



# Scalpel v2.0

Test Results for Video File Carving Tool

*October 22, 2014*



**Homeland  
Security**

Science and Technology

This report was prepared for the Department of Homeland Security Science and Technology Directorate Cyber Security Division by the Office of Law Enforcement Standards of the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

For additional information about the Cyber Security Division and ongoing projects, please visit [www.cyber.st.dhs.gov](http://www.cyber.st.dhs.gov).

October 2014

**Test Results for Video File Carving Tool:**  
Scalpel v2.0

**Contents**

- Introduction..... 1
- How to Read This Report ..... 1
- 1 Results Summary ..... 2
- 2 Test Case Selection ..... 2
- 3 Testing Environment..... 2
  - 3.1 Execution Environment..... 2
  - 3.2 Support Software..... 3
  - 3.3 Raw “dd” Image Creation..... 3
- 4 Test Results ..... 3
  - 4.1 No Padding..... 5
  - 4.2 Cluster Padded ..... 5
  - 4.3 Fragmented In Order ..... 6
  - 4.4 Incomplete..... 6
  - 4.5 Fragmented Out of Order ..... 7
  - 4.6 Braided Pair..... 9
  - 4.7 Byte Shifted..... 9

## Introduction

The Computer Forensics Tool Testing (CFTT) program is a joint project of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), the National Institute of Justice (NIJ), and the National Institute of Standards and Technology Law Enforcement Standards Office (OLES) and Information Technology Laboratory (ITL). CFTT is supported by other organizations, including the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the U.S. Department of Defense Cyber Crime Center, U.S. Internal Revenue Service Criminal Investigation Division Electronic Crimes Program, and the U.S. Department of Homeland Security's Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, U.S. Customs and Border Protection and U.S. Secret Service. The objective of the CFTT program is to provide measurable assurance to practitioners, researchers, and other applicable users that the tools used in computer forensics investigations provide accurate results. Accomplishing this requires the development of specifications and test methods for computer forensics tools and subsequent testing of specific tools against those specifications.

Test results provide the information necessary for developers to improve tools, users to make informed choices, and the legal community and others to understand the tools' capabilities. The CFTT approach to testing computer forensics tools is based on well-recognized methodologies for conformance and quality testing. Interested parties in the computer forensics community can review and comment on the specifications and test methods posted on the CFTT Web site (<http://www.cftt.nist.gov/>).

This document reports the results from testing Scalpel v2.0 against raw disembodied "dd" images that contain various layouts of fragmentation and completeness. The "dd" images are available at the CFREDS Web site (<http://www.cfreds.nist.gov/>).

Test results from other tools can be found on the DHS S&T-sponsored digital forensics web page, <http://www.cyberfetch.org/>.

## How to Read This Report

This report is divided into four sections. Section 1 identifies and provides a summary of any significant anomalies observed in the test runs. This section is sufficient for most readers to assess the suitability of the tool for the intended use. Section 2 identifies the test cases that were selected. The test cases are selected, in general, based on features offered by the tool. Section 3 lists software used to run the test cases with links to additional information about the items used. Section 4 presents for each test case the expected result data used to measure the success of the test and the actual data reported by the tool. To download a zip file containing data returned for each test case for Scalpel v2.0 runs, see <http://www.cftt.nist.gov/CFTT-Test-Run-Raw-Files.html>.

# Test Results for Digital Data File Carving Tool

Tool Tested: Scalpel (Open Source)  
Software Version: v2.0

Supplier: DFRWS (Golden G. Richard III and Lodovico Marziale)

WWW: <https://github.com/sleuthkit/scalpel>

## 1 Results Summary

Below are summaries on how Scalpel v2.0 performed when carving raw “dd” images containing various layouts of fragmentation and completeness.

Scalpel was most successful at carving mov, avi and wmv video files from no padding, cluster padded, fragmented in order, partial, braided pair and byte shifted “dd” images. Fragmented out of order “dd” image produced a larger number of *Viewable – Incomplete, Not Viewable and False Positives*.

For more test result details see section 4.

## 2 Test Case Selection

Scalpel’s ability to carve mp4, mov, avi, wmv, 3gp and ogv video files was measured by analyzing carved video files from raw disembodied “dd” images (i.e., an image without a filesystem) that contain various layouts of fragmentation and completeness. The dd image layouts are:

- **No padding:** contiguous files with no other content between files
- **Cluster Padded:** contiguous files with assorted content between files ranging in size from 1, 2, 4, 8, 16 and 32 sectors
- **Fragmented In Order:** contiguous and sequential fragmented files with content separating the files
- **Incomplete:** contiguous and partial (i.e., only a portion of the file is present) files
- **Fragmented Out of Order:** contiguous and disordered fragmented files separated by other content
- **Braided Pair:** contiguous and intertwined fragmented files
- **Byte Shifted:** contiguous files that are not aligned to sector boundaries

## 3 Testing Environment

The tests were run in the NIST CFTT lab. This section describes the selected test execution environment, using the support software, and notes on other test hardware.

### 3.1 Execution Environment

Scalpel version 2.0 was installed on Windows XP v5.1.2600.

The default configuration settings were used for Scalpel.

## 3.2 Support Software

A package of programs to support test analysis, rel-9, was used. The software can be obtained from: <http://www.cftt.nist.gov/filecarving/rel-9.zip>.

## 3.3 Raw “dd” Image Creation

The scripts used to create the “dd” images used for testing can be obtained from: <http://www.cfreds.nist.gov/filecarvingtestreports.html>.

## 4 Test Results

The results in sections 4.1 – 4.7 identify the test image that was carved and the data (i.e., carved files) that were returned. Each test has an associated table that identifies the test, the total number of files carved and whether the carved files were *Viewable - Complete/minor alteration*; *Viewable – Incomplete/major alteration*; *Not Viewable* or a *False Positive*.

The *Total Carved* column reports the total number of files carved. This number is often higher than the number of files contained within the image. This is generally due to false positives. False positives often occur when a tool has carved a file based upon a known file signature (e.g., FF D8) string that is not a file header, but a string within another file.

The *Viewable – Complete/minor alteration* column describes carved files in which the video appears to be unchanged from the original or the changes are so minor that the full content, color, and other attributes of the video are maintained.

The *Viewable – Incomplete/major alteration* column include partial recoveries (i.e., only parts of the video are viewable), scrambled videos in which the fragments are assembled incorrectly, color shifts and similar changes.

The *Not Viewable* column describes a file that is not viewable, could not be opened or had no content when opened.

Samples of viewable/complete and viewable/incomplete are available at <http://www.cftt.nist.gov/filecarving.html>.

The *False Positive* column reports a count of files that were incorrectly identified. The left-most column of the report tables provides a count for the individual file types that make up the test image.

The first row in in the tables reports the overall results for all files. Subsequent rows report results by file types (e.g., mp4, mov). The results are further divided based on the test case, e.g., by the amount of fragmentation or the presence of filler (i.e., other content). A bent arrow is used to show the breakdown.

The VLC media player software was used to interpret the files carved and classify them into the different categories (i.e., Viewable – Complete/minor, Viewable – Incomplete/major). The media player speed used was “faster” to shorten the time dedicated to go through all the files carved.

Full data on the test results including a complete analysis of sectors recovered is available at <http://www.cftt.nist.gov/CFTT-Test-Run-Raw-Files.html>.

## 4.1 No Padding

Video-nofill\_1401090836.dd contains a total of 36 contiguous files with no filler between files.

Out of the 36 video files a total of 73 files were carved – 13 of the carved files were *Viewable – Complete*, 10 of the files were *Viewable – Incomplete*, 22 of the files were *Not Viewable* and 28 were *False Positives*.

*Summary: The tool was most successful at carving mov, avi and wmv. The 3gp files recovered were viewable-incomplete and 1 of them was not viewable.*

Test: No Padding	Total Carved	Viewable Complete/minor alteration	Viewable Incomplete/major alteration	Not Viewable	False Positive
36 files	73	13	10	22	28
6 mp4	11			11	
6 mov	41	3	3	7	28
6 avi	9	5	1	3	
6 wmv	6	5	1		
6 3gp	6		5	1	
6 ogv					

Full results are available at: <http://www.cftt.nist.gov/CFTT-Test-Run-Raw-Files.html>

Table 1: No Padding

## 4.2 Cluster Padded

Video-notshifted\_1401090819.dd contains a total of 36 files, where all 36 files are contiguous files that have filler that ranges in size from 1, 3, 4, 5, 9, 16, 32 sectors where the files land on sector boundaries.

Out of the 36 video files a total of 76 files were carved – 13 of the recovered files were *Viewable – Complete*, 12 of the files were *Viewable – Incomplete*, 18 of the files were *Not Viewable* and 30 of the files were *False Positives*.

*Summary: The presence of filler between files did not significantly impact the recovery of Viewable Complete files.*

Test: Cluster Padded	Total Carved	Viewable Complete/minor alteration	Viewable Incomplete/major alteration	Not Viewable	False Positive
36 files	72	13	12	18	30
6 mp4	14			14	
6 mov	40	3	5	2	30
6 avi	7	5	1	1	
6 wmv	6	5	1		
6 3gp	6		5	1	
6 ogv					

Full results are available at: <http://www.cftt.nist.gov/CFTT-Test-Run-Raw-Files.html>

Table 2: Cluster Padded

### 4.3 Fragmented In Order

Video-simple-frag\_1401090846.dd contains a total of 36 files, 12 which are contiguous and 24 that are sequentially fragmented with filler that ranges in size from 1, 2, 4, 8, ...16 sectors.

Out of the 36 video files a total of 73 files were carved, 4 of the files were *Viewable – Complete*, 19 of the files were *Viewable – Incomplete*, 20 of the files were *Not Viewable* and 30 of the files were *False Positives*.

*Summary: In the presence of sequentially fragmented files, the tool had a reduced ability to recover Viewable-Complete mov, avi and wmv files. An increased number of viewable incomplete, not viewable and false positive files were recovered.*

Test: Fragmented In Order	Total Carved	Viewable Complete/minor alteration	Viewable Incomplete/major alteration	Not Viewable	False Positive
36 files	<b>73</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>30</b>
6 mp4	<b>14</b>			<b>14</b>	
2 Contiguous	↳ 7			↳ 7	
4 Frag w/fill	↳ 7			↳ 7	
6 mov	<b>40</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>30</b>
2 Contiguous	↳ 2	↳ 1	↳ 2	↳ 1	
4 Frag w/fill	↳ 7		↳ 4	↳ 2	
6 avi	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	
2 Contiguous	↳ 2	↳ 2		↳ 1	
4 Frag w/fill	↳ 4		↳ 4		
6 wmv	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>		
2 Contiguous	↳ 2	↳ 1	↳ 1		
4 Frag w/fill	↳ 4		↳ 4		
6 3gp	<b>6</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	
2 Contiguous			↳ 1		
4 Frag w/fill			↳ 3	↳ 2	
6 ogv					
2 Contiguous					
4 Frag w/fill					
Full results are available at: <a href="http://www.cftt.nist.gov/CFTT-Test-Run-Raw-Files.html">http://www.cftt.nist.gov/CFTT-Test-Run-Raw-Files.html</a>					

Table 3: Fragmented In Order

### 4.4 Incomplete

Video-partials\_1401090843.dd contains a total of 36 files, 18 complete files: 12 which are contiguous and 6 that have filler that ranges in size from 1, 2, 4, 8, 16 sectors. The remaining 18 files are partial files (e.g., only a portion of the file is present).

Out of the 36 video files a total of 60 files were carved - 4 of the carved files were *Viewable - Complete*, 16 of the files were *Viewable Incomplete*, 27 of the files was *Not Viewable* and 13 of the files were *False Positives*.

*Summary: In the presence of partial files, the tool had a reduced ability to recover viewable complete mov, avi and wmv files. An increased number of viewable - incomplete, not viewable and false positives were recovered.*

Test: Incomplete	Total Carved	Viewable Recovery of all available/minor alteration	Viewable Incomplete/major alteration	Not Viewable	False Positive
36 files	<b>60</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>13</b>
6 mp4	<b>20</b>			<b>20</b>	
3 Complete	↳ 20			↳ 20	
3 Partial					
6 mov	<b>20</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>13</b>
3 Complete	↳ 3	↳ 1		↳ 2	
3 Partial	↳ 4		↳ 3	↳ 1	
6 avi	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	
3 Complete	↳ 3	↳ 2	↳ 1	↳ 1	
3 Partial	↳ 2		↳ 2		
6 wmv	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>		
3 Complete	↳ 3	↳ 1	↳ 2		
3 Partial	↳ 2		↳ 2		
6 3gp	<b>9</b>		<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	
3 Complete	↳ 4		↳ 3	↳ 1	
3 Partial	↳ 5		↳ 3	↳ 2	
6 ogv					
3 Complete					
3 Partial					
Full results are available at: <a href="http://www.cftt.nist.gov/CFTT-Test-Run-Raw-Files.html">http://www.cftt.nist.gov/CFTT-Test-Run-Raw-Files.html</a>					

**Table 4: Incomplete**

## 4.5 Fragmented Out of Order

Video-disorder\_140190832.dd contains a total of 36 files, 6 of which are contiguous fragmented files that have filler that ranges in size from 1, 2, 4, 8, 16 sectors and the remaining 30 are fragmented files that are disordered.

Out of the 36 video files a total of 73 files were carved - 22 of the files were *Viewable - Incomplete*, 21 of the files were *Not Viewable* and 30 of the files were *False Positives*.

*Summary: In the presence of disordered fragmented files, the tool did not recover any viewable - complete files. An increased number of viewable - incomplete, not viewable and false positives were recovered.*

Test: Fragmented Out of Order	Total Carved	Viewable Complete/minor alteration	Viewable Incomplete/major alteration	Not Viewable	False Positive
36 files	<b>73</b>		<b>22</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>30</b>
6 mp4	<b>15</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>14</b>	
1 ABC					
1 ACB					
1 BAC	↳ 4			↳ 4	
1 BCA	↳ 10		↳ 1	↳ 9	
1 CAB					
1 CBA	↳ 1			↳ 1	
6 mov	<b>38</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>30</b>
1 ABC	↳ 1			↳ 1	
1 ACB	↳ 2		↳ 1	↳ 1	
1 BAC	↳ 1			↳ 1	
1 BCA	↳ 2		↳ 1	↳ 1	
1 CAB					
1 CBA	↳ 2		↳ 1	↳ 1	
6 avi	<b>7</b>		<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	
1 ABC	↳ 1		↳ 1		
1 ACB	↳ 1		↳ 1		
1 BAC	↳ 1		↳ 1		
1 BCA	↳ 2		↳ 1	↳ 1	
1 CAB	↳ 1		↳ 1		
1 CBA	↳ 1		↳ 1		
6 wmv	<b>6</b>		<b>6</b>		
1 ABC	↳ 1		↳ 1		
1 ACB	↳ 1		↳ 1		
1 BAC	↳ 1		↳ 1		
1 BCA	↳ 1		↳ 1		
1 CAB	↳ 1		↳ 1		
1 CBA	↳ 1		↳ 1		
6 3gp	<b>7</b>		<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	
1 ABC	↳ 1		↳ 1		
1 ACB	↳ 1		↳ 1		
1 BAC	↳ 2		↳ 1	↳ 1	
1 BCA	↳ 1		↳ 1		
1 CAB	↳ 1		↳ 1		
1 CBA	↳ 1	↳ 1			
6 ogv					
1 ABC					
1 ACB					
1 BAC					
1 BCA					
1 CAB					
1 CBA					

Full results are available at: <http://www.cftt.nist.gov/CFTT-Test-Run-Raw-Files.html>

**Table 4: Fragmented Out of Order**

## 4.6 Braided Pair

Video-braid\_1401090830.dd contains a total of 24 files, 12 of which are contiguous and 12 fragmented files.

Out of the 24 video files a total of 50 files were carved - 4 of the carved files were *Viewable – Complete*, 10 of the files were *Viewable – Incomplete*, 13 of the files were *Not Viewable* and 23 of the files were *False Positives*.

*Summary: In the presence of braided files, the tool had a reduced ability to recover viewable – complete mov, avi and wmv files. All 3gp files were viewable-incomplete.*

Test: Braided Pair	Total Carved	Viewable Complete/minor alteration	Viewable Incomplete/major alteration	Not Viewable	False Positive
24 files	50	4	10	13	23
4 mp4	10			10	
2 Contiguous	↳ 10			↳ 10	
2 Braided					
4 mov	28	1	2	2	23
2 Contiguous	↳ 3	↳ 1	↳ 1	↳ 1	
2 Braided	↳ 2		↳ 1	↳ 1	
4 avi	5	2	2	1	
2 Contiguous	↳ 3	↳ 2		↳ 1	
2 Braided	↳ 2		↳ 2		
4 wmv	4	1	3		
2 Contiguous	↳ 2	↳ 1	↳ 1		
2 Braided	↳ 2		↳ 2		
4 3gp	3		3		
2 Contiguous	↳ 1		↳ 1		
2 Braided	↳ 2		↳ 2		
4 ogv					
2 Contiguous					
2 Braided					

Full results are available at: <http://www.cftt.nist.gov/CFTT-Test-Run-Raw-Files.html>

Table 6: Braided Pair

## 4.7 Byte Shifted

Video-shifted\_1401090819.dd contains a total of 36 files, where all 36 files are contiguous files that have filler that ranges in size from 1, 3, 4, 5, 9, 16, 32 sectors where the files land on non-sector boundaries.

Out of the 36 video files a total of 73 files were carved – 13 of the carved files were *Viewable – Complete*, 11 of the files were *Viewable – Incomplete*, 23 of the files were *Not Viewable* and 26 of the files were *False Positives*.

*Summary: The presence of files not aligned to sector boundaries did not significantly impact the recovery of Viewable Complete files.*

Test: Byte Shifted	Total Carved	Viewable Complete/minor alteration	Viewable Incomplete/major alteration	Not Viewable	False Positive
36 files	<b>73</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>26</b>
6 mp4	<b>17</b>			<b>17</b>	
6 mov	<b>37</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>26</b>
6 avi	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	
6 wmv	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>		
6 3gp	<b>6</b>		<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	
6 ogv					
Full results are available at: <a href="http://www.cftt.nist.gov/CFTT-Test-Run-Raw-Files.html">http://www.cftt.nist.gov/CFTT-Test-Run-Raw-Files.html</a>					

**Table 7: Byte Shifted**