

Public Comment Feedback Table for ISSI CSSI Conformance testing

**Document Title: P25-CAB-CSSI-CSS\_CONF\_TEST\_CASES-20181119**

**Comment Date: March 19, 2019**

**Commenter Name or Company: Zetron**

| #  | Comment   | Action          | Explanation  |
|----|---|-----------------|--|
| Z1 | <p>There are several SIP BYE messages indicated as originating from console. In our opinion, this is not correct, we expect it from RFSS2 (Group Home). Therefore, its direction should have been optional or it should originate from RFSS2. Here are the places we've found that reference it.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3.3.1.1, Page-37, SIP BYE message F25.</li> <li>• 3.6.1.1, page-68, SIP BYE message F28.</li> <li>• 3.8.1.3, page-84, SIP BYE message F28.</li> <li>• 4.3.1.3, page-117, SIP BYE message F25.</li> <li>• 4.6.1.3, page-150, SIP BYE message F28.</li> <li>• 4.8.1.3, page-167, SIP BYE message F28</li> </ul> | Accepted        | <p>Agree. [BACA-B] section 6.3.3.3.2 "SIP BYE Event" indicates [when a home RFSS receives a BYE event, the home RFSS takes further action to bring the serving RFSS into the call]. As we are not testing this scenario, the BYE event will be changed to originate from the group home for group call services.</p> |
| Z2 | <p>There is no Unit-to-Unit call test without Availability Check. Some vendors, like ourselves, only support Unit-to-Unit calls without Availability Check. There doesn't appear to be a test for our implementation.</p>   | Accepted        | <p>Unit-to-Unit Call Without Target Availability Check will be added to both the " P25-CAB-CSSI-CSS_CONF_TEST_CASES" and the "P25-CAB-CSSI-RFSS_CONF_TEST_CASES" documents.</p>  |
| Z3 | <p>There is no place in the document to mark the result of each test. This would be a convenience for whoever is conducting the tests.</p>  | See Explanation | <p>The STR will provide a place to capture test results for each test case.</p>  |