From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2005 1:31 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: TCA PDT 15 November 2005 Draft Meeting Minutes
Attachments: 111505.pdf

Please find attached the 15 November 2005 Tucson Sector PDT meeting minutes. Should you have any questions, comments, or corrections, respond directly back to this e-mail.
From:  
Sent:  Friday, November 18, 2005 1:31 PM  
To: 
Cc:  
Subject:  TCA PDT 15 November 2005 Draft Meeting Minutes  
Attachments:  111505.pdf  

Please find attached the 15 November 2005 Tucson Sector PDT meeting minutes. Should you have any questions, comments, or corrections, respond directly back to this e-mail.
MEETING MINUTES

Meeting Date and Time: 15 November 2005 @ 10:00
Meeting Location: Tucson Station
Meeting Purpose: TCA PDT Meeting
Conference Call Number: (b) (7)(E)

DISTRIBUTION
See attached Distribution list.

ACTION ITEMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>ASSIGNED</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. GSA coordination on drainage tunnel access work. Follow-up with local contact at the POE.</td>
<td>(b) (6)</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Follow-up on paving the Nogales ½ mile with a private contractor once funding is available. Pending with contract to repackage.</td>
<td>(b) (6)</td>
<td>Pending Contracting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Finalize SOW and prepare fee proposal for FY’05 engineering services by Baker Eng. Reviewing cost estimates, etc. Need construction support for coming deployments. New RWA’s will be folded into contracts</td>
<td>(b) (6)</td>
<td>Construction Support Processed, Pending Others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Check to see if funds from the RWA for Ephraim Ridge Reclamation will be need for the lease.</td>
<td>(b) (6)</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. To check on water meter in Naco for November deployment</td>
<td>(b) (6)</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Check with Brown Wholesale on delivery schedule for Naco Lighting BOM</td>
<td>(b) (6)</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Environmental Working Group

1. Tucson/Yuma PEIS- has received the CBP Legal comments and will forward them to (b) (6)

2. Tucson BA will track the funds and make sure they are provided to AERC

3. San Pedro River LWC wanted to make a site visit to discuss the various designs.

4. National Guard Annual Submittal needs to be prepared, will assist in draft the NGB Environmental Compliance form.
MILITARY DEPLOYMENTS

Arizona Army National Guard
Units from the Wisconsin National Guard plan to deploy 7 January 2006 into Douglas Arizona west of the POE, Unit plans on constructing 1600' of LWCs and placing landing mat fence. 50 soldiers per two week deployment – two deployments scheduled. Remainder of Whitewater Draw approaches to be worked on as well.
Units from the Massachusetts National Guard plan to deploy 25 February 2006 west of the POE, 50 soldiers per two week deployment – two deployments scheduled. Remainder of Whitewater Draw approaches to be worked on as well.

JTF-N
FY'06 Proposed Deployments
ES 4145-06, Naco, AZ, ½ mile of Lights (west) 15 Nov -15 Dec, deployment underway. Most of BOM on the ground and unit in construction.
ES4591-06, Naco, AZ, 1 mile of light (west) 18 Jan - 18 Feb
ES4150/56/57/58-06, Naco/Douglas, AZ, 2/3 miles of road, 7/8 LWC's and bollard fences in Naco (west) and 6 LWC's in Douglas (cast). 1 June - 15 August. 8 weeks with 4 rotations of 50 to 60 personnel into Naco and Douglas east of the POE.
ES4160-06, Naco, AZ, 1 mile of road 3/4 LWC's and bollard fences, Naco (cast) 2nd qtr.

ENGINEERING
Baker Eng.
Please note attached notes from Michael Baker Jr. Engineering
Douglas, Lighting (5 Miles)
Douglas, 13-Mile Roadway
Douglas, International Ditch
Naco, Lighting (5 Miles)
Nogales, Schematic Design

ENVIRONMENTAL
GSRC

PEIS Tucson & Yuma (AERC 225)  Tucson/Yuma PEIS--GSRC drafted responses to OC comments and forwarded them to CBP HQ and USACE for review. GSRC has requested a meeting with the OC for clarification of the comments, some of which might require another revised draft PEIS. GSRC still needs to get clarification from specific stations regarding the ABCI Phase II information and apprehension data. (b) (6) will coordinate with (b) (6) to obtain clarification of these data.
Tucson BA The (b) (5) for revisions to the Tucson BA is at the AERC. The funds and the ABCI Phase II are still needed for GSRC to continue. GSRC sent maps and station descriptions 2 weeks ago for confirmation or correction of the data. GSRC will coordinate with (b) (6) for receipt of this information. It will also be used to revise the PEIS.
San Pedro River LWC, After a site visit at the San Pedro crossing, the team decided to do nothing to the crossing proper, but to improve the approach roads in an effort to halt erosion. Turnouts will also be provided on the east bank. Consequently, the BA for the San Pedro
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### Next Meetings

13 December 2005 @ 10:00 – Phoenix @ Michael Baker, Jr. Offices
MEETING MINUTES

Meeting Date and Time: 15 November 2005 @ 10:00
Meeting Location: Tucson Station
Meeting Purpose: TCA PDT Meeting
Conference Call Number: (b) (7)(E)

DISTRIBUTION
See attached Distribution list.

ACTION ITEMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>ASSIGNED</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. GSA coordination on drainage tunnel access work. Follow-up with local contact at the POE.</td>
<td>(b) (6)</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Follow-up on paving the Nogales 1/2 mile with a private contractor once funding is available. Pending with contract to repackage.</td>
<td>(b) (6)</td>
<td>Pending Contracting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Finalize SOW and prepare fee proposal for FY’05 engineering services by Baker Eng. Reviewing cost estimates, etc. Need construction support for coming deployments. New RWA’s will be folded into contracts</td>
<td>(b) (6)</td>
<td>Construction Support Processed, Pending Others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Check to see if funds from the RWA for Ephraim Ridge Reclamation will be need for the lease.</td>
<td>(b) (6)</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. (b) (6) to check on water meter in Naco for November deployment</td>
<td>(b) (6)</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Check with Brown Wholesale on delivery schedule for Naco Lighting BOM</td>
<td>(b) (6)</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Environmental Working Group

1. Tucson/Yuma PEIS--Ingram has received the CBP Legal comments and will forward them to (b) (6) (b) (6)
2. Tucson BA, (b) (6) (b) (6) will track the funds and make sure they are provided to AERC
3. San Pedro River LWC (b) (6) wanted to make a site visit to discuss the various designs.
4. National Guard Annual Submittal needs to be prepared (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) will assist (b) (6) to draft the NGB Environmental Compliance form.

(b) (6)
TACTICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION
OFFICE OF BORDER PATROL - TUCSON SECTOR

MILITARY DEPLOYMENTS

Arizona Army National Guard
Units from the Wisconsin National Guard plan to deploy 7 January 2006 into Douglas Arizona west of the POE, Unit plans on constructing 1600' of LWCs and placing landing mat fence. 50 soldiers per two week deployment - two deployments scheduled. Remainder of Whitewater Draw approaches to be worked on as well.
Units from the Massachusetts National Guard plan to deploy 25 February 2006 west of the POE, 50 soldiers per two week deployment - two deployments scheduled. Remainder of Whitewater Draw approaches to be worked on as well.

JTF-N
FY'06 Proposed Deployments
ES 4145-06, Naco, AZ, ½ mile of Lights (west) 15 Nov -15 Dec, deployment underway. Most of BOM on the ground and unit in construction.
ES4591-06, Naco, AZ, 1 mile of light (west) 18 Jan - 18 Feb
ES4150/56/57/58-06, Naco/Douglas, AZ, 2/3 miles of road, 7/8 LWC's and bollard fences in Naco (west) and 6 LWC's in Douglas (east). 1 June - 15 August. 8 weeks with 4 rotations of 50 to 60 personnel into Naco and Douglas east of the POE.
ES4160-06, Naco, AZ, 1 mile of road 3/4 LWC's and bollard fences, Naco (east) 2nd qtr.

ENGINEERING
Baker Eng.
Please note attached notes from Michael Baker Jr. Engineering
Douglas, Lighting (5 Miles)
Douglas, 13-Mile Roadway
Douglas, International Ditch
Naco, Lighting (5 Miles)
Nogales, Schematic Design

ENVIRONMENTAL
GSRC

PEIS Tucson & Yuma (AERC 225) Tucson/Yuma PEIS–GSRC drafted responses to OC comments and forwarded them to CBP HQ and USACE for review. GSRC has requested a meeting with the OC for clarification of the comments, some of which might require another revised draft PEIS. GSRC still needs to get clarification from specific stations regarding the ABCI Phase II information and apprehension data. (b)(6) will coordinate with (b)(6) to obtain clarification of these data.
Tucson BA The (b)(5) for revisions to the Tucson BA is at the AERC. The funds and the ABCI Phase II are still needed for GSRC to continue. GSRC sent maps and station descriptions 2 weeks ago for confirmation or correction of the data. GSRC will coordinate with (b)(6) for receipt of this information. It will also be used to revise the PEIS.
San Pedro River LWC, After a site visit at the San Pedro crossing, the team decided to do nothing to the crossing proper, but to improve the approach roads in an effort to halt erosion. Turnouts will also be provided on the east bank. Consequently, the BA for the San Pedro
aware of the project.

4. Nogales Schematic Design EA conceptual designs are being revised and will be submitted to the PDT and GSRC by Baker this week (18 November). Field surveys are scheduled for the week of 28 November.

5. Ephraim Ridge Phase I ESA--GSRC will revise the draft report, based on (b) (6) comments by 18 November (b) (6) will need 3 copies). The EA is expected to be submitted by 23 November.

6. Whitewater Draw 404 Permit, (b) (6) will contact (b) (6) City of Douglas, to check on their project status.

7. International Ditch (Douglas), Baker will provide GSRC with the latest plans, including sedimentation reduction and flow velocity change calculations. (b) (6) will provide name and addresses for adjacent landowners.

NEXT MEETINGS

13 December 2005 @ 10:00 – Phoenix @ Michael Baker, Jr. Offices
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TUCSON SECTOR</th>
<th>OFFICE OF BORDER PATROL</th>
<th>NACO STATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOGALES STATION</td>
<td>DOUGLAS STATION</td>
<td>CASA GRANDE STATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)</td>
<td>(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)</td>
<td>(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAKER</td>
<td>CONSULTANT TEAM</td>
<td>KLEINFELDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) (6)</td>
<td>(b) (6)</td>
<td>(b) (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPSCC</td>
<td>CORPS OF ENGINEERS</td>
<td>JTF- N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) (6)</td>
<td>(b) (6)</td>
<td>(b) (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHS</td>
<td>IBWC</td>
<td>AZ NATIONAL GUARD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) (6)</td>
<td>(b) (6)</td>
<td>(b) (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USFS</td>
<td>AG&amp;FD</td>
<td>USF&amp;WS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) (6)</td>
<td>(b) (6)</td>
<td>(b)(6)(b)(7)(C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME: (b) (6)</td>
<td>AFFILIATION: (b) (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADDRESS:</td>
<td>E-MAIL:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PHONE: (b) (6)</td>
<td>FAX:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Baker

CIVIL DEPARTMENT REPORT

To: Tactical Infrastructure Program Manager (Tucson Sector)  Date: 11-15-2005
From: [redacted]  Subject: November 15, 2005 PDT, civil report

ACTION ITEMS

3. Baker has submitted construction support for JTF deployments in November and January along with 4 miles of road design in Sonoita and 2 miles in Nogales. We have not yet submitted the estimate for construction support for the National Guard deployment in January.

ARIZONA ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

1. Baker met on site with [redacted] and visited the sites in Douglas, WWD, Erosion control, 2 miles extension, Kings Ranch Road, and discussed with [redacted] options for construction work that the Wisconsin and Massachusetts National Guard Unit might do.

JTF-N

2. ES 4591-06, NACO, AZ JAN 18 TO FEB 18. Baker has submitted the BOM and forwarded information from the supplier that poles and fixtures will need to be ordered by 11/14 for delivery on January 15, 2006.

ENGINEERING

1. Douglas lighting 2.5 miles east.
   a. In progress to 100% submittal by next PDT-Latest.

2. Douglas lighting 2.5 miles west.
   a. In progress to 100% submittal by next PDT-Latest, assuming future roadway improvements.

   a. Submitted 100% for review, no comments have been received. Baker will proceed to verify that IBWC has no comments, then address internal comments and submit 100% final.
   b. Approximate location of Property Lines has been added to the plans and plans submitted to BLM and [redacted]

4. International Channel.
   a. Plans have been submitted to the City last month, we were promised by [redacted] to receive comments by this Friday 11/18.

5. Naco lighting 5 miles.
   a. Construction in November 05 and January 05 on the west side.

   a. Baker have been adding approximate location of Property Lines provided by [redacted] and will submit plan sheets by end of this week to [redacted]

Please call me at [redacted] if you have any questions,
As discussed earlier today, you would like for us to coordinate/notify Mexican officials that a survey team will be conducting cultural/biological surveys along the US/MX border, but entirely within the US territory, TON.

Your concern is protecting your survey team. In the past, Mexican patrol in the area have considered the barbed wire fence located 60 ft North of the International Boundary as the border and you would like to avoid any potential problems prior to team deployment. Please provide me with a brief work description, time, and location of surveys to be conducted by your team. In the meantime, I will inquire within the agency on the protocol to handle this situation.

Thanks.
Meeting Minutes
DRAFT

Project: Tactical Infrastructure Planning & Program Development Meeting
Teleconference

Meeting Date: Thursday, November 10, 2005

Meeting Location: Teleconference

Time: 08:00 a.m., AZ Time

Attachments: See Sign-In Sheet; Risk Inventory & Assessment

DHS/CBP have agreed to use a private contractor for the first 3 miles of Anti-Vehicular Barrier (AVB) on BMGR (cannot do a sole source justification – will take too long to approve). ACE will put together for the rest of TIWAZ PPT review a general work order that will include the following:

1- Method and feasibility study
2- Performance Specifications
3- Statement of work and estimate

Ace Procurement will advertised the AVB project on Fed-Biz-Ops. The plan is to have Steel in the ground late January early February of 2006.

Meeting between [redacted] was held as planned on 11/8/05. Issue related to having one EIS for the CPNWR and another for BMGR vs. one EIS for both was discussed. Legal opinion is that both approaches can be defended from a legal prospective however going to two separate EISs will minimize delay risks with respect to wilderness issues on CPNWR. Given that schedule risks are hard to define, the decision is made to move forward with one EIS for both projects.

There is a distinction between the El Camino and Growler valley – a different need and different action. Growler valley improvements project will be included in the EIS. The El Camino will be the only project in the EA.

Comments related to the [redacted] letter was received by [redacted] will tweak the letter and send out for signature. [redacted] will send a copy of the signed letter to the TIWAZ PPT team. Letter will be signed by [redacted] [redacted] [redacted]

[b] (5)

[b] (6) to develop a schedule ASAP and have ready for discussion by next meeting. Coordination [redacted] will be needed to make the appropriate changes as a result of this conference call.
(b) (6) 

To look at the CBP T1 calendar and pick 2 days in December to have our next face to face meeting to discuss the schedule and WBS (by project).

(b) (6) 

To include Risk Inventory Assessment, template provided by (b) (6)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Identified</th>
<th>Area of Risk</th>
<th>Risk Owner</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Probability of Occurrence/Impact</th>
<th>Strategy for Mitigation/Contingency Plan</th>
<th>Current Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/10/05</td>
<td>Schedule</td>
<td>CBP</td>
<td>Issue related to having one EIS for the CPNWR and another for BMGR vs. one EIS for both.</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Given that schedule risks are hard to define, the decision is made to move forward with one EIS for both projects.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>