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This report provides an update on trends in I-94 nonimmigrant admissions and the estimated number of individual nonimmigrants admitted to the United States. In 2005, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) began an effort to record all land admissions of an I-94 nonimmigrant; previously, only the initial admission was typically recorded. This process was completed at nearly all pedestrian crossings and vehicular lanes along the Southwest and Northern borders by March 2010. Increases in admissions after 2005 as reported by OIS are partly due to the counting changes. To facilitate the interpretation of trends during this period, the number of individuals admitted each year, which are largely independent of counting changes, are compared to admissions. Estimates of individuals were created by matching admission records on birth date, last name, and first three characters of the first name. For comparison, estimates of overall expected admission levels absent counting changes were computed for 2006 to 2011.

TRENDS

Both the annual number of nonimmigrant admissions and estimated number of individuals admitted as nonimmigrants increased from 2001 to 2011. The difference between admissions and individuals increased from 2006, remained elevated in 2007 to 2009, and grew considerably in 2010 and 2011 (see Figure 1). The number of expected admissions (absent counting changes) is substantially lower than the number of actual admissions in 2010 and 2011.

Figure 2 illustrates how trends in admissions and individuals vary by select classes of admission. In general, trends in estimated counts of individuals for each category are flatter than trends in admissions—see especially NAFTA professional workers (TN), intracompany transferees (L1), workers in specialty occupations (H1B), academic students (F1), and temporary visitors for pleasure (B2).

Figure 3 displays how trends in admissions and individuals differ between select countries of citizenship. The number of Mexican and Canadian individuals admitted remained relatively flat in 2010 and 2011 while admission counts of Mexican and Canadian citizens increased substantially. For other leading countries, the difference between admissions and individuals increased in 2010 and 2011 but was much smaller than the difference for Mexico and Canada.

FURTHER STUDY

Analysis underway will evaluate multiple record matching methods used to estimate individuals. A detailed report will examine trends in nonimmigrant admissions and individuals admitted by class of admission, country of citizenship, port of entry, and other variables.
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Note: Data on estimated individuals are preliminary and subject to revision.

Figure 3.
Nonimmigrant Admissions and Estimated Individuals for Selected Countries of Citizenship (194 only): 2001–2011

Notes: Data on estimated individuals are preliminary and subject to revision. Admissions for 2003 are different than previously reported due to data updates.