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(b)(6)(b)(6)
MEETING MINUTES
Meeting Date and Time: 14 January 2003 at 10:00 am
Meeting Location: HDR – Phoenix (at the Phoenix Inn)
Distribution Date: 16 January 2003
Distributor: (b)(6)
Distribution: (b)(6)

MEETING PURPOSE
PDT Meeting.

ATTENDEES
See Attached.

PREVIOUS ACTION ITEMS STATUS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>RESPONSIBLE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Naco 90% plan review by 19 December 2002</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Ephriam Ridge 90% plan review by 19 December 2002</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Typical Lighting Design (Baker SOW &amp; Contract)</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
<td>Ongoing – Baker to Follow up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Kleinfeld to provide (b)(6) with WWD Env. Baseline Doc.</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
<td>done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Naco 4-miles Roadway EA comments by 19 December 2002</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Ephriam Ridge EA comments by 19 December 2002</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Update schedules and Web Page</td>
<td>HDR</td>
<td>ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Check on Roosevelt easement location in Douglas</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
<td>done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Recheck Douglas inventory</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
<td>done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTION</td>
<td>RESPONSIBLE</td>
<td>STATUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Check w/ JTF-6 on deployments</td>
<td>HDR</td>
<td>Done report by (b)(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Possibility of Cat. Ex. for Sonoita access road maintenance.</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
<td>Ongoing (b)(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Follow up on WWD 404 permit</td>
<td>GSRC</td>
<td>done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Check on MFR for Douglas work</td>
<td>HDR</td>
<td>Done, copy provided to PDF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Check Naco water source – Arizona Water Company</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
<td>done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. RVS - Status of engineering contract for sites (Baker)</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
<td>No report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. RVS – check on construction schedule assuming Jan FONSI</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
<td>No report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MILITARY DEPLOYMENTS**

AZ National Guard

- Deployments
  - Deployment scheduled
    - 15 March 2003 through 29 March 2003 DGL (WWD Roadway)
    - 6 April 2003 through 19 April 2003 NCO (E. of POE)
    - 24 May 2003 through 7 June 2003 NCO
    - 14 June 2003 through 28 June 2003 NGL (tentative: asphalt ½ mile)
  - 11 January Deployment was canceled
  - expressed considerable concern relayed from the NG safety office regarding the WWD plans. Comments were requested for inclusion in the plan sets.

JTF-6

- made a report for (b)(6) and presented letter from (b)(6) CPA, BPSCD.
- JTF-6 has canceled deployments for Naco

**ENGINEERING**

Douglas, Whitewater Draw, Preliminary & Final Design

- Plans complete and awaiting construction
- Requested comments from the National Guard safety office via (b)(6) for inclusion into the plan set.

Naco, 4 Miles Roadway, Preliminary & Final Design

- 100% plans provided
- Naco water source via Az. Water Company has been arranged by (b)(6) pending ROE to place meter.

- Drainage Report due 21 January 2003

Nogales, Tunnels, Preliminary & Final Design

- In-house 30% plans being prepared
- When 30% plans are ready a copy will be sent to the City of Nogales

Nogales, 2 Miles Roadway, Preliminary & Final Design

- 90% plan set due 30 January 2003, because no PDT is schedule near that date plans will be sent out for review. Comments will be requested by 13 February 2003.
- 100% plan set due 28 February 2003

Nogales, Ephenain Ridge Road Reclamation

- 100% plans submitted
- Drainage report due 21 January 2003

Douglas, Picket Fence Extensions

- On-going, 30% design package expected at the next PDT meeting 18 February 2003

Alternative Primary Fence Designs

- On-going, final Report under development.

Lighting Design
• Need contract modification for Baker. (b)(6) to follow up on contract modification.

ENVIRONMENTAL
Douglas and Naco Station’s Environmental Documents
• Presentation by (b)(6) followed by discussion with (b)(6)
• Recommendation from the PDT to prepare a Supplemental EA to the Existing 2000 EA for Infrastructure USBP Naco-Douglas Corridor.
• The supplemental will include the full build out alternative, the prioritized approach alternative and the no build alternative. The prioritized approach alternative would be similar to that proposed in the previous draft documents, however it will not be defined as an "enforcement zone". Instead, it will be defined by the individual components. No improvements will be suggested for those areas covered in previous environmental documents. This will allow us to continue to construct the previously approved projects while awaiting the outcome of the supplemental EA. Given this approach, a draft document could be available for review within 2 months and a FONSI in approximately 5 months.
• (b)(6) indicated: that a supplemental EA could succeed (FONSI) as noted in the recommendation slide prepared for the presentation, with 5 months for the supplemental EA, all projects would be on hold should the process go to an EIS

Biological Assessment
• Yuma acceptable to USF&WS and consultation has begun
• Tucson, meeting with USF&WS scheduled for 24 January 2003 to discuss additional information that may be required.

Programmatic EIS
• PEIS Comment period extended until 7 February 2003

Programmatic MOA
• Revised CR report were received, will be forwarded to SHPO and (b)(6)

Douglas, Whitewater Draw Permitting
• 404 permit application submitted to COE Regulatory Branch. Individual permit estimated to take a minimum of 6 months. (b)(6) to follow up with the USACE on permit status.
• JD to be provided by Baker based on 2 & 10 year events.

Douglas, Whitewater Draw Environmental Baseline Study on Phelps Dodge Property
• Kleinfielder has prepared a Draft report.

Naco, 4 Miles Roadway, EA & Permitting
• DEA ready for public release by Wednesday

Nogales, Infrastructure Improvements, EA
• Awaiting design information and notice to landowners before proceeding with environmental survey. ROEs should be in place soon. (b)(6) will follow up on this.
• Ephraim Ridge DEA ready for release, most likely Wednesday

Nogales, Crawford Hill
• No update

Nogales RVS
• From the previous minutes “The Nogales RVS EA is on hold pending directions regarding the access roads. (b)(6) agreed to find out about the status of this decision.”
• No update from IMC

Naco/Douglas RVS
• FONSI signed 7 January 2003, distributed to the PDT by (b)(6)

Sonoita Station
• (b)(6) will have requested a determination on use of categorical exclusion for maintenance of the roadway facility from INS HQ. Environmental surveys may be required for a cat-ex. This project will be included in the list of future projects and prioritized
• (b)(6) will assist in tracking down the INS HQ determination and provide a copy of the direction memorandum previously issued regarding roadway maintenance

Point of Contact
• INS Regional Environmental Officer will be the point of contact on environmental documents – (b)(6)
• (b)(6) He will only process the questions and comments through to the appropriate person.
Document Tracking
- Return receipt or equivalent will be used when documents are issued for review to stakeholders

REAL ESTATE
Douglas, White Water Draw
- Report per (b)(6) has signed and faxed all the appropriate documents, i.e. Temporary Work Area Easement Deed, Right of Entry for Survey and Exploration instrument, Certificate of Authority, Payment and Closing Sheet, and Taxpayer Identification Certification
Nogales, Naco, and Douglas except WWD
- Report per (b)(6) Sacramento COE,
  - Nogales, 0.5 mile Roadway (beginning east of POE) - construction easement to be defined - construction in March.
  - Douglas, Whitewater Draw Roadway - Required ROEs have been executed by the property owners.
  - (b)(6) Copies of these agreements were sent to (b)(6) Agreements are good until July 5, 2003.
  - Naco, 4 miles Roadway - Owners have been identified. In addition, (b)(6) as been contacted. Once deployment date is set, ROEs will be mailed within 2 days.
  - Nogales, Ephraim Ridge - Waiting for construction easement to be defined.
  - Nogales, 0.5 mile Roadway - Waiting for project footprint.

Rights of Entry
- Copies of all signed ROEs will be provided to the appropriate station representative

PROCUREMENT
Funding received for January construction of $583,363.93.
Douglas, Whitewater Draw
- Contract with supplier is pending, will be issued closer to deployment. May incorporate Naco construction materials
Naco 4-Miles Roadway
- Discussion of suppliers common to both Douglas and Naco. BOM presented in plans.
- (b)(6) asked to prepare BOM in spreadsheet format with additional data. Delivery dates of material required and will be prepared by HDR.

CONSTRUCTION
No on-going construction.

ACTION ITEMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>RESPONSIBLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. RVS - Status of engineering contract for sites (Baker)</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. RVS - check on construction schedule assuming Jan FONSI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Typical Lighting Design (Baker SOW &amp; Contract)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Possibility of Cat. Ex. for Sonoita access road maintenance.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Naco Drainage Report &amp; 100% plan review by 28 January 2003</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Status and copies of ROEs for Nogales</td>
<td>HDR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Ephraim Ridge footprint and follow up</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Copies of ROEs forwarded to Station</td>
<td>(b)(6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NEXT MEETINGS

February 18, Tucson Station, 10:00 am
March 11, HDR Phoenix, 10:00 am
March 25, Tucson Station, 10:00 am

Note proposed additional meetings/conference call to accommodate design schedule.
Proposed changes are in blue

These minutes reflect the understanding of HDR Engineering, Inc. If revisions or additions are needed, contact (b)(6)
TUCSON SECTOR, US BORDER PATROL
NACO, NOGALES AND DOUGLAS STATIONS
BAKER STATUS REPORT - ENGINEERING

A. Douglas-Whitewater Draw:
   • 100% plans-Submitted.
   • Quantities and Cost for phases one and two submitted.

B. Naco-4 Miles Roadway:
   • 100% plans to be submitted at PDT meeting, January 14, 2003.
   • Drainage Report to be submitted on January 21, 2003.

C. Nogales, Tunnels:
   • Plans – Completing 30% package.
   • Preparing to meet with various local government and utilities agencies.

D. Nogales, ½ Miles Roadway Maintenance & 1-1/2 Miles Roadway:
   • Plans – 90% to be submitted on January 30th, 2003.
   • Plans – 100% to be submitted on February 27th, 2003.

E. Nogales, Ephraim Ridge Road Reclamation:
   • 100% plans to be submitted at PDT meeting, January 14, 2003.
   • Drainage Report to be submitted on January 21, 2003.

F. Douglas, Picket Fence Extensions:
   • Plans – Completing 30% package.

G. Alternative Primary Fence Designs:
   • Plans – Researching various alternatives – Final report in progress.

H. Lighting Design – Standard 1 – Mile Rural Condition:
   • Plans – Negotiations in progress with the Army Corps of Engineers.
-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2003 11:58 PM

Subject: Environmental Update #15

All--

I trust that everyone had a wonderful and safe holiday season. Here are the environmental issues discussed during the PDT meeting Tuesday, January 14, 2003.

1. The Tucson/Yuma PEIS public comment period has been extended until 7 February 2003. It appears from some of the newspaper articles and some of the verbal comments we have received that the original intent and focus of the PEIS (i.e., daily operations) might have been lost.
2. The USFWS has acknowledged that the Yuma BA is adequate and consultation has begun. A meeting is scheduled with the USFWS for 24 January in Tucson to discuss some additional information needs on the Tucson BA.
3. The draft EA for the Naco fence and roadway was submitted for approval to release last Friday (10 January). HDR will complete another review and provide comments, if any, today. The NG intends to have a unit ready for this project in April, so the draft needs to be released ASAP.
4. The draft Ephraim Ridge reclamation EA was submitted for approval on 10 January. HDR will complete another review and provide comments, if any, today.
5. The Nogales Infrastructure EA (roadways, tunnel modifications, and portable lights) has been initiated but designs and ROEs still need to be resolved. [REDACTED] felt that the ROEs have been completed and will confirm.
6. The WWD draft permit application was submitted in late November. GSRC will follow up with [REDACTED] USACE Los Angeles District, to determine if there are any additional data needs and to attempt to move the application to the forefront. GSRC will draft a 404(b)(1) evaluation for the LA District to assist in the preparation of the permit. This 404 process can take 6-9 months to complete; The NG has planned to reschedule this project for June 03.
7. The revised CR survey reports for Naco and Douglas were received and are being reviewed by GSRC. Once our review is complete, they will be forwarded to [REDACTED] for submittal to the SHPO. The coordination on the Programmatic MOA can be re-established upon acceptance of this report.
8. [REDACTED] has not received a response to his inquiry to HQ INS Legal Counsel regarding the applicability of a CATEX for the road maintenance at Sonora. [REDACTED] agreed to follow up on this request and to send [REDACTED] a copy of a memo from [REDACTED] (dated about 2-3 years ago) acknowledging that road
maintenance (not improvements) fell within CATEX threshold.

9. The PDT agreed that the INS Regional Environmental Officer will be the PCC identified on the title sheet; GSRC will notify the PDT when documents have been distributed to the public and keep records of the distribution. For "special" recipients, GSRC will send the documents via FedEx or Return Receipt Requested. If the costs of sending these items become onerous, a modification to GSRC's contract will be required.

10. The Naco and Douglas Station Level NEPA documents were discussed during a special afternoon session. The PDT discussed the 6 different approaches that had been formulated and finally agreed on a modified approach. We will continue with a supplement to the 2000 Naco-Douglas Corridor EA (aka Cumulative Impact EA) using the following alternatives: (1) No Action, (2) Prioritized Approach Alternative (preferred alternative) and (3) Full Build Out Alternative. The Prioritized Approach will be essentially the same that was presented in the September 2001 preliminary draft EAs, except that all projects identified in the Corridor EA will be removed from the Prioritized Approach project description since they have already been assessed. The Full Build Out Alternative will also be modified to exclude sensitive areas such as the Coronado National Memorial and to reduce the footprint to 60-foot in areas such as the developed areas around the Naco POE. The USBP will be able to continue their other site-specific projects, as identified in the Corridor EA, while this supplement is being prepared. The Full Build Out Alternative will be carried forward as a viable alternative, but not selected as the preferred at this time due to the potential environmental impacts. However, this will also provide an opportunity for USBP to revisit this alternative at some point in the future in the event that the full build out design is deemed necessary.

The RVS projects were not discussed since IMC representatives were not available. However, [1040] and GSRC did report that the FONSI for the Naco/Douglas RVS EA had been signed last week. As usual, if you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me.

(b)(6)
I trust that everyone had a wonderful and safe holiday season. Here are the environmental issues discussed during the PDT meeting Tuesday, January 14, 2003.

1. The Tucson/Yuma PEIS public comment period has been extended until 7 February 2003. It appears from some of the newspaper articles and some of the verbal comments we have received that the original intent and focus of the PEIS (i.e., daily operations) might have been lost.

2. The USFWS has acknowledged that the Yuma BA is adequate and consultation has begun. A meeting is scheduled with the USFWS for 24 January in Tucson to discuss some additional information needs on the Tucson BA.

3. The draft EA for the Naco fence and roadway was submitted for approval to release last Friday (10 January). HDR will complete another review and provide comments, if any, today. The NG intends to have a unit ready for this project in April, so the draft needs to be released ASAP.

4. The draft Ephraim Ridge reclamation EA was submitted for approval on 10 January. HDR will complete another review and provide comments, if any, today.

5. The Nogales Infrastructure EA (roadways, tunnel modifications, and portable lights) has been initiated but designs and ROEs still need to be resolved. [b][6] felt that the ROEs have been completed and will confirm.

6. The WWD draft permit application was submitted in late November. GSRC will follow up with [b][6], USACE Los Angeles District, to determine if there are any additional data needs and to attempt to move the application to the forefront. GSRC will draft a 404(b)(1) evaluation for the LA District to assist in the preparation of the permit. This 404 process can take 6-9 months to complete; The NG has planned to reschedule this project for June 03.

7. The revised CR survey reports for Naco and Douglas were received and are being reviewed by GSRC. Once our review is complete, they will be forwarded to [b][6] for submittal to the SHPO. The coordination on the Programmatic MOA can be re-established upon acceptance of this report.

8. [b][6] has not received a response to his inquiry to HQ INS Legal Counsel regarding the applicability of a CATEX for the road maintenance at Sonora. [b][6] agreed to follow up on this request and to send a copy
of a memo from [redacted] (dated about 2-3 years ago) acknowledging that road maintenance (not improvements) fell within CATEX threshold.
9. The PDT agreed that the INS Regional Environmental Officer will be the
POC identified on the title sheet; GSRC will notify the PDT when documents have been distributed to the public and keep records of the distribution.
For "special" recipients, GSRC will send the documents via FedEx or Return Receipt Requested. If the costs of sending these items become onerous, a modification to GSRC's contract will be required.
10. The Naco and Douglas Station Level NEPA documents were discussed during
a special afternoon session. The PDT discussed the 6 different approaches that had been formulated and finally agreed on a modified approach. We will
continue with a supplement to the 2000 Naco-Douglas Corridor EA (aka Cumulative Impact EA) using the following alternatives: (1) No Action, (2) Prioritized Approach Alternative (preferred alternative) and (3) Full Build Out Alternative. The Prioritized Approach will be essentially the same that
was presented in the September 2001 preliminary draft EAs, except that all projects identified in the Corridor EA will be removed from the Prioritized Approach project description since they have already been assessed. The Full Build Out Alternative will also be modified to exclude sensitive areas such as the Coronado National Memorial and to reduce the footprint to 60-foot in areas such as the developed areas around the Naco POE. The USBP will be continue their other site-specific projects, as identified in the Corridor EA, while this supplement is being prepared. The Full Build Out Alternative will be carried forward as a viable alternative, but not selected as the preferred at this time due to the potential environmental impacts. However, this will also provide an opportunity for USBP to revisit
this alternative at some point in the future in the event that the full build out design is deemed necessary.

The RVS projects were not discussed since IMC representatives were not available. However, [redacted] and GSRC did report that the FONSI for the Naco/Douglas RVS EA had been signed last week. As usual, if you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me.

[redacted]
Here are the updates--FYI.

All--

Here are the environmental issues discussed during the PDT meeting Tuesday, November 5, 2002.

1. The final EA and FONSI for the Naco vehicle barriers to fence EA was released to the public on 18 October.
2. The Tucson/Yuma PEIS was sent to HQ INS for submittal to the EPA on 21 October. NOA is expected to be published in the Federal Register on 8 November. Distribution to the public has been made for 45-day comment period.
3. The USFWS received the Yuma BA on 17 October.
4. The Tucson BA was submitted to HQ INS on 25 October. Expected to be submitted to USFWS this week.
5. The signed FONSI for the Naco/Douglas temp vehicle barriers EA was received on 31 October and distributed to the public on 4 November. The NOA was published on 5 November.
6. Preparation for the Naco fence and roadway EA continues. GSRC needs locations for the bollard fences. Still shooting for completion by the end of this year.
7. The Ephraim Ridge reclamation project will be separated from the other
Nogales infrastructure projects and has become a priority. (b)(6) agreed that this project can be separated due to the nature (repair/replace) of the project and the independence from other infrastructure projects. It was also agreed that this EA can be released to the public for a 15-day comment period, provided there were no major comments received on JTF-6's original EA. GSRC will attempt to complete this project in early January.

8. The ROE notifications for the Nogales projects have been filed by USBP/HDR. Upon receipt of the revised designs for the 2 miles of roadway from Baker, the field surveys can be initiated. Preparations have begun on the EA.

9. The WWD draft permit application will be submitted to the PDT for review this week. The wetlands/waters of the US impacts exceed the thresholds for MMP 3 or 14 and thus will require an Individual Permit. This process can take 6-9 months to complete.

10. The CR survey reports have been received and forwarded to (b)(6) for submittal to the SHPO. The coordination on the Programmatic MOA can be re-established upon acceptance of this report.

11. The Tucson (Naco/Douglas) RVS EA public review period ended on 30 October. Thus far, no comments were received (since the meeting, I've learned that one comment letter was received and has been forwarded to GSRC). The preliminary final will be submitted for review and approval by the end of next week (15 November).

12. The Crawford Hill relay tower/RVS site FEA and FONSI was submitted to HQ INS for staffing on 6 November. As soon as the signed FONSI is received, the final distribution will be made.

13. The Nogales RVS EA is on hold pending directions regarding the access roads. (b)(6) has submitted an inquiry to HQ INS Legal Counsel regarding the applicability of a CATEX for the road maintenance at Sonoita, but has not received a response to date. HDR feels that at the very least CR and possibly some bio surveys might be required.

14. The Naco and Douglas Station Level EAs have been distributed for internal review. Naco comments were due on 1 November. Comments on the Douglas EA are due on 8 November. GSRC has coordinated two public scoping meetings at Naco and Douglas on 18 and 19 November, respectively. The format will be a formal presentation, followed by an open floor to provide comments. No Q&A sessions will be provided. ACPA (b)(6) will open the meeting and present the USBP information; GSRC will present the NEPA portions of the presentations and describe the alternatives, as they currently exist. (b)(6) will serve as the facilitator/moderator during the comment session.

15. Klenfelder has completed the file review and site reconnaissance for the Phelps Dodge slag piles. Expect to have the draft report submitted by the end of next week (15 November).

As usual, if you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me.
Subject: Tucson Environmental Update (035)12

All--

Here are the environmental issues discussed during the PDT meeting Tuesday, September 10, 2002.

1. The final EA for the Naco vehicle barriers to fence EA was submitted to HQ INS through (b)(6) for staffing and approval. (b)(6) called (b)(6) and reported that the FONSI should be signed today, 2 October. Once the signed FONSI is received GSRC will make the final distribution.

2. Comments on the Tucson/Yuma PEIS were received. The draft is expected to be sent to (b)(6) for approval to release late next week, but NLT 15 October. [One thing not discussed, but needs to be considered--will USACE or HQ INS send the draft to EPA for publication in the Federal Register?]

3. The Yuma BA was sent to (b)(6) for staffing on 27 September. (b)(6) indicated that (b)(6) had concerns about the commitment to coordinate with BMGR, CPNWR and MCAS before implementing construction/operation activities on their lands. (b)(6) will contact (b)(6) and suggest that he coordinate with these agencies upfront to get a clear understanding of what coordination is required.

4. Comments have been received on the Tucson BA and will be the revisions are being incorporated. The BA will be sent to the USACE/INS for submittal NLT 11 October.

5. The Naco/Douglas temp vehicle barriers EA 30-day public review will close 3 October. No comments have been received to date. If this continues, the preliminary final can be turn around quickly.

6. The 15% designs for the Naco roadway/fencing project were received during the PDT meeting. Briefly, the PDT agreed that all lands within the 60-ft easement would be permanently impacted and that a 60-ft temporary construction easement should also be included in the impact analysis. JTF-
has stated that the unit they identified for this work will not be able to
deploy in January, so they will look for other units. (b)(6) indicated
he would contact a NG unit Colorado to see if they were interested in doing
this work. So, GSRC will still shoot for the final EA by the end of this
year.
7. Nogales road/lights and Ephraim Ridge reclamation project is
dependent
upon receipt of the ROEs and engineering designs. Data collection has been
initiated.
8. WWD 90% design was received during the PDT meeting. GSRC has
contacted
(b)(6) (USACE Los Angeles, Phoenix Field Office) and will begin
development of the permit application. Baker will need to provide Qs for
10 and 100-year events, a dewatering plan, bollard fence details, and if
possible, dredge/fill volumes.
9. (b)(6) had sent an earlier e-mail indicating that the SHPO will wait for
the results of the current surveys before proceeding with coordination on
the Programmatic MOA. Surveys were delayed on the west side of the Naco AO
due to fire hazards this summer, but have now been completed. The report is
expected within the next few weeks. GSRC's subconsultant was asked to
revisit some sites because of conflicting determinations of potential
eligibility between the current investigation and previous surveys.
10. The footprint of the site at the Arnie's Trestle has been resolved and
the draft EA was released for public review on 25 September. The 30-day
comment period closes on 30 October.
11. The Crawford Hill relay tower/RVS site EA has been revised and will be
sent out for 15-day public review this week.
12. Comments were received on the Nogales RVS EA. However, GSRC will
still
need direction regarding the access
roads.
13. HDR has requested CR and bio survey data from the USFS, but nothing
has
been received to date. HDR will establish a conference call later this
week
to decide which approach to take.
14. GSRC believed that a public scoping meeting regarding the Naco and
Douglas Stations EAs in October would not provide adequate preparation or
public notice time. The PDT agreed to look at the weeks of 11 or 17
November (the latter is better for (b)(6) GSRC will contact the
Cochise College to determine availability. (b)(6) wants to have a formal
presentation and comment period--no Q&A session. GSRC will prepare a draft
PowerPoint presentation by 18 October for internal review. A dry run will
be performed at the PDT meeting on 5 November to make sure all players know
what their responsibility/roles will be. The EAs were scheduled to be
delivered by 4 October, but have been delayed until 11 October, due to a
variety of electrical and weather-related problems.

As usual, if you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me.

(b)(6)

-------------------------------- Forwarded
--------------------------------
From: (b)(6)
To: (b)(6)
Here are the environmental issues discussed during the PDT meeting Tuesday, September 10, 2002.

1. The preliminary final EA for the Naco vehicle barriers to fence EA was submitted for internal review and approval. (b)(6) has submitted comments and is expected to send some by 13 September, which is the deadline for comments. Barring any significant comments, the final EA will be released NLT next week. GSRC will send a draft FONSI to (b)(6) for staffing this week.

2. The Tucson/Yuma PEIS is expected to go out this week for another review. Comments will be due 27 September.

3. The Yuma BA was sent out for internal review on 16 August. Comments are due 13 September.

4. The Tucson BA was sent out for internal review on 23 August. Comments are due 13 September.

5. The Naco/Douglas temp vehicle barriers EA was sent out for 30-day public review; review period will close 2 Oct.

6. Naco roadway project now includes 4 miles of fencing as well. A preliminary draft will be sent out for internal review by the end of next week, provided roadway designs are received. PDT is shooting for a final EA by the end of the CY so that JTF-6 and NG units can get started in January/February if funding is available.

7. Nogales road/lights and Ephraim Ridge reclamation will be rolled into one EA--expect to get ROEs within 6 weeks; field surveys are dependent upon receipt of the ROEs and designs.

8. WWD 90% design is expected by 23 September; (b)(6) has coordinated with
(b)(6) (USACE Los Angeles); GSRC will follow-up and begin permit application as soon as design is submitted.

9. (b)(6) is still coordinating with the SHPO regarding the Programmatic MOA. The Mine Ridge site report has been completed and the site has been cleared for construction.

10. The new alignment/design for access road to the North of Monument 90 RVS has been resolved; however, the footprint of the site at the Arnie's Trestle has changed and GSRC will need revised footprint in order to complete the EA.

11. The Crawford Hill RVS site in Nogales can not be CATEXed. (b)(6) will attempt to get approval to prepare a separate EA for this site and for a 15-day public review period. GSRC will develop a cost estimate and internal draft ASAP, provided this approach is accepted by HQ INS.

12. The Nogales RVS EA has been sent out for internal review; comments are due on 13 September. GSRC will still need direction regarding the access roads.

13. HDR has requested CR and bio survey data from the USFS. If there are no sensitive resources recorded, the Sonoita road maintenance project (18-23 miles) will be CATEXed.

14. PDT agreed to conduct a public scoping meeting regarding the Naco and Douglas Stations EAs. The meetings will be solicit input regarding alternative designs, mitigation and to see if there is enough controversy to warrant consideration of an EIS. GSRC will begin preparations of the scoping meeting. In the meantime, GSRC will continue with the preparation of the Station level EAs and expect delivery NLT 4 October. GSRC is also still accepting comments on the draft Section 2.

As usual, if you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me.

(b)(6)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Project and Compliance Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aug 1994</td>
<td>Final PEIS for JTF-6 Activities Along the US/Mexico Border</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 1997</td>
<td>Supplemental Environmental Assessment JTF-6 Fence and Road Construction, Douglas, Cochise County, AZ; Prepared by USACE Fort Worth District</td>
<td>1 Mile of decorative fence starting at the POE and going east and 0.3 miles going west of the POE. 3.6 Miles of Landing Mat Fence starting at the end of the decorative fence (4.6 miles total east from POE) and 1.3 miles of landing mat fence starting at the end of the decorative fence to the west (1.6 miles total west of POE). 0.5 Miles of road maintenance starting 0.3 miles west of POE. Four 36-inch culverts may be used.</td>
<td>Eastern side is complete except for LWC; west side completed by National Guard under 2002 INS EA; still some LWCs to be installed and/or repaired on eastern side.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 1998</td>
<td>Final Supplemental EA Proposed JTF-6 Light Pole Installation Mission, Douglas, Cochise County, AZ; Prepared by USACE Fort Worth District</td>
<td>Approx. 2 miles of lights spaced 400 feet apart starting at Ave A and ending at Airport Road. 3 Miles of lights starting at POE and going west. 8-ft wide maintenance road along next to light poles.</td>
<td>Lights have been installed—need USBP Douglas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 1998</td>
<td>Final EA, Joint Task Force Six, Proposed Lighting and Camera Installation Project, Nogales, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 1999</td>
<td>Final EA JTF-6 Proposed Lighting Project, Naco, Cochise County, AZ; Prepared by USASE Fort Worth District</td>
<td>2 miles of lights; one mile east and one mile west of truck POE. Approx 40 poles, 300 to 400 ft apart. Minor road maintenance to pole locations, if needed.</td>
<td>Lights completed in 2002 — shields are being installed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2000</td>
<td>Final EA for Seven Remote Video Surveillance Sites Near Naco, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td>No specific projects were identified for construction of surveillance equipment, more like a programmatic document, with intention of future construction needs of the AZ Governor and US White House directed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 2000</td>
<td>Final EA for Infrastructure Projects within the Naco-Douglas Corridor, Arizona</td>
<td>aka the Cumulative Impact EA — described past, on-going and potential future infrastructure projects along 60-mile border</td>
<td>Project has not been initiated; some components and/or the same as the October 2002 EA being prepared.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 2000</td>
<td>Final EA JTF-6 Proposed Fence and Road Improvement Project, Naco, Cochise County, AZ; Prepared by Fort Worth District</td>
<td>Extend fence one mile further to the east. Replace existing vehicle barrier east of POE starting at the end of the new fence and going 3.0 miles (total approved fence will be 2 miles of landing mat and 3 miles of vehicle barrier). Improve border road for four miles east and six miles west of POE. Two low water crossings (no culverts just paving) west of POE.</td>
<td>Project completed on east side; west side (except for roadway work) has not been initiated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2001</td>
<td>Final EA for Immigration &amp; Naturalization Service Expansion of Parking/Storage Facilities and New Traffic Checkpoint at Sonora, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td>Project completed on east side; west side (except for roadway work) has not been initiated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2001</td>
<td>Final EA for Immigration &amp; Naturalization Service New Temporary Traffic Checkpoint, Nogales, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td>Project completed on east side; west side (except for roadway work) has not been initiated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 2001</td>
<td>Final EA JTF-6 Proposed Fence, Lighting, Road Repair and Improvement Project, Douglas, Cochise County, AZ; Prepared by Fort Worth District</td>
<td>Extend landing mat fence an additional 2 miles east of POE (Total approved fence will be 6 miles from POE going east). Permanent lights; 1.0 mile west of POE and 0.8 miles east of POE (0.3 miles from POE and 0.5 miles starting at the end of the existing lights going to south of airport, Figure 1.0). 4.0 Miles of road upgrade east of POE and 4.0 miles west of WWD. Minor road repair for an extension of existing elements.</td>
<td>Project completed on east side; west side (except for roadway work) has not been initiated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>Supplemental EA for JTF-6, Whitewater Draw (WWD) Crossing, Douglas, AZ; Prepared by Fort Worth District</td>
<td>Construction of drainage structure/road platform across Whitewater Draw</td>
<td>Baker is preparing the 90% design; GSRC will prepare permit applications with the intent of having the permits ready in early 2003.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>Final EA for Proposed Expansion of the Ajo U.S. Border Patrol Station, Why, Arizona</td>
<td>To provide necessary parking, locker rooms, office buildings and support facilities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>Supplemental Final PEIS for INS and JTF-6 Activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>Final EA for Portable Lights Within the Naco Corridor, Cochise County, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>Final EA for Road Improvements along Kings Ranch Road and Border Road, Douglas, AZ</td>
<td>Construction/improvements of 1 mile of road from Douglas Station to the border (Kings Ranch Road) and 2 miles of border road originally proposed by JTF-6</td>
<td>Construction completed, but some rework is needed in LWCs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>Final EA for Conversion of Vehicle Barriers to Landing Mat Fence, Naco, AZ</td>
<td>Conversion of 1.2 miles of vehicle barriers (with 10-12 foot vertical supports) to landing mat fence. Project starts about 1 mile east of Naco</td>
<td>EA completed, but construction has not started.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept</td>
<td>Final EA U.S. Border Patrol Station, Willcox, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>Final EA for the Installation of Temporary Vehicle Barriers along US/Mexico border in Douglas and Naco Stations</td>
<td>Construct vehicle barriers at Stations and place the barriers, as needed, at locations along a 25-mile reach of the border</td>
<td>EA complete, some barriers have been constructed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>Draft EA for 4 miles of Roadway Improvements, Fences and LWCs, Naco, AZ</td>
<td>Construction/major improvements of 2 miles of roadway on either side of the Naco POE, installation of 1 mile of landing mat fence on east side of POE beginning about 1 mile east of POE (vehicle barriers to be removed), installation of up to 4 LWCs</td>
<td>Preliminary Draft EA has undergone internal review. Draft by mid-January 03 for 30-day comment period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft</td>
<td>Draft EA for 2 miles of Roadway Improvements and Fences, Placement of 60 Portable Lights, and Reclamation of Ephraim Ridge, Nogales, AZ</td>
<td>Construction/major improvements of 2 miles of roads on west side of POE, installation of portable lights at about 60 locations on either side of the POE, reclaim and support hill that is sloping toward Mexico — will require rerouting of road</td>
<td>Baker to provide designs; EA is being prepared (preliminary draft should be ready for review by mid-January, depending upon receipt of the designs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Status/Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 2003</td>
<td>Draft EA for Reclamation of Ephraim Ridge, Nogales, AZ</td>
<td>Reclaim and restore hill (about 1.25 acre) to ensure that it does not slip into Mexico</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 1999</td>
<td>Final EA for JTF-6 Road Improvements and Vehicle Barriers, Columbus, NM</td>
<td>Road construction and some vehicle barriers were constructed and I do not think the large box culvert was necessary.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 1999</td>
<td>Final EA for JTF-6 Road Improvements near Van Horn, TX</td>
<td>Not sure of the status of this project—need USBP or JTF-6 to confirm. Both projects can not be completed at the same time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2000</td>
<td>Final EA for JTF-6 Road and Drainage improvements near Eagle Pass, TX</td>
<td>Some work has been completed, but need USBP or JTF-6 to confirm. Neither of the barriers were constructed and I do not think the large box culvert was necessary.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2000</td>
<td>Final EA for JTF-6 Rail Barriers, Santa Teresa, NM</td>
<td>Some work was completed, but need USBP or JTF-6 to confirm. Neither of the barriers were constructed and I do not think the large box culvert was necessary.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 2002</td>
<td>Draft EA for Fence Upgrade and Extension, Anapra, NM</td>
<td>EA is in review now; expect to have the Draft EA in October; Final EA and FONSI should be available.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 2002</td>
<td>Draft EA for Placement of Temporary Vehicle Barriers, El Centro, CA</td>
<td>Construction of temporary vehicle barriers at Calexico and El Centro BP Stations and then placement of the barriers at various locations on an as needed basis—anywhere along a 21-mile section of the border.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Activity Description</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2002</td>
<td>Biological Monitoring for Installation of Emergency Beacons, Yuma Sector</td>
<td>Monitoring the installation of 6 emergency beacons; CATEX was prepared by MCAS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2002</td>
<td>EA for Operation Desert Grip, Wellton Station, AZ</td>
<td>Placement of temporary trailers and associated infrastructure in Cabeza Prieta NWR/Los Vidrios area for 24-hour patrol support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beacons installed with no loss to flat-tailed hawks; trailers emplaced</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>