Checkpoint (b)(6)(b)(7)(C) (AERC 227)
Nogales BPS (AERC 503)
BA - Operations - Tucson & Yuma (AERC 51)
Naco-Douglas SEA (AERC 218)
FONSI signed 17 November
Nogales Infrastructure EA (AERC #255 & 218 ENV #225)
The FONSI for the Nogales Various Infrastructure Improvements final EA was signed on 17 October.
Douglas Whitewater Draw
Sonoita
Nogales Schematic Design
Temporary Vehicle Barriers (Nogales & Sonoita Stations)
Naco BA
Douglas Dip 5 (report complete)

12:00 - 1:00 LUNCH

1:00 - 1:30 REAL ESTATE
USACE - (b)(6)(b)(7)(C)

Douglas Lighting (5 Miles) Split East and West of the POE
Douglas, 13-Miles Roadway (8 miles west & 5 miles east)
Douglas, International Ditch
Naco, Lighting (5 Miles) Split East and West of the POE
Nogales, 2-Miles Roadway
First ½ mile - ROEs 9 work within 60'
1-½ miles - Construction & ROW Acquisition
Nogales, Schematic Design
Sonoita, Preliminary Design and Coordination
Permanent Survey Markers
Temporary Vehicle Barriers

Western Region - (b)(6)
BORDER BARRIER SYSTEM PROGRAM MANAGEMENT
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
BUREAU OF CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION
TUCSON SECTOR - U.S. BORDER PATROL

Report on costs and viability of acquiring additional real estate near Ephraim Ridge
Ephraim Ridge ROEs for surveys and construction access

1:30 – 1:45 PROCUREMENT
BOM for JTF-6 Deployment 10 February 2004

1:45 – 2:00 OTHER ITEMS

NEXT MEETINGS

13 January 2004 – Tucson Station, 10:00 am
17 February 2004 – HDR Phoenix, 10:00 am
23 March 2004 – Tucson Station, 10:00 am
27 April 2004 – HDR Phoenix, 10:00 am
25 May 2004 – Tucson Station, 10:00 am
22 June 2004 – HDR Phoenix, 10:00 am
27 July 2004 – Tucson Station, 10:00 am
24 August 2004 – HDR Phoenix, 10:00 am
14 September 2004 – Tucson Station, 10:00 am
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task Name</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>3rd Quarter</th>
<th>4th Quarter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Naco and East of POE Douglas Large Deployment Split</td>
<td>35 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JTF-6 NACO, AZ USBP (Patrol Roads W. of POE)</td>
<td>35 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JTF-6 DOUGLAS, AZ USBP (Patrol Roads E. of POE)</td>
<td>35 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORGAN PIPE - National Park Service</td>
<td>22 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JTF-6 ORGAN PIPE, AZ, NPS (Vehicle Barriers)</td>
<td>22 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nogales First 1/2 Mile Roadway</td>
<td>20 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JTF-6 (Patrol Roads)</td>
<td>20 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas White Water Draw - West of POE</td>
<td>38 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon National Guard 2 - 2 week deployments</td>
<td>20 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska National Guard</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho National Guard - Survey Crew</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas Erosion Control West of POE</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Carolina National Guard</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas Roadway West of POE</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois National Guard</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2003 Environmental Forum

Debra J. Walker 12/19/03

1) When to go - road - would not suggest - needs to check.
   2) New - BOP - SOW done weekly
   3) S&G - SA HS, security etc. - draft - SOW done - cover will
   4) NTSF - flight plan / vehicle - 2 mile
   5) S&S - status coordination w/ KJ - daily
   6) SW - status coordination w/ KJ - daily
   7) SW
   8) All flights - daily
   9) SW / BOP - daily
   10) SW / BOP - daily
   11) SW / BOP - daily
   12) 1/20/04 JF-C 6 were at 11/19/03 - report took to "meet"
   13) Report taken on 1/20 - need to be at meeting - 1/22/04 - 58/03
   14) OPC
   15) OPC
   16) OPC
   17) OPC
   18) OPC
   19) OPC
   20) OPC
   21) OPC
   22) OPC
   23) OPC
   24) OPC
   25) OPC
   26) OPC
   27) OPC
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   43) OPC
   44) OPC
   45) OPC
   46) OPC
   47) OPC
   48) OPC
   49) OPC
   50) OPC
   51) OPC
   52) OPC
   53) OPC
   54) OPC
   55) OPC
   56) OPC
   57) OPC
   58) OPC
   59) OPC
   60) OPC
   61) OPC
   62) OPC
   63) OPC
   64) OPC
   65) OPC
   66) OPC
   67) OPC
   68) OPC
   69) OPC
   70) OPC
   71) OPC
   72) OPC
   73) OPC
   74) OPC
   75) OPC
   76) OPC
   77) OPC
   78) OPC
   79) OPC
   80) OPC
   81) OPC
   82) OPC
   83) OPC
   84) OPC
   85) OPC
   86) OPC
   87) OPC
   88) OPC
   89) OPC
   90) OPC
   91) OPC
   92) OPC
   93) OPC
   94) OPC
   95) OPC
   96) OPC
   97) OPC
   98) OPC
   99) OPC
   100) OPC

(b)(6)
Military Re-entry

- Alaska: 1st 2 weeks in May
  - Idaho: 2nd week
  - Utah: 10-24 July
  - NC: 5/26-30 on-site tests w/NE w/o angle
  - WA, OR, WA, TX right away

- Napa Road
  - 2 miles
  - 200 yards
  - High pressure, AA could affect

- Station 11
  - 13-mile, 2 angles, 1.3% @ 100%
  - 11/4 with 93% AA
  - 100% by 13 Jan 06

- unter stach

(b) (6)2003 Environmental Forum

...
New sit - meeting today
Douglas will report done later
Action:
- PEIS meeting
- RES: smart FDP

Real estate
Douglas might - nothing
11/4/03 RES came some back in
DouglasMelrose
Smarta meet - 1st of Month Source
Central property office will
Douglas will contact now - 1/16 meeting
1/2 miles Reading get info today.

(b)(6)
MEETING AGENDA
Meeting Date and Time: 9 December 2003 – 8:30
Meeting Location: HDR Phoenix

MEETING PURPOSE
TCA PDT Environmental Working Group Meeting

INTRODUCTIONS

1. REVIEW OF ACTION ITEMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>RESPONSIBLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mitigation costs possible from BA to be provided to</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Tucson checkpoint - GSRC still needs some information from Ajo regarding strategic enforcement reasons the checkpoint needs to be relocated

3. Letter drafted to USFWS Phoenix Field Office for CBP signature regarding Yuma BO and Tucson/Yuma BA; the letter should also acknowledge the USFWS cooperation

4. PEIS Matrix due 12 Dec. followed by 19 Dec conference call

5. Strategy Document for TCA NEPA Docs

2. SUMMARY OF NON-PDT ACTIVITIES (REGIONAL)

   a. PEIS Tucson & Yuma (AERC 225)
   b. RVS for TCA (AERC 255)
   c. Rescue Beacons (AERC 497)
   d. Checkpoints (AERC 499)
   e. Nogales BPS (AERC 503) - FONSI Signed
   f. BA – Operations – Tucson & Yuma (AERC 51)

3. SUMMARY OF PDT ACTIVITIES (INFRASTRUCTURE)

   a. Naco-Douglas SEA (AERC 218) – FONSI Signed
   b. Sonora Station Roadways
   c. New Items

5. NEXT MEETING

   13 January 2004 – Tucson Station, 8:30 am
mitigation - in progress
- a lot of security cars
- the land
- need EA + Real Estate Gaps
USP - public good idea
- build wall
- hurricane
- flood plains
- education
- hot water, props, & water div. on divers

Check points needed prior to mid to stay

PE8S meeting - due 12/16/03

PAC Tucson - bullish on Rogers, defending
biggest reason - 110 yards

Check points on [b] (7)(E) upheld to 12/61 for further

Rogers - for
- BA operation long period
- times has changed or is E - liability issue
- RCP, AV

CEO rights - create agent bio agent

New Roger - required - CPA to document w/ FEWS
- Log of CITP - has been removed to continue response

So IP + Raz is longer - SAFER to develop
- Scuba training, loadings - need for E or may still be needed
12/9/05

Act on CBP

(b)(6)
e-mail or written offer

In writing letter on 12/11/03

Correct add 12/16/03 @ 7:00

HECC call is it

Staff at POT meeting
December 2003 Arizona Environmental Actions Report

Arc Number: 218  DOCUMENT ENV Number: new action  Action Type: Survey

Document Title: Cabeza Prieta National Wildlife Refuge (NWR) Road Improvements

Project Actions: 5 miles of post action surveys and 18 miles of new road construction and improvements on NWR

Project Details: USBP graded about 5 miles of road without prior surveys. Post action report to document no activities outside existing road (a maintenance operation CATEX). Area within home range of Sonoran pronghorn antelope (USFWS).

Current Status: Field reconnaissance to occur week of 22 September; field surveys 2 weeks after; document circulated for review.

Draft:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aerc Number:</th>
<th>218</th>
<th>DOCUMENT ENV Number</th>
<th>new action</th>
<th>Action Type:</th>
<th>EA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Document Title:</strong></td>
<td>EA for Temporary Vehicle Barriers in Tucson, Nogales and Sonoyta Stations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Actions:</strong></td>
<td>Installation of Temporary Vehicle Barriers, Tucson Sector</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Details:</strong></td>
<td>Installation of barriers at high traffic areas anywhere within the Tucson Sector at various locations along a 50-mile corridor - no cultural surveys or biological resources surveys are expected.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current Status Summary:</strong></td>
<td>NTP issued, reconnaissance to identify sensitive areas and markings to identify off-limits areas based on sensitive resources to occur in beginning of November 2003.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Draft:**

**Final:**
Document Title: EA for 10 miles of road construction in Nogales Station, Santa Cruz County, AZ.

Project Actions: 10 miles of roads in Nogales Station AO.

Project Details: 5 miles of major road construction and improvements on either side of Nogales POE and up to 500 ft. north of the international border.

Current Status Summary: NTP issued, work needs ROE's prior to beginning surveys.

Draft: 

Final: 
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Title:</th>
<th>EA for 27 miles of Road Improvements Sonoita Station, Santa Cruz County, AZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Actions:</td>
<td>27-miles Road improvements EA, Sonoita Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Details:</td>
<td>Road grading with some repair and installation of drainage structures. Most of the work is on National Forest Service land.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Status Summary:</td>
<td>NTP issued, work needs ROE's prior to beginning surveys.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Document Title: Biological Assessment for Infrastructure within the Naco-Douglas Corridor, Cochise County, Arizona

Project Actions: Biological Assessment for proposed Infrastructure in Naco Corridor. To address impacts from construction across approx. 49 miles within USBP Naco and Douglas USBP AO's.

Project Details: Satisfies the Section 7 requirements brought up in the Supplemental EA for Infrastructure within USBP Naco-Douglas Corridor, Cochise County, AZ.

Current Status
Summary: The issue of water withdrawal from San Pedro Basin might affect critical habitat for 2 fish and 1 plant species. BA is being prepared to address concerns per USFWS and BLM. Water withdrawal from San Pedro Basin will be the primary issue. Ft. Huachuca and Sierra Vista have recently implemented measures to reduce water demands. USBP might have to implement similar conservation measures, which could include trucking water in from outside sources, acquiring agricultural lands for preservation, using other wetting materials for dust control, etc. (USFWS).

Draft:

Final:
Supplemental Environmental Assessment For Infrastructure Within U.S. Border Patrol Naco-Douglas Corridor, Cochise County, Arizona

Environmental Assessment for 31-mile Enforcement Zone Infrastructure System, Naco, AZ and 26 miles in Douglas, AZ. A combination of infrastructure components

Environmental Assessment to address implementation of a 56-mile border enforcement system through a combination of infrastructure components (roads, fences and lights) in Naco and Douglas POE's. Formerly ENV 148 and one other.

Project being coordinated through the Tucson PDT. Comments to the Preliminary Draft have been provided. Public scoping meeting held 18 November 2002. PDT decided, based on guidance from HQ, to combine Naco and Douglas into a Supplemental EA for the Naco-Douglas Corridor. Draft SEA was distributed for public review on 7 Feb 03, comment period was extended from 7 May to 30 June. PDT reviewed response matrix 23 Sept. 2003.

Pre-final sent to PDT; comments due 7 Nov 03. Final EA expected 24 Nov 03.


PFEA 7/10/03 FEA pending comment resolution
PFSEA expected mid Oct 2003
Final expected 24 Nov 03
Aerc Number: 218

DOCUMENT ENV Number: 139 (changed from 148)

Action Type: EA

FONSI:

ROD: N/A

NOI: N/A

NOA: 5/7/2003

Scoping:

Preliminary Draft: Naco-Douglas EAs combined into one; Due 3-12-03

AERC / USACE Review Dates: PDEA 3/13/03 to 3/26/03; DSEA released 5/7/2003

USBP POC: (b)(6)

AERC POC:

USACE POC:

States: AZ

Contractor POC:

Contractor:

DHS Regional POC:

DHS FAE POC: (b)(6)
Document Title: EA For Installation and Maintenance of 10 Rescue Beacons on the Tohono O'odham Nation

Project Actions: Formerly part of Desert Grip II (ENV 145), Desert Grip (an operations related action) separated into 6 separate EA's including ENV 226, 227, 228 and others TBA. Beacons (AERC #497) off the TON (ENV 227) FONSI signed 19 June 2003

Project Details: EA for Ten Rescue Beacons on the Tohono O'odham Nation, USBP Tucson Sector, AZ

Current Status Summary: Preliminary draft complete. Tohono O'odham council has objected to the beacons so the draft has not been released yet.

Draft: Holding due to pending discussions with the Nation.

Final:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aerc Number: 498/497</th>
<th>DOCUMENT ENV Number: not assigned yet</th>
<th>Action Type: EA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FONSI: See Summary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROD: NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOI: N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOA: pending</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scoping: NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary Draft: 30-May-03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AERC / USACE Review Dates: 6-Jun-03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USBP POC: (b)(6)</td>
<td>Contractor POC:</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AERC POC:</td>
<td>Contractor:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USACE POC: AZ</td>
<td>DHS Regional POC:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>States:</td>
<td>DHS FAE POC:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EA for the Operation of Three Temporary Checkpoints on the USBP Casa Grande Station, Arizona

Temporary USBP Checkpoints on the in the Tucson Sector and Ajo and Casa Grande AO's.

1 of 2 EA's began as 4 checkpoints in Tucson Sector and part of Desert Grip II. These checkpoints occur along and County Roads within the

The Draft Temporary Checkpoint EA off the was out 7 July to the public. checkpoint comments due 7 August 2003. The preliminary Draft EA for the checkpoints on the has been completed, but we are waiting for approval from the to release it to the Both of these EAs were conducted under AERC # 499.


Pending Council decision; Public review on extended 30 days; Comment period to close 17 Nov 03.
The council is still reviewing the issue. Their fear is that additional roads might be constructed to circumvent the checkpoints.

draft: pending

Final:
Aerc Number: 499

FONSI:

ROD: N/A

NOI: N/A

NOA: On hold until uses issues are resolved on the

Scoping: N/A

Preliminary Draft:
The preliminary draft EA for one temporary checkpoint off the submitted for internal review; Submitted to 15 Sept 2003.

AERC / USACE Review Dates:
Went out with comments due 14 August 2003.

USBP POC:

AERC POC: (b)(6)

USACE POC:

States: AZ

Contractor POC:

Contractor:

DHS Regional POC:

DHS FAE POC:

(b)(6)
Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for USBorder Patrol Activities within the Border Areas of the Tucson and Yuma Sectors, Arizona

Programmatic EIS and Associated Tasks - USBP Yuma and Tucson Sectors, AZ (DO 007)

Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for USBP Yuma and Tucson Sectors - focus is on expansion of operations. Initial draft was sent out with defined infrastructure construction projects included and confused everyone. Document restructured.

Realigned document to focus on operations and the types of infrastructure needed for them. Initial public impression was that the USBP planned to have the border completely fenced and leveled. Programmatic evaluation of daily operations for both sectors, includes air reconnaissance, SAR, checkpoints, dragging roads, patrols (particularly off-road).

Public comments resulted in revision of document to remove specific references to actual infrastructure projects, to go back to what was stated in the public scoping announcement. Revised Preliminary Draft PEIS reviewed by PDT EWG 20-21 August 2003; Revised document distributed for review and comments due 29 October 2003.

Comments on final revision extended to 29 Oct 03. Comment matrix for review by participants to be prepared in 2-3 weeks; conference call to be held to discuss the matrix, date for the call to be set at the December 9, 2003 PDT meeting, matrix due 12 December.

During a 22 April 2003 conference call it was decided to issue a revised draft PEIS that only address potential infrastructure in a cumulative manner. GSRC was tasked with preparing a comment resolution letter informing recipients of the USBP's decision. GSRC prepared and submitted a draft resolution letter on May 17, 2003 for review. See comments on Final. The Tucson-Yuma PEIS revised draft went out 15 July. Comments due 7 August. The EWG conference in August in Phoenix to discuss any unresolved issues. Dates for the meeting are 20 & 21 August (the next PDT meeting is 19 August in Phoenix). HDR was assigned conference set-up duties.

Comments from the 20-21 August review session are now incorporated into a new draft distributed for review; comments to be returned by 29 Oct 2003. All data previously requested must be received by that date. A copy has been given to USBP, for CBP review.

No CBP comments been received; CBP will provide review before document is released to the public.
AERC Number: 225

Final: Should be released early next year?

FONSI: N/A

ROD:

NOI: 01-Sep-00

NOA: 11/18/2002

Scoping: Oct-Nov 2000

Preliminary Draft: 4 preliminary drafts to date

AERC / USACE Review Dates: extended to 14 Feb; Current version internal review period ends 29 Oct 2003

USBP POC: (b)(6)

AERC POC: (b)(6)

USACE POC: AZ

Contractor POC: (b)(6)

Contractor:

DHS Regional POC:

DHS FAE POC:
Biological Assessments for USBP Daily Operations Tucson and Yuma Sectors, AZ.

Biological Assessments for USBP Daily Operations Tucson and Yuma Sectors, AZ (DO 043) ENV. # Yuma= 40, 141, 186 / Tucson= 38; AKA Tucson Sector BA

Two Biological Assessments for USBP Daily Operations; one in Tucson(ENV 38) and one in Yuma(ENV 186) Sectors; consultation shifted from Phoenix to Tucson USFWS office and additional species requested by FWS Tucson office.

FWS pushing for critical habitat designation for jaguar in Nogales/Sonoita areas - will have an impact on USBP projects if successful (USFWS).

According to (b)(6) at USFWS, we are at the top of the list once they complete the BO's for the military remanded BA's. FWS owes us a letter requesting an extension. Tucson BA being revised for changes in PEIS. Final QA/QC by USACE expected by 17 Nov 03.

Draft:
Yuma—9/27/02; Tucson 10/24/02. (b)(6) has not completed the Draft BO for the Yuma Sector as of 6/12/03. Internal review 3 July 03. Internal comments due 25 July 03. Conference call scheduled 14 August. Pending BO from USF&WS in Yuma and Tucson.

Document submitted to FWS week of 1 Dec 2003; conservation measures were identified and costs estimates were provided by USACE (b)(6) to PDT for review.

Final:
Revised Final BA expected by the end of October for Tucson Sector
Need Draft BO from Phoenix office FWS to complete Yuma Sector; final BA was accepted in January 2003.
Aerc Number: 51
FONSi: N/A
ROD: N/A
NOI: N/A
NOA: N/A
Scoping:

Preliminary Draft:

AERC / USACE Review Dates: 19 Nov 2003 by USACE
(b)(6)

USBP POC: (b)(6)
AERC POC: (b)(6)
USACE POC: AZ

Contractor POC: (b)(6)
Contractor:
DHS Regional POC:
DHS FAE POC:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Douglas Station</strong></td>
<td>Site specific Environmental Assessment (EA)</td>
<td>FY-04</td>
<td>These projects have been addressed in the Naco-Douglas 2003 Supplemental EA. The following items need to be obtained in order to proceed: SHPO concurrence, SWPPP, 404 NWP permit notification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Naco Station</strong></td>
<td>Site specific EA</td>
<td>FY-04</td>
<td>See above. Also, a BA and Section 7 consultation might be required for activities in the San Pedro watershed or if water is withdrawn from the basin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Socorro Station</strong></td>
<td>Site Specific EA combining both projects</td>
<td>FY 04</td>
<td>This project can be addressed by a single site specific EA because there are no other actions planned in the same general area with in the next 2-3 years. The temporary vehicle barriers will be addressed as a separate EA, since they will encompass areas within several stations’ AO, but they will also need to be addressed in the road improvements project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 miles of major patrol road construction and primary fence</td>
<td>Site specific EA</td>
<td>FY 05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Recommendations to the Tucson Sector Project Development Team Regarding the NEPA Approach to Identified Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Proposed expansion of Ajo Station</td>
<td>Site specific EA</td>
<td>To Be Determined</td>
<td>This project is in the initial planning stage. An EA should be prepared for this project since it will encompass at least 5 acres. There is also a possibility of impacts to CFPO critical habitat.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Installation of 10 RVS sites (TD 135)</td>
<td>Site specific EA</td>
<td>FY-04</td>
<td>This project will require a site-specific EA tiered to the Western Region RVS PEA; some access road improvements might be required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Installation of 13 RVS sites (TD 129 &amp; 136)</td>
<td>Site specific EA</td>
<td>FY-04</td>
<td>This project will require a site-specific EA tiered to the Western Region RVS PEA; some access road improvements might be required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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