RON JOHNSON
WISCONSIN

COMMITTEES:
APPROPRIATIONS
BUDGET
HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS
AGING

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510

June 22, 2011

Mr. James McCament, Chief
Office of Legislative Affairs
United States Citizenship and Immigration Services
Washington, DC

Re: **Registration for Classification as Refugee (I-590); Case No.** (b)(6) (b)(6)

Dear Mr. McCament:

I am writing on behalf of my constituent (b)(6) who contacted my office regarding the immigration case of his brother, (b)(6) It is my understanding that on March 23, 2011, (b)(6) Registration for Classification as Refugee (Form I-590) was denied by the Refugee Affairs Division at the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS).

I have been informed that following (b)(6) interview with a USCIS officer, the agency determined that there was a lack of evidence supporting (b)(6) claims of political persecution in his native country of Cuba. According to my constituent, however, (b)(6) submitted an ample amount of documentation showing the hardships (b)(6) has had to experience as a result of his political beliefs. Furthermore, (b)(6) tells me that he and other members of (b)(6) family were previously granted refugee status by USCIS and currently reside in the U.S.

I am told that on June 22, 2011, (b)(6) will submit to USCIS a request for reconsideration. I respectfully request that you give (b)(6) petition full and fair consideration, within the confines of the law. Thank you for your time and attention to this request.

Please direct your response to (b)(6) of my staff via fax at (b)(6)

Sincerely,

Ron Johnson

Ron Johnson
United States Senator

RHJ/bk

cc: (b)(6)

WASHINGTON OFFICE:
2 SENATE RUSSELL COURTYARD
WASHINGTON, DC 20510
(202) 224-5323

OSHKOSH OFFICE:
219 EAST WASHINGTON AVENUE, SUITE 100
OSHKOSH, WI 54901
(920) 233-7260

MILWAUKEE OFFICE:
517 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE
ROOM 408
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202
(414) 276-7282

http://ronjohnson.senate.gov

JOSEPH I. LIEBERMAN, CONNECTICUT, CHAIRMAN

CARL LEVIN, MICHIGAN
DANIEL K. AKAKA, HAWAII
THOMAS R. CARPER, DELAWARE
MARK L. PRYOR, ARKANSAS
MARY L. LANDRIEU, LOUISIANA
CLAIRE McCASKILL, MISSOURI
JON TESTER, MONTANA
MARK BEGICH, ALASKA

SUSAN M. COLLINS, MAINE
TOM COBURN, OKLAHOMA
SCOTT P. BROWN, MASSACHUSETTS
JOHN McCAIN, ARIZONA
RON JOHNSON, WISCONSIN
ROB PORTMAN, OHIO
RAND PAUL, KENTUCKY
JERRY MORAN, KANSAS

MICHAEL L. ALEXANDER, STAFF DIRECTOR
NICHOLAS A. ROSSI, MINORITY STAFF DIRECTOR

United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON
HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6250

RECEIVED BY OHS EXEC SEC
2011 SEP 13 PM 1:52

September 8, 2011

The Honorable Janet Napolitano
Secretary
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
12th and C Street SW
Washington, DC 20024

Dear Secretary Napolitano,

I am writing to request information from the Department of Homeland Security regarding Fiscal Year (FY) 2011 funding levels and current full time employee (FTE) allocations for both DHS headquarters level offices as well as specific offices/activities within DHS components. Attached with this letter is a list of the specific information requested.

Please contact Rachel Weaver, Staff Director of the Subcommittee on Oversight of Government Management, The Federal Workforce, and the District of Columbia of the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, at (b)(6) or (b)(6) with any questions.

Sincerely,

Ron Johnson

Attachment:
List of Requested Allocations

For each office, please provide the FY11 funding level and current full time employee (FTE) numbers.

DHS Headquarters Level

- Department of Homeland Security Office of Policy
- Department of Homeland Security Legislative Affairs
- Department of Homeland Security Public Affairs
- Department of Homeland Security Office of the Chief Financial Officer
- Department of Homeland Security Office of General Counsel
- Department of Homeland Security Office of the Chief Procurement Officer
- Department of Homeland Security Office of the Chief Human Capital Officer
- Department of Homeland Security Office of Privacy
- Department of Homeland Security Office of Civil Rights and Civil Liberties
- Department of Homeland Security Office of Chief Information Officer
- Department of Homeland Security Office of Intergovernmental Affairs

Federal Emergency Management Agency

- FEMA Office of Policy and Program Analysis
- FEMA Legislative Affairs
- FEMA Office of External Affairs
- FEMA Office of Chief Financial Officer
- FEMA Office of Chief Counsel
- FEMA Office of Chief Procurement Officer
- FEMA Office of Chief Component Human Capital Officer
- FEMA Office of Privacy
- FEMA Office of Disability Integration and Coordination
- FEMA Office of Equal Rights
- FEMA Office of Chief Information Officer
- FEMA Office of Administration/Chief Administrative Officer

Customs and Border Protection

- CBP Office of Policy and Planning
- CBP Office of Congressional Affairs
- CBP Office of Public Affairs
- CBP Office of Finance/Chief Financial Officer
- CBP Office of Chief Counsel
- CBP Office of Technology Innovation and Acquisition
- CBP Office of Diversity and Civil Rights
- CBP Office of Information and Technology
- CBP Office of Administration/Chief Administrative Officer
- CBP State, Local, and Tribal Liaison Office
- CBP Office of Privacy or privacy activities (if such office or activities exist within CBP)

National Protection and Programs Directorate

- Communications and Public Affairs Office
- Budget and Financial Administration Office
- NPPD Counsel or Legal Advisors Office
- NPPD Office of Privacy

- NPPD Chief Information Officer
- NPPD acquisition or procurement office or activities (if such office or activities exist within NPPD)
- NPPD human resource office or Human Capital Officer (if such office or activities exist within NPPD)
- NPPD policy office (if separate office exists within NPPD)
- NPPD legislative or congressional affairs
- NPPD office dedicated to state or local outreach or intergovernmental affairs (if such office or activities exist)

Immigration and Customs Enforcement

- ICE Detention Policy and Planning
- ICE Policy Office (Under Management and Administration)
- ICE Congressional Relations
- ICE Public Affairs Office
- ICE Chief Financial Officer
- ICE Principal Legal Advisor
- ICE Acquisition Management Officer
- ICE Privacy Officer
- ICE Chief Information Officer
- ICE State and Local Coordination Office
- ICE Human Capital Officer
- ICE Diversity and Civil Rights
- ICE Chief Administrative Officer (if this exists within ICE)

Transportation Security Administration

- TSA Policy Office
- TSA Office of Legislative Affairs
- TSA Office of Strategic Communications and Public Affairs
- TSA Office of Finance/Office of the Chief Financial Officer
- TSA Office of Chief Counsel
- TSA Acquisition Office/Office of Chief Procurement Officer
- TSA Office of Information Technology/Office of Chief Information Officer
- TSA Office of Chief Technology Officer
- TSA Human Capital Office/Office of the Chief Human Capital Officer
- ICE Human Capital Officer
- TSA Human Capital Office/Office of the Chief Human Capital Officer
- TSA Office of Administration/Chief Administrative officer
- TSA intergovernmental or state/local/tribal coordination office (if such office/activities exist within TSA)
- TSA Civil Liberties Office (if such office exists within TSA)
- TSA Office of Privacy (if such office exists within TSA)

Science and Technology Directorate

- S & T Policy and Strategy
- S & T Legislative Affairs
- S & T Office of Corporate Communications
- S & T Directorate Office of Associate General Counsel

- S & T Director of Finance and Budget
- S & T Director of Admin and Support
- S & T intergovernmental Affairs or state and local coordination office (if such activities and/or office exists within S & T)
- S & T human resources office or Chief Human Capital Office (if such office/activities exist within S & T)
- S & T Chief Procurement Officer/acquisition/procurement activities office (if such office/activities exist within S & T)
- S & T information office or Chief Information Office (if such office/activities exist within S & T)

Federal Law Enforcement Training Center

- FLETC Legislative Affairs
- FLETC Chief Financial Officer
- FLETC Office of Chief Counsel
- FLETC Chief Information Officer
- FLETC Administration
- Policy Office (if such office/activities exist within FLETC)
- Public Affairs Office (if such office/activities exist within FLETC—if Washington Operations does this function, please provide information for Washington Operations)
- FLETC Chief Human Capital Officer/human resource office (if such office/activities exist within FLETC)
- FLETC acquisition/procurement office or activities (if such office/activities exist within FLETC)

Domestic Nuclear Detention Office

- DNDO Product Acquisition and Deployment
- Policy Office (if such office/activities exist within DNDO)
- Legislative Affairs (if such office/activities exist within DNDO)
- Public or external affairs/communications (if such office/activities exist within DNDO)
- DNDO Counsel (if such office/activities exist within DNDO)
- Information office/Chief Information Officer (if such office/activities exist within DNDO)
- Financial management/Chief Financial Officer (if such office/activities exist within DNDO)

United States Citizenship and Immigration Services

- USCIS Policy and Strategy Office
- USCIS Office of Legislative Affairs
- USCIS Office of Public Engagement
- USCIS Office of Chief Counsel
- USCIS Privacy Office
- USCIS Finance Office/Office of Chief Financial Officer (if this exists within USCIS)
- USCIS Civil Liberties Office (if this exists within USCIS)
- USCIS Chief Information Office/Officer (if this exists within USCIS)
- USCIS Transformation
- USCIS Performance and Quality
- USCIS Management
- USCIS Enterprise Services

Office of Intelligence and Analysis

- Office of Plans, Policy and Performance Management- Office of Strategy Plans and Policy

- Office of Intelligence and Analysis State and Local Program Office
- OIA Legislative Affairs (if this exists within OIA)
- OIA External/Public Affairs (if this exists within OIA)
- OIA Counsel or Legal Advisor Office (if this exists within OIA)
- OIA Chief Financial Officer/Finance Office (if this exists within OIA)
- OIA Acquisition Office or Procurement Office (if this exists within OIA)
- OIA information office/Chief Information Officer (if this exists within OIA)
- OIA human resources/Chief Human Capital Officer (if this exists within OIA)

United States Secret Service

- Government and Public Affairs Office
- Human Resources and Training
- Administration
- Office of Policy (if this exists within USSS)
- Finance/ Office of the Chief Financial Officer (if this exists within USSS)
- Counsel or Principal Legal Advisor (if this exists within USSS)
- Acquisition/procurement/Chief Procurement Officer (if this exists within USSS)

United States Coast Guard

- Enterprise Strategic, Mgmt and Doctrine
- Legislative Affairs
- Government and Public Affairs
- Assistant Commandant for Resources
- Judge Advocate General and Chief Counsel
- Assistant Commandant for Acquisition
- Assistant Commandant for Human Resources
- Civil rights/civil liberties office/activities /Director Civil Rights
- Information/technology office (if such exists in United States Coast Guard)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Washington, DC 20528

Homeland Security

NOV 14 2011

The Honorable Ron Johnson
United States Senate
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Johnson:

Thank you for your letter requesting Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Fiscal Year 2011 funding levels and current full-time employee allocations for various program offices and activities within DHS headquarters and Components.

The enclosed table includes all requested information except funding-level allocations for the Office of Intelligence and Analysis (I&A). For security reasons, the Department will submit I&A's funding-level allocations under separate cover.

Thank you again for your interest in homeland security. If you should need additional assistance, please do not hesitate to contact the Office of Legislative Affairs at (b)(6)

Sincerely,

Rafael Borras
Under Secretary for Management

Enclosure

Department of Homeland Security
FY 2011 Funding Levels and FTEs

Comp	Programs/Offices	FY 2011 Funding (Whole $s)	FY 2011 FTEs	Comment
DMO	Department of Homeland Security Office of Policy	40,550,176	204	During the 4th quarter of FY11 a below threshold reprogramming removed $582,934 from the Office of Policy after realizing WCF efficiencies to cover one-time priorities in other OSEM offices.
	Department of Homeland Security Office of Policy	15,446,000	1	Reflects 2-year funding transferred from Dept. of State for Afghanistan Civilian Uplift Program.
	Department of Homeland Security Legislative Affairs	6,698,018	31	
	Department of Homeland Security Public Affairs	6,368,016	38	
	Department of Homeland Security Office of the Chief Financial Officer	75,323,140	183	
	Department of Homeland Security Office of General Counsel	23,762,063	131	
	Department of Homeland Security Office of the Chief Procurement Officer	74,597,341	353	
	Department of Homeland Security Office of the Chief Human Capital Officer	46,072,306	130	
	Department of Homeland Security Office of Privacy	8,407,835	34	
	Department of Homeland Security Office of Civil Rights and Civil Liberties	20,367,056	100	
	Department of Homeland Security Office of Chief Information Officer	404,011,157	217	Includes $76.805M in carryover and recoveries.
	Department of Homeland Security Office of Intergovernmental Affairs	2,632,007	27	
FEMA	FEMA Office of Policy and Program Analysis	4,416,983	30	
	FEMA Legislative Affairs	1,817,995	13	
	FEMA Office of External Affairs	12,168,256	88	
	FEMA Office of Chief Financial Officer	26,162,628	249	
	FEMA Office of Chief Counsel	12,160,770	73	
	FEMA Office of Chief Procurement Officer	21,496,280	169	
	FEMA Office of Chief Component Human Capital Officer	19,763,634	178	
	FEMA Office of Privacy	1,125,434	10	
	FEMA Office of Disability Integration and Coordination	150,060	1	
	FEMA Office of Equal Rights	2,638,958	26	
	FEMA Office of Chief Information Officer	52,446,943	434	
	FEMA Office of Administration/Chief Administrative Office	12,465,545	107	
CBP	CBP Office of Policy and Planning	1,359,973	11	
	CBP Office of Congressional Affairs	2,818,797	19	
	CBP Office of Public Affairs	14,579,134	93	
	CBP Office of Finance/Chief Financial Officer	N/A	N/A	Included in the Office of Administration/Chief Administrative Officer line
	CBP Office of Chief Counsel	40,890,739	312	
	CBP Office of Technology Innovation and Acquisition	573,024,654	214	
	CBP Office of Diversity and Civil Rights	7,507,589	72	
	CBP Office of Information and Technology	740,783,077	2300	
	CBP Office of Administration/Chief Administrative Officer	984,139,766	916	
	CBP State, Local, and Tribal Liaison Office	136,134	1	
	CBP Office of Privacy or privacy activities (if such office or activities exist within CBP)	539,285	14	

FY 2011 Funding Levels and FTEs

Comp	Programs/Offices	FY 2011 Funding (Whole $s)	FY 2011 FTEs	Comment
NPPD	Communications and Public Affairs Office	641,913	5	
	NPPD Budget and Financial Management (NPPD's Budget, Finance, and Acquisitions (BFA))	9,471,702	38	Includes Acquisitions
	NPPD Counselor Legal Advisors Office	N/A	N/A	
	NPPD Office of Privacy	639,000	5	
	NPPD Chief Information Officer	5,595,992	38	
	NPPD acquisition or procurement office or activities (if such office or activities exist within NPPD)	-	-	Acquisitions are included in "Budget and Financial Mgmt"
	NPPD human resource office or Human Capital Officer (if such office or activities exist within NPPD)	5,298,932	34	
	NPPD policy office (if separate office exists within NPPD)	N/A	N/A	Does not exist
	NPPD legislative or congressional affairs	N/A	N/A	
	NPPD office dedicated to state or local outreach or intergovernmental affairs (if such office or activities exist)	N/A	N/A	Does not exist
ICE	ICE Detention Policy and Planning	809,503	5	
	ICE Policy Office (Under Management and Administration)	1,169,765	11	
	ICE Congressional Relations	1,804,262	12	
	ICE Public Affairs Office	6,356,985	48	
	ICE Chief Financial Officer	131,515,586	470	
	ICE Principal Legal Advisor	221,423,000	1396	
	ICE Acquisition Management Officer	22,075,000	202	
	ICE Privacy Officer	590,313	4	
	ICE Chief Information Officer	205,913,000	361	
	ICE State and Local Coordination Office	6,929,797	20	
	ICE Human Capital Officer	50,262,877	184	
	ICE Diversity and Civil Rights*	3,627,830	16	
	ICE Chief Administrative Officer (if this exists within ICE)**	1,220,939	12	
	** ICE Diversity and Civil Rights Office includes CDO and EEO*			
	***ICE CAO does not exist- figures reflect the ICE Office of the Executive Secretariat*			
TSA	TSA Policy Office	N/A	N/A	TSA does not have this office.
	TSA Office of Legislative Affairs	1,107,837	9	
	TSA Office of Strategic Communications and Public Affairs	4,807,953	31	
	TSA Office of Finance/Office of the Chief Financial Officer	24,298,010	147	
	TSA Office of Chief Counsel	34,034,442	197	
	TSA Acquisition Office/Office of Chief Procurement Officer	23,025,453	140	
	TSA Office of Information Technology/Office of Chief Information Officer	406,003	277	
	TSA Office of Chief Technology Officer	1,198,927	173	
	TSA Human Capital Office/Office of the Chief Human Capital Officer	233,409,808	232	
	TSA Office of Administration/Chief Administrative officer	57,581,524	95	
	TSA intergovernmental or state/local/tribal coordination office (if such office/activities exist within TSA)	N/A	N/A	TSA does not have this office.
	TSA Civil Liberties Office (if such office exists within TSA)	N/A	N/A	TSA has an office of Civil Right and Liberties (OCRL) but it is part of a larger office of the Special Counselor and thus, TSA does not have a separate budget for OCRL.
	TSA Office of Privacy (if such office exists within TSA)	N/A	N/A	TSA has an Office of Privacy (OSC/Privacy) but it is a part of a larger office of the Special Counselor and thus, TSA does not have ea separate budget for Privacy.

Comp	Programs/Offices	FY 2011 Funding (Whole $s)	FY 2011 FTEs	Comment
S&T	S & T Policy and Strategy	-	-	
	S & T Legislative Affairs	-	-	
	S & T Office of Corporate Communications	3,422,873	9	
	S & T Directorate Office of Associate General Counsel	2,325,424	5	
	S & T Director of Finance and Budget	12,887,406	51	
	S & T Director of Admin and Support	11,553,660	25	
	S & T intergovernmental Affairs or state and local coordination office (if such activities and/or office exists within S&T)	1,945,837	7	
	S & T human resources office or Chief Human Capital Office (if such office/activities exist within S & T)	2,305,545	15	
	S & T Chief Procurement Officer/acquisition/procurement activities office (if such office/activities exist within S&T)	10,880,783	-	Funds are for working capital fund expenses in support of S&T procurement operations.
	S & T information office or Chief Information Office (if such office/activities exist within S & T)	11,701,459	15	
FLETC	FLETC Legislative Affairs			
	FLETC Chief Financial Officer	6,774,200	61	
	FLETC Office of Chief Counsel	1,760,700	8	
	FLETC Chief Information Officer	24,903,000	64	
	FLETC Administration	28,924,100	68	
	Policy Office (if such office/activities exist within FLETC)	-	-	
	Public Affairs Office (if such office/activities exist within FLETC-if Washington Operations does this function, please provide information for Washington Operations)	404,000	4	
	FLETC Chief Human Capital Officer human resource office (if such office/activities exist within FLETC)	4,252,400	32	
	FLETC acquisition/procurement office or activities (if such office/activities exist within FLETC)	4,592,800	41	
DNDO	DNDO Product Acquisition and Deployment	1,777,422	9	
	Policy Office (if such office/activities exist within DNDO)	-	-	
	Legislative Affairs (if such office/activities exist within DNDO)	47,497	0.5	DNDO Legislative Affairs Office is within the Director's Office. 1/2 FTE assigned to this office
	Public or external affairs/communications (if such office/activities exist within DNDO)	47,497	0.5	DNDO Public or External Affairs/Communications Office is within the Director's Office. 1/2 FTE assigned to this office
	DNDO Counsel (if such office/activities exist within DNDO)	140,296	1	DNDO Counsel is within the Director's Office.
	Information office/Chief Information Officer (if such office/activities exist within DNDO)	617,411	4	CIO Office is within the Chief Of Staff Office
	Financial management/Chief Financial Officer (if such office/activities exist within DNDO)	903,495	7	Financial Management/CFO Office is within the Director's Office.
USCIS	USCIS Policy and Strategy Office	12,610,000	63	
	USCIS Office of Legislative Affairs	3,496,000	20	
	USCIS Office of Public Engagement	2,141,000	15	
	USCIS Office of Chief Counsel	30,284,000	175	
	USCIS Privacy Office	802,000	8	
	USCIS Management Directorate	606,871,000	1,202	Amount excludes overhead budget.
	USCIS Finance Office/Office of Chief Financial Officer (if this exists within USCIS)	[15,147,000]	[105]	CFO is included in the Management Directorate total is shown here as a non-add entry.
	USCIS Civil Liberties Office (if this exists within USCIS)			
	USCIS Chief information Office/Officer (if this exists within USCIS)	[323,790,000]	[392]	CIO is included in the Management Directorate total is shown here as a non-add entry.
	USCIS Transformation	366,170,000	99	
	USCIS Performance and Quality	6,155,000	35	
	USCIS Enterprise Services Directorate	426,237,000	905	

Department of Homeland Security
FY 2011 Funding Levels and FTEs

Comp	Programs/Offices	FY 2011 Funding (Whole $s)	FY 2011 FTEs	Comment
USSS	Government and Public Affairs Office	12,516,597	79	
	Human Resources and Training	73,832,868	389	
	Office of Policy	3,190,606	13	
	Counselor Principal Legal Advisor	4,494,661	27	
	Administration	40,357,922	192	
	Finance/ Office of the Chief Financial Officer	[5,412,124]	[55]	Included in Administration Total
	Acquisition/procurement/Chief Procurement Officer	[3,180,760]	[29]	Included in Administration Total
USCG	Enterprise Strategic, Mgmt and Doctrine	5,343,288	40	
	Legislative Affairs	-	-	Included in the government and Public Affairs totals
	Government and Public Affairs/Legislative Affairs	7,780,243	67	
	Assistant Commandant for Resources	26,038,710	195	
	Judge Advocate General and Chief Counsel	17,008,696	131	
	Assistant Commandant for Acquisition	57,594,512	420	
	Assistant Commandant for Human Resources	64,078,616	454	
	Civil rights/civil liberties office/activities/Director Civil Rights	3,188,513	23	
	Information technology office (if such exists in United States Coast Guard)	17,344,521	125	

RON JOHNSON
WISCONSIN

COMMITTEES:
APPROPRIATIONS
BUDGET
HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS
AGING

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510

February 10, 2012

Mr. James McCament
Chief, Office of Legislative Affairs
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
20 Massachusetts Avenue, 4th Floor
Washington, DC 20529-2099

Re: I-120B (Motion to Appeal), (b)(6)

Dear Mr. McCament,

I am writing on behalf of my constituent, (b)(6) who contacted my office regarding his I-290B application. It is my understanding that the application was submitted to the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services-Nebraska Service Center on August 8th, 2011, and that on September 16th, 2011, the Nebraska Service Center forwarded the case to the Administrative Appeals Office, where is it currently pending a final decision.

(b)(6) states that an Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker (Form I-140, EB1 category) was filed on his behalf in December 2007. In addition, an Application to Register Permanent Residence or Adjust Status (Form I-485) was submitted in March 2008. Because he was allegedly not the beneficiary of a valid unexpired petition, both applications were denied by the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services in May and July 2009 respectively.

According to (b)(6) s supervisors at (b)(6) his current employer headquartered in Wisconsin, (b)(6) is an extremely important asset to the company, and the prolonged processing of his immigration case is inhibiting the company's economic growth. I respectfully request that you grant full and fair consideration, within the confines of the law, to this application.

Please forward any correspondence concerning this matter to Manuel Vasquez, of my staff, by fax at (b)(6) (b)(6) or via email at (b)(6) Thank you for your time and attention to this request.

Sincerely,

Ron Johnson

Ron Johnson
United States Senator

SRJ/mv

WASHINGTON OFFICE:
3 SENATE RUSSELL COURTYARD
WASHINGTON, DC 20510
(202) 224-5323

OSHKOSH OFFICE:
219 EAST WASHINGTON AVENUE, SUITE 100
OSHKOSH, WI 54901
(920) 230-7250

MILWAUKEE OFFICE:
517 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE
ROOM 400
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202
(414) 276-7282

http://ronjohnson.senate.gov

ADMINISTRATIVE/TELEPHONIC CLOSEOUT
NO RESPONSE NECESSARY

Date: 17, February 2012 **Subject File Number: CO 703.**

Name/Office/Phone
- OCR - (b)(6)

Workflow 936726 **Member's Name:** S. Ron Johnson

Constituent's Name: (b)(6)

Description of Inquiry: constituent seeking to obtain status of appeal filed with the AAO.

Contact: (b)(6)

Summary/Comments: Informed staffer that this case is with AAO and they have until Aug. 2013 to render a decision on the case; once a decision has been rendered the AAO will notify the petitioner and all record material will be returned to the originating USCIS office. No written response necessary.

HQOLA: (b)(6)

RON JOHNSON
WISCONSIN

COMMITTEES:
APPROPRIATIONS
BUDGET
HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS
AGING

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510

March 8, 2012

Mr. James McCament
Chief - Office of Legislative Affairs
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
20 Massachusetts Avenue, 4th Floor
Washington, DC 20529-2099

Re: I-601 Waiver, MEP (b)(6)
Petitioner: (b)(6)
Beneficiary:

Dear Mr. McCament,

I am writing on behalf of my constituent, (b)(6) who contacted me regarding the I-601 Waiver application she filed on behalf of her husband, (b)(6) It is my understanding that (b)(6) visa interview was held at the U.S. Consulate General in Ciudad Juarez on December 28, 2011.

According to my constituent, her husband's absence is causing extreme emotional and financial hardship. I am told she will provide your office with additional documentation showing the expenses the family must cover and her limited income. Your thoughtful consideration of this information, as you review the application, is greatly appreciated.

Please address your response to (b)(6) of my staff, via email or by fax at (b)(6) Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Ron Johnson

Ron Johnson
United States Senator

RHJ/mv
cc: (b)(6)

WASHINGTON OFFICE:
2 SENATE RUSSELL COURTYARD
WASHINGTON, DC 20510
(202) 224-5323

OSHKOSH OFFICE:
219 EAST WASHINGTON AVENUE, SUITE 100
OSHKOSH, WI 54901
(920) 230-7350

MILWAUKEE OFFICE:
517 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE
ROOM 408
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202
(414) 276-7282

http://ronjohnson.senate.gov

ADMINISTRATIVE/TELEPHONIC CLOSEOUT
NO RESPONSE NECESSARY

Date: March 21, 2012 **Subject File Number: CO .**

Name/Office/Phone
(b)(6) - OCR - (b)(6)

Workflow ID:
939622

Member's Name:
Senator Ron Johnson

Constituent's Name:
(b)(6)

Description of Inquiry:
Inquiry is regarding the Form I-601 waiver filed by (b)(6)

Contact: (b)(6)

Summary/Comments:

Inquiry is to request that the Form I-601 waiver filed by (b)(6)

The waiver was referred for additional hardship evidence. Referred cases have a processing time of approximately 12 months. The staffer was informed that all expedite requests should be sent by the applicant to the FOD for review and adjudication.

The case will now be admin closed.

CLOSED BY EMAIL

BILL JOHNSON
6TH DISTRICT, OHIO

WASHINGTON OFFICE
317 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

(202) 225-5705

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

COMMITTEE ON VETERANS AFFAIRS
SUBCOMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATION, CHAIRMAN
SUBCOMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY

COMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES
SUBCOMMITTEE ON MINERAL RESOURCES
SUBCOMMITTEE ON NATIONAL PARKS, FORESTS AND PUBLIC LANDS

COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN AFFAIRS
SUBCOMMITTEE ON ASIA AND THE PACIFIC
SUBCOMMITTEE ON TERRORISM, NONPROLIFERATION AND TRADE

June 5, 2012

Mr. James McCament
Chief, Legislative Affairs
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
20 Massachusetts Avenue, 4th Floor
Washington, DC 20529-2099

Dear Mr. McCament:

I am writing in response to correspondence I received from (b)(6) regarding a problem she is having with your agency. Please find all relevant documents enclosed for your review and convenience. I trust you will find the information provided to be self-explanatory.

On behalf of (b)(6) I am asking that your office give the request a full and fair consideration. Please provide my **Ironton office** with a timely response so I may further serve my constituent. You may send a response via U.S. Mail or fax (b)(6) (b)(6)

Thank you in advance for your time and attention. Should you require further information or have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact my staff, (b)(6) at (b)(6) I appreciate your help.

Sincerely,

Bill Johnson

Bill Johnson
Member of Congress

BJ/as
Enclosure

Received
JUN 12 2012
Office of Legislative Affairs

MARIETTA OFFICE
246 Front Street
Marietta, OH 45750
(740) 376-0968

SALEM OFFICE
192 East State Street
Salem, OH 44460
(330) 337-6951

IRONTON OFFICE
202 Park Avenue
Suite C
Ironton, OH 45639
(740) 534-9431

THIS STATIONERY PRINTED ON PAPER MADE OF RECYCLED FIBERS

JOSEPH I. LIEBERMAN, CONNECTICUT, CHAIRMAN

CARL LEVIN, MICHIGAN
DANIEL K. AKAKA, HAWAII
THOMAS R. CARPER, DELAWARE
MARK L. PRYOR, ARKANSAS
MARY L. LANDRIEU, LOUISIANA
CLAIRE McCASKILL, MISSOURI
JON TESTER, MONTANA
MARK BEGICH, ALASKA

SUSAN M. COLLINS, MAINE
TOM COBURN, OKLAHOMA
SCOTT P. BROWN, MASSACHUSETTS
JOHN McCAIN, ARIZONA
RON JOHNSON, WISCONSIN
ROB PORTMAN, OHIO
RAND PAUL, KENTUCKY
JERRY MORAN, KANSAS

MICHAEL L. ALEXANDER, STAFF DIRECTOR
NICHOLAS A. ROSSI, MINORITY STAFF DIRECTOR

United States Senate

RECEIVED BY DHS EXEC SE

2012 OCT 10 PM 5:52

COMMITTEE ON
HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6250

October 10, 2012

The Honorable Janet Napolitano
Secretary
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Washington, DC 20528

Dear Secretary Napolitano:

On September 26, 2012 Acting Inspector General Edwards provided you with a report of investigation of the solicitation of prostitutes in Cartagena, Colombia by members of the United States Secret Service (USSS). While the contents of the review conducted by the Department of Homeland Security's Office of the Inspector General (DHS OIG) investigation have not been made public, my office has had an opportunity to review it. The report has raised new concerns regarding (1) whether or not USSS personnel have solicited prostitutes in the past, (2) whether or not any of the prostitutes were known to the intelligence community, (3) the accuracy of statements made by the White House denying White House staff involvement in misconduct, (4) potential national security risks associated with USSS personnel failing to report contacts with foreign nationals, (5) the thoroughness of the initial investigation conducted by the Secret Service, and (6) the accuracy of statements made by senior USSS officials to Congress.

In order to gain a factual accounting of what occurred in Cartagena and to fully understand what the Secret Service knew and when, I requested that the USSS release their report and certain associated materials related to the internal investigation to the Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Subcommittee on Oversight of Government Management. This information is necessary to determine if the Administration has withheld, misled or reported false information to Congress.

Unfortunately, we have been informed that the Department of Homeland Security has directed the USSS to withhold this information. This runs contrary to the assurances made by Director Sullivan before our Committee on May 23, 2012:

> "From the beginning of this incident, we have strived to keep members of Congress and our committees of jurisdiction up to date as information became available. While my written testimony provides an overview of our findings to date, I am committed to keeping you informed as our review continues."

In light of apparent discrepancies between statements made to Congress by the Secret Service and the information in the DHS OIG report of investigation, it is important that the USSS internal investigation be released to Congress. Withholding this report may create the impression that the Administration is attempting to conceal information.

To restore full credibility to the Secret Service and protect our national security, it is important that the Administration operate quickly and transparently to uncover the full scope of the problem. Yet it has been two weeks since you received the DHS OIG report of investigation and we have heard of no action taken by you or your department. Even more disappointing, it now appears you are directing that information be withheld from Congress.

So that we can develop an idea of how the Administration plans to respond to the findings of the DHS OIG investigation, please provide the following information no later than 5PM on October 12, 2012.

1. Please explain the decision to withhold the findings of the Secret Service's investigation from the Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs' Subcommittee on Oversight of Government Management. Who made this decision? Why has an update on findings from the Secret Service investigation not been provided, particularly in light of Director Sullivan's statement, "...I am committed to keeping you informed as our review continues."

2. When did you first review the DHS OIG report of investigation, "USSS Cartagena Review" (I12-USSS-OSI-0080)?

3. Did you discuss the findings with any White House or Secret Service personnel? Please provide an accounting of who you have discussed the findings of the DHS OIG report of investigation with, as well the dates of those discussions. Please include the name and title of anybody you have had a discussion with, as well as a description of that discussion including any action items determined in that discussion.

4. Please outline any actions you plan to take as a result of the report of investigation provided to you by the DHS OIG and the date by which you plan to take them.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter. If you have any questions or wish to discuss this request, please have your staff contact (b)(6) We look forward to receiving your responses.

Sincerely,

Ron Johnson

Ron Johnson
Ranking Member
Subcommittee on Oversight of Government Management

RON JOHNSON
WISCONSIN

COMMITTEES:
APPROPRIATIONS
BUDGET
HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS
AGING

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510

RECEIVED BY DHS EXEC S
2012 DEC 20 AM 11:45

December 19, 2012

The Honorable Janet Napolitano
Secretary
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Washington, DC 20528

Dear Secretary Napolitano:

In April of this year members of the United States Secret Service (USSS) solicited prostitutes while on official duty during a Presidential visit to the Summit of Americas in Cartagena, Colombia. It has now been eight months since this troubling event occurred and we are no closer to getting answers on important questions. What we do know is that this activity has occurred before, an alarming revelation that has received little attention.

Since April, at least one USSS agent implicated in Cartagena self-reported to having also solicited prostitutes in El Salvador and Panama. Several admitted knowledge of similar misconduct in countries where prostitution is legal. Allegations have emerged of similar misconduct throughout Latin American countries and in China and Romania. Alarmingly, 423 new reports of foreign contact were suddenly filed by USSS personnel following the misconduct in Cartagena. Only 105 had been reported between December 16, 2008 and June 15, 2012. The nature of these contacts is still unknown.

It is further unknown if any of the prostitutes involved in the Cartagena scandal are associated with a terrorist or criminal organization. Director Sullivan testified before the Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee on May 23 that "...all of the information that we've received back is – has concluded that [there] was no connection either from a counter-intelligence perspective or a criminal perspective." He also responded to written inquiry from House Homeland Security Committee Chairman Peter King that "...The USSS requested that an intelligence community agency conduct name checks on the female foreign nationals involved. These name checks produced negative results for any known connection to foreign terrorist organizations, drug or human trafficking organization."

Yet the September 26, 2012, Department of Homeland Security Office of Inspector General (DHS OIG) Cartagena Review provided information on two female foreign nationals (FFN) identified by the intelligence community (IC) as potential risks. Upon further review it was determined that one of these women is not of concern and poses no risk. As of October 16, however, one of the FFNs was still of concern to the intelligence community. It is unknown if the initial positive result from the IC name check on the FFN has been confirmed, and if it has what actions have been taken to mitigate national security risks.

WASHINGTON OFFICE:
386 RUSSELL SENATE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20510
(202) 224-5323

OSHKOSH OFFICE:
219 EAST WASHINGTON AVENUE, SUITE 100
OSHKOSH, WI 54901
(920) 230-7250

MILWAUKEE OFFICE:
517 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE
ROOM 408
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202
(414) 276-7282

http://ronjohnson.senate.gov

It is concerning that eight months have passed since the troubling events in Cartagena and we are only now beginning to understand that this type of misconduct may be more widespread than we were all led to believe. The risks posed by problems revealed within the USSS are simply too great to wait another eight months. A serious and robust investigation should have revealed answers to the questions we're asking within weeks, allowing corrective action to be swiftly implemented with Congressional oversight.

So that we do not lose any more valuable time in identifying and responding to potential national security risks that resulted from the disappointing misconduct of USSS personnel in Cartagena, please provide the following information by January 16:

1. All information, in both a classified and unclassified format, related to intelligence community checks on the identities of the female foreign nationals involved in the misconduct in Cartagena. Provide the date this information was provided to the USSS, the date it was briefed to Director Sullivan, and the date it was briefed to you.

2. Information related to the nature of the 423 new reports of contact that were filed following the incident in Cartagena. Please describe the process for ensuring that these contacts did not create in the past and will not create in the future any risk to national security. Describe why USSS personnel failed to report these contacts at the time they occurred.

3. All information related to the USSS internal investigation into the events in Cartagena, including any file on the matter held or maintained by the Office of Professional Responsibility. Include a detailed description of all findings from interviews of Secret Service personnel regarding allegations of prostitution that were conducted both while the personnel in question was on the ground in Cartagena and once they were removed to the United States. Include all transcripts, notes, e-mails, or other documentation related to interviews conducted by special agent Paula Reid or any other Secret Service officials.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter. Please provide your responses and direct questions to (b)(6)

Sincerely,

Ron Johnson
United States Senator

Jason Chaffetz
Member of Congress

Office of Legislative Affairs
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Washington, DC 20528

January 18, 2013

The Honorable Ron Johnson
United States Senate
Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Jason Chaffetz
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Senator Johnson and Representative Chaffetz:

I am writing in response to your December 19 letter to Secretary Napolitano, as well as Senator Johnson's letters of October 9 and 10 to Secretary Napolitano and Director Mark Sullivan, requesting information regarding events in Cartagena, Colombia in April of last year involving the United States Secret Service.

With regard to your request for the report and materials relating to the Secret Service's internal investigation into these events, notwithstanding substantial concerns based on longstanding Executive Branch practice and interests that are raised by your request, the Department is prohibited by the *Privacy Act*, 5 U.S.C. § 552a, from disclosing to you the records you have requested. As the Congressional Research Service has concluded, the limited *Privacy Act* exemption for Congress "does not, however, permit disclosures to . . . individual members of Congress." Congressional Oversight Manual, Frederick M. Kaiser et al., Congressional Research Service, p. 54 (June 10, 2011). *See also Application of Privacy Act Congressional-Disclosure Exception to Ranking Minority Members,* Op. O.L.C. December 5, 2001 ("We conclude that the Privacy Act prohibits the disclosure of the Privacy Act-protected information to the ranking minority member.")

However, I understand that you have already received from the Department's Acting Inspector General a summary of the findings of his lengthy investigation into this matter. In addition to that investigation, the Department's Acting Inspector General is currently concluding an inspection report on the adequacy of the Secret Service's Internal Investigation into this matter. This inspection will address questions you raise in your letters on that subject. Once that report is final, I understand that it will be provided to you and that representatives of the Acting Inspector General will be made available to discuss their findings with you.

Regarding your request for information related to the nature of new reports of contact with foreign nationals, the Secret Service has prepared the enclosed document, entitled "Nature of Foreign Contact Reporting After the Cartagena Incident."

As to your request for information related to intelligence community checks on the identities of the female foreign nationals involved in the misconduct in Cartagena, the Secret Service has prepared the enclosed document, entitled "Information Related to Intelligence Community Checks on the Identities of Female Foreign Nationals Involved in the Cartagena Incident."

Finally, the Department is currently in the process of responding to a *Freedom of Information Act* request in connection with the events in Colombia. When the Department's response is completed, we will be happy to make it available to you as well.

Thank you again for your letter. Should you have additional questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (b)(6)

Respectfully,

Nelson Peacock
Assistant Secretary for Legislative Affairs

Enclosures

Secretary
U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Washington, DC 20528

January 26, 2015

The Honorable Ron Johnson
Chairman
Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee
United States Senate
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Chairman ~~Johnson~~: Ron

Thank you for your January 8, 2015 letter regarding executive action on immigration and your questions about Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals and Deferred Action to Parents of Americans and Lawful Permanent Residents.

On November 20, President Obama announced a series of executive actions to begin to fix our immigration system. The President and I view these actions as a first step toward reform of the broken system, and we continue to count on Congress for the more comprehensive reform that only legislative changes can provide.

Securing our border remains our top priority. However, the two bills that Chairman McCaul and you have introduced significantly undermine my ability to do just that. Instead of the Component-centric approach dictated in these bills, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) is working to implement the next steps to fundamentally alter the way in which DHS marshals resources along the border, strengthening our border security efforts in a DHS-wide Southern Border and Approaches Campaign. Executed through three new DHS Joint Task Forces and coordinated with the DHS Operating Components, we will integrate capabilities from across the Department to enhance enforcement of our immigration laws; interdict individuals seeking to illegally enter our country by land, air, or sea; degrade transnational criminal organizations; and decrease the terrorism threat to the Nation without impeding the flow of lawful trade, travel, and commerce. We must continue to strengthen and sustain our efforts, particularly on our Southern Border and Approaches. Through our DHS-wide Campaign, we can and will do more to fortify our borders.

We have made a lot of progress on border security in the last 15 years. The decline in illegal immigration is evidenced by the fact that apprehensions have declined from over 1.6 million in 2000 to around 400,000 a year—the lowest rate since the 1970s. Last summer, we saw an unprecedented spike in illegal migration into South Texas consisting of large numbers of unaccompanied children and adults with children. DHS responded with more security and law enforcement resources, more processing centers, more detention space, more Border Patrol agents in the Rio Grande Valley, more

targeting and investigation of criminal smuggling organizations, an aggressive domestic and international public messaging campaign, engagement of Central American leaders by the President and the Vice President of the United States, and facilitation of increased interdiction efforts by the Government of Mexico. Apprehensions of unaccompanied children and adults with children have significantly dropped in recent months. To continue demonstrating that our border is not open to illegal migration, we will build on our recent sucessful efforts.

Our enforcement and removal policy reflects modern operational realities in a clear and cohesive way. The Department's policy continues to place top priority on national security threats and convicted felons. It also prioritizes the removal of individuals convicted of other serious crimes or multiple criminal offenses, those apprehended at the border and those who came into the United States illegally after January 1, 2014, regardless of where they are apprehended. Through these measures, DHS more clearly delineates our enforcement priorities and provides clarity for our officers and agents in the field, while building on our strong partnerships with our state and local law enforcement partners. In these ways, DHS is making enforcement prioritization decisions similar to those that virtually every law enforcement agency makes when faced with finite resources.

The reality is that many undocumented immigrants have been in this country for years, raising American families and developing ties to the community. Many of these individuals have committed no crimes and are not enforcement priorities. It is time that we acknowledge this fact and encourage eligible individuals to come out of the shadows, submit to criminal and national security background checks, and be held accountable. I will continue to use my long-standing authority to grant deferred action on a case-by-case basis to certain parents of U.S. citizens and lawful permanent residents, and individuals arriving in the United States before the age of sixteen, as it is a sensible and commonsense policy. Individuals who are granted deferred action will be eligible for work authorization and will more readily become taxpayers who can contribute more fully to our economy. To be clear, we are not conferring lawful status upon any individual through these actions; deferred action does not provide an individual with lawful permanent residence or citizenship. Rather, DHS is making a discretionary determination to defer removal action on an individual as a matter of prosecutorial discretion.

President Obama's Administration is not the first to undertake such actions. In fact, the concept of deferred action is an established, long-standing administrative mechanism dating back decades, and it is one of a number of similar mechanisms previous administrations have used to grant temporary immigration relief for humanitarian and other reasons. For example, Presidents Reagan and Bush authorized executive action to shield undocumented children and spouses who did not qualify for

legalization under the *Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986*. This "Family Fairness Program" used a form of relief known at the time as "indefinite voluntary departure," which is similar to the deferred action authority we use today.

The set of measures we announced on November 20, 2014 draw a sharp demarcation between past and future. Those who have been in the United States for a certain amount of time, meet certain program requirements, and do not fall within the Department's new enforcement priorities will have the opportunity to come forward, have their circumstances individually considered, and become accountable members of our communities. At the same time, we are reinforcing our clear message that our borders are not open to future illegal migration, and that those who come here illegally are going to be priorities for removal.

Further, included among the actions I announced are policies that will grow our economy, create jobs, and promote citizenship. DHS will take a number of administrative actions to better enable U.S. businesses to hire and retain qualified, highly skilled foreign-born workers. DHS will also explore options to promote and increase access to naturalization and consider new ways to address barriers that may impede such access, such as through permitting the use of credit cards as a fee payment option.

Please be assured that these executive actions are designed to complement, not replace, comprehensive immigration reform legislation. I continue to believe that any potential DHS operational or policy changes are no substitute for comprehensive immigration reform passed by Congress. Such reform is the best way to provide lasting solutions for our broken immigration system. As President Obama recently stated, congressional action may supersede any administrative action that is taken in the interim. While the Administration continues to support legislative efforts and is ready to cooperate with Congress, we also remain committed to effective immigration enforcement that prioritizes our national security, border security, and public safety.

Thank you again for your letter and your interest in these important matters. Enclosed are detailed responses to your questions. Should you wish to discuss this matter further, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Jeh Charles Johnson

PS- I'm giving another speech on border security in AZ this week and a broader speech on DHS at the Wilson Center on Thursday Jan 29

Enclosure

RON JOHNSON
WISCONSIN

BUDGET
COMMERCE, SCIENCE AND TRANSPORTATION
FOREIGN RELATIONS
HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS
SMALL BUSINESS AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510

January 8, 2015

Honorable Jeh Johnson
Secretary
Department of Homeland Security
Washington, D.C. 20528

2015 JAN -9 AM

Dear Secretary Johnson,

On November 20, 2014, the President, through your Department, announced several executive actions, including plans to expand the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals Program (DACA) and to extend "Deferred Action to Parents of Americans and Lawful Permanent Residents" (DAPA). These Executive Actions, specifically designed to circumvent Congress' role raise serious legal and constitutional questions.

The President unilateral action will inevitably redirect DHS resources away from pursuing its core mission of securing our borders, enforcing our immigration laws, and keeping our homeland safe, as finite resources are diverted to provide benefits and special privileges to individuals who have broken our laws. In light of these concerns, and to assist us in our constitutional oversight responsibilities, please provide answers to the following questions detailed below.

President Obama, through his Executive Action, stated that he will grant legal presence and immigration benefits, denied by law, for individuals who entered this country illegally provided that they:

- Have continuous residence in the United States since January 1, 2010:
  1) What documentation will be required to prove five year, continuous residency?
     a. What agency will review and verify this documentation?
     b. How many Full Time Employees will be required?
     c. What is the estimated annual budget for verification?
     d. What is the budget authority for this expenditure?
  2) How will you prevent fraud?

- Pass a Criminal Background Check:
  1) How will criminal background checks be conducted?
     a. What agency will conduct the criminal background checks?
     b. How many Full Time Employees will be required?
     c. What is the estimated annual budget to conduct criminal background checks?
     d. What is the budget authority for this expenditure?

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

2) Reports indicate that DHS, overwhelmed with 80,000 DACA applications, halted thorough background checks in the past. How does the agency anticipate handling 5 million requests if it could not properly process 80,000?
3) What will the background check consist of?
4) What crimes will be disqualifying under these new executive actions?

- Pay Taxes:
  1) How will we ensure that non-U.S. citizens eligible for DACA and DAPA pay their fair share of taxes?
     a. What agency will assess and collect the taxes?
     b. How many Full Time Employees will be required?
     c. What is the estimated annual budget to assess and collect these taxes?
     d. What is the budget authority for this expenditure?
  2) What taxes must be paid? Future taxes *and* back taxes, or only future taxes?
  3) What form of taxpayer identification will be issued: social security numbers or individual taxpayer identification numbers?
  4) Will non-U.S. citizens eligible for DACA and DAPA qualify for the Earned Income Tax Credit and Additional Child Tax Credit? If so, what is the estimated annual cost of those benefits?
  5) What other benefits will be paid to these non-U.S. citizens?

Please provide this information to our office by the close of business on January 22, 2015. Most of these questions should be easy to answer within that time frame. For specific questions that require more time to answer, please contact my office to request an extension. All documents and data should be produced in native format. Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Ron Johnson
Chairman
Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee

cc: Senator Carper