

Anonymous PD0172, Anonymous PD0172

Page 1 of 1

PD0172

August 22, 2008

1| 25.4
2| 21.4

Hi. I would like for this call to remain anonymous but I am calling to say that I do not wish for the facility to be located in Manhattan, Kansas. I am a concerned mother of children and I am concerned with security of not only our youth but agriculture in the area.

Thank you.

Comment No: 1 Issue Code: 25.4

DHS notes the commenter's opposition to the Manhattan Campus Site Alternative.

Comment No: 2 Issue Code: 21.4

DHS notes the commenter's concerns regarding the siting of NBAF at the Manhattan Campus Site. Section 3.14 of the NBAF EIS investigates the chances of a variety of accidents that could occur with the proposed NBAF and consequences of potential accidents. Accidents could occur in the form of procedural violations (operational accidents), natural phenomena accidents, external events, and intentional acts. Although some accidents are more likely to occur than others (e.g., safety protocol not being followed), the chances of an accidental release are low. The specific objective of the hazard identification, accident analysis, and risk assessment is to identify the likelihood and consequences from accidents or intentional subversive acts. In addition to identifying the potential for or likelihood of the scenarios leading to adverse consequences, this analysis provides support for the identification of specific engineering and administrative controls to either prevent a pathogen release or mitigate the consequences of such a release. The risk of an accidental release of a pathogen is extremely low.

Anonymous PD0178, Anonymous PD0178

Page 1 of 1

PD0178

August 22, 2008

1| 24.1 | Yes, I was calling to leave a comment concerning the bio lab that you are considering developing on the mainland of the United States. I think it should be left on Plum Island because it is too dangerous to have it where there are a lot of people, a lot of livestock because people are not infallible, they can make mistakes. No building is indestructible. So please leave the bio lab off the mainland of the United States.

2| 5.0 | A very concerned United States American Citizen.

Thank you very much.

Comment No: 1 Issue Code: 24.1

DHS notes the commentor's opposition to the five mainland site alternatives in favor of the Plum Island Site Alternative based on on safety concerns. DHS believes that experience shows that facilities utilizing modern biocontainment technologies and safety protocols, such as would be employed in the design, construction, and operation of the NBAF, would enable the NBAF to be safely operated in populated areas such as Athens. An example is the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention located in downtown Atlanta, Georgia.

Comment No: 2 Issue Code: 5.0

DHS notes the commentor's opposition to the five mainland site alternatives.

Anonymous PD0181, Anonymous PD0181

Page 1 of 1

PD0181

August 22, 2008

1| 25.4
2| 5.0

Yes, I am a resident of Riley County, Kansas, and would like to voice my opposition to the NBAF facility coming to the campus of Kansas State University. I don't believe the facilities should remain on or be placed on the mainland anywhere in the United States. It needs to remain off-shore. The risk to a retirement community and all the students at Kansas State and the entire community of Manhattan is too high.

Please do not place the facility in Manhattan, Kansas.

Thank you.

Comment No: 1 Issue Code: 25.4

DHS notes the commentor's opposition to the Manhattan Campus Site Alternative.

Comment No: 2 Issue Code: 5.0

DHS notes the commentor's opposition to the five mainland site alternatives. Section 3.14 and Appendix E of the NBAF EIS evaluate the potential effects on health and safety of operating the NBAF at the six site alternatives. The evaluation concludes that a pathogen release at the Plum Island Site would be slightly less likely to result in adverse effects than the mainland sites.

Anonymous PD0184, Anonymous PD0184

Page 1 of 1

PD0184

August 22, 2008

1| 25.4

2| 5.0

Yes. This is a private citizen/taxpayer living in Topeka, Kansas. And I just strongly feel that locating this bio lab at Manhattan Kansas is a very, very bad idea for our cattle industry throughout, not only our state, but just the United States period. I think keeping this off-shore is by far the best idea.

Thank you for allowing me to comment in the negative. Thank you.

Comment No: 1 Issue Code: 25.4

DHS notes the commentor's opposition to the Manhattan Campus Site Alternative in favor of the Plum Island Site Alternative based on risks to livestock. The NBAF would be designed and constructed using modern biocontainment technologies, and operated by trained staff and security personnel to ensure the maximum level of worker and public safety and least risk to the environment in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations.

Comment No: 2 Issue Code: 5.0

Please see response to Comment No. 1.

Anonymous PD0188, Anonymous PD0188

Page 1 of 1

PD0188

August 22, 2008

1 | 24.4

I support NBAF in Kansas.

Thanks.

Comment No: 1

Issue Code: 24.4

DHS notes the commentor's support for the Manhattan Campus Site Alternative.

Anonymous PD0189, Anonymous PD0189

Page 1 of 1

PD0189

August 22, 2008

1) 25.4 I would like to say that I'm opposed to this NBAF being located in Manhattan, Kansas. I live in Manhattan and I just...I don't think we need it here. I think the danger is too great. I'm not willing to risk my health or safety or that of my children and grandchildren who live here. I would think a lot better choice could possibly be Plum Island, in New York. I'm definitely opposed to it being located in Manhattan, Kansas.

2) 19.4
3) 24.1

Thank you very much.

Comment No: 1 Issue Code: 25.4

DHS notes the commentor's opposition to the Manhattan Campus Site Alternative.

Comment No: 2 Issue Code: 19.4

DHS notes the commentor's concern regarding the siting, construction and operation of the NBAF at the proposed Manhattan, Kansas site. Section 3.14 investigates the chances of a variety of accidents that could occur with the proposed NBAF and consequences of potential accidents. Accidents could occur in the form of procedural violations (operational accidents), natural phenomena accidents, external events, and intentional acts. Although some accidents are more likely to occur than others (e.g., safety protocol not being followed), the chances of an accidental release are low. The specific objective of the hazard identification, accident analysis, and risk assessment is to identify the likelihood and consequences from accidents or intentional subversive acts. In addition to identifying the potential for or likelihood of the scenarios leading to adverse consequences, this analysis provides support for the identification of specific engineering and administrative controls to either prevent a pathogen release or mitigate the consequences of such a release. The risk of an accidental release of a pathogen is extremely low.

Comment No: 3 Issue Code: 24.1

DHS notes the commentor's opposition to the Manhattan Campus Site Alternative in favor of the Plum Island Site Alternative based on safety concerns. DHS believes that experience shows that facilities utilizing modern biocontainment technologies and safety protocols, such as would be employed in the design, construction, and operation of the NBAF, would enable the NBAF to be safely operated in populated areas. An example is the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention located in downtown Atlanta, Georgia.

Anonymous PD0190, Anonymous PD0190

Page 1 of 1

PD0190

August 22, 2008

1|24.4 | I support NBAF in Kansas.

Comment No: 1 Issue Code: 24.4
DHS notes the commenter's support for the Manhattan Campus Site Alternative.

Anonymous PD0193, Anonymous PD0193

Page 1 of 1

PD0193

August 22, 2008

1| 24.4

I support NBAF in Kansas. Our research in Kansas will enable the success of this NBAF initiative.

Thank you.

Comment No: 1

Issue Code: 24.4

DHS notes the commentor's support for the Manhattan Campus Site Alternative.

Anonymous PD0195, Anonymous PD0195

Page 1 of 1

PD0195

August 22, 2008

1| 25.4

I do not want your NBAF known in my city. I'm calling from Manhattan. Please, don't put us all in danger here. I am strictly against for it to come here.

Thank you.

Comment No: 1 Issue Code: 25.4

DHS notes the commentor's opposition to the Manhattan Campus Site Alternative. DHS believes that experience shows that facilities utilizing modern biocontainment technologies and safety protocols, such as would be employed in the design, construction, and operation of the NBAF, would enable the NBAF to be safely operated with a minimal degree of risk, regardless of the site chosen.

Anonymous PD0196, Anonymous PD0196

Page 1 of 1

PD0196

August 22, 2008

1|24.4

Yes. I'm a resident of Prairie Village in Kansas and I work in Topeka, Kansas and I support the NBAF in Kansas.

Thank you.

Comment No: 1

Issue Code: 24.4

DHS notes the commentor's support for the Manhattan Campus Site Alternative.

Anonymous PD0198, Anonymous PD0198

Page 1 of 1

PD0198

August 22, 2008

1| 24.4 | I support NBAF in Kansas.

Comment No: 1 Issue Code: 24.4
DHS notes the commentor's support for the Manhattan Campus Site Alternative.

Anonymous PD0199, Anonymous PD0199

Page 1 of 1

PD0199

August 22, 2008

1|25.0 | No.

Comment No: 1 Issue Code: 25.0
DHS notes the commentor's opposition to the NBAF.

Anonymous PD0201, Anonymous PD0201

Page 1 of 1

PD0201

August 22, 2008

1| 24.4

I support NBAF in Kansas.

Comment No: 1

Issue Code: 24.4

DHS notes the commentor's support for the Manhattan Campus Site Alternative.

Anonymous PD0208, Anonymous PD0208

Page 1 of 1

PD0208

August 22, 2008

1|25.4

We vote no. We're against the BFFI. And we hope it doesn't develop in Manhattan, Kansas. We live on a farm and have livestock. So we request no.

Thank you very much. Goodbye.

Comment No: 1 Issue Code: 25.4

DHS notes the commentor's opposition to the Manhattan Campus Site Alternative in favor of the Plum Island Site Alternative based on risks to livestock. The NBAF would be designed and constructed using modern biocontainment technologies, and operated by trained staff and security personnel to ensure the maximum level of worker and public safety and least risk to the environment in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations.

Anonymous PD0209, Anonymous PD0209

Page 1 of 1

PD0209

August 22, 2008

1) 25.4 | I live in ██████████ Kansas and I am firmly against having this facility here or for that
2) 5.0 | matter, anywhere in the heartland of this country. I think there's too much unknown
about this. I think it's very dangerous.

Thank you.

Comment No: 1 Issue Code: 25.4

DHS notes the commentor's opposition to the Manhattan Campus Site Alternative.

Comment No: 2 Issue Code: 5.0

DHS notes the commentor's opposition to siting NBAF in the U.S. heartland. The NBAF would be designed and constructed using modern biocontainment technologies, and operated by trained staff and security personnel to ensure the maximum level of worker and public safety and least risk to the environment in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations.

Anonymous PD0210, Anonymous PD0210

Page 1 of 1

PD0210

August 22, 2008

1|24.4

I support NBAF in Kansas.

Comment No: 1

Issue Code: 24.4

DHS notes the commenter's support for the Manhattan Campus Site Alternative.

Anonymous PD0212, Anonymous PD0212

Page 1 of 1

PD0212

August 22, 2008

1| 5.0 | Thank you for the opportunity to reply to this question. I would not be in favor of this
2| 24.1 | laboratory being allowed on the mainland in the United States. I feel like we would be
safer to continue it where it is currently being placed rather than any of the prop...other
proposed places.

I know they do great work but I would be a little uneasy, quite uneasy in fact, about
having that where it could cause so much trouble for people and for animals as well.

Thanks again for the opportunity to speak.

Comment No: 1 Issue Code: 5.0

DHS notes the commentor's opposition to the five mainland site alternatives, in particular, the
Manhattan Campus Site Alternative in favor of the Plum Island Site Alternative based on safety
concerns. DHS believes that experience shows that facilities utilizing modern biocontainment
technologies and safety protocols, such as would be employed in the design, construction, and
operation of the NBAF, would enable the NBAF to be safely operated in populated areas such as
Manhattan. An example is the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention located in downtown
Atlanta, Georgia.

Comment No: 2 Issue Code: 24.1

DHS notes the commentor's support for the Plum Island Site Alternative based on safety concerns.

Anonymous PD0216, Anonymous PD0216

Page 1 of 1

PD0216

August 22, 2008

Hello. I'm calling to comment on the idea of moving any kind of deathly, germ research from Plum Island to the mainland. I'm specifically calling from the Manhattan area.

1| 21.4
2| 15.4 | And I want you to know that we are very, very concerned in Manhattan about the potential of these germs getting out and destroying the economy and the people of the area.

1 cont.| 21.4
2 cont.| 15.4 | This is not a minor concern, it's a major one. There has been a lot of railroading in Kansas by State officials of all kinds, at all levels, trying to curb people from speaking out against the moving of NBAF to the mainland, including to the Manhattan area. In actuality there is very, very little support for having that deadly research lab here in town and in the Kansas area. Many, many people are afraid of it. Many people are afraid of any kind of environmental devastation, any further environmental devastation of Kansas and of the mainland and of the businesses that develop here.

3| 4.0 | People have been forced to sign letters and documents saying that they approve of Manhattan getting the facility. They've basically had their arms twisted by public officials and university officials, when in fact they do not support it. They felt like they needed to do it to keep their job or not to be oppressed at their job because they actually oppose the idea. This is a very, very deadly situation. And the people of Kansas are very much like other free thinkers in the United States. They know what would benefit them and what would not. They know what would benefit the country and what would not.
2 cont.| 15.4 | And by far, the majority of the people here in this area do not feel that it would be an economic boom, do not feel it would be helpful...feel that it would hurt the farm economy and the environment.

4| 24.1 | So, I urge you not to move the germ production facility from Plum Island to the mainland. And I hope that you will listen to the people who have their concerns all around the country not just an individual site where you're proposing to move this deadly facility. But many, many people really believe that if you're gonna do this kind of research, which is questionable anyway, it should be done on an island that's off the
5| 5.0 | mainland that's being protected anyway the environment there and the oceans are not going to be affected. This is very scary stuff that you are proposing and imposing on us. And it's very scary when the governmental officials of the State of Kansas get so excited about the idea of making a few bucks that they're willing to sacrifice the health of the people in the area.

5 cont.| 5.0 | So our motto here in town is not Deadly Germs R Us. Our motto in Kansas is Not Deadly Germs R Us. We do not want this facility to be on the mainland.

Thank you.

Comment No: 1 Issue Code: 21.4

DHS notes the commentor's concern regarding the potential for a pathogen release from NBAF at the Manhattan Campus Site and the resulting economic impacts. Section 3.14 of the NBAF EIS investigates the chances of a variety of accidents that could occur with the proposed NBAF and consequences of potential accidents. Accidents could occur in the form of procedural violations (operational accidents), natural phenomena accidents, external events, and intentional acts. Although some accidents are more likely to occur than others (e.g., safety protocol not being followed), the chances of an accidental release are low. The specific objective of the hazard identification, accident analysis, and risk assessment is to identify the likelihood and consequences from accidents or intentional subversive acts. In addition to identifying the potential for or likelihood of the scenarios leading to adverse consequences, this analysis provides support for the identification of specific engineering and administrative controls to either prevent a pathogen release or mitigate the consequences of such a release. The risk of an accidental release of a pathogen is extremely low. The risk of an accidental release of a pathogen is extremely low, but the economic effect would be significant for all sites. As described in Section 3.10.9, the economic impact of an outbreak of foot and mouth disease virus has been previously studied and could result in a loss in the range of \$2.8 billion in the Plum Island region to \$4.2 billion in the Manhattan, Kansas area over an extended period of time. The economic loss is mainly due to potential foreign bans on U.S. livestock products. Although the effects of an outbreak of Rift Valley fever virus on the national economy has not been as extensively studied, the potential economic loss due to foreign bans on livestock could be similar to that of foot and mouth disease outbreak, while the additional cost due to its effect on the human population could be as high as \$50 billion. There is little economic data regarding the accidental or deliberate Nipah virus release. However, cost would be expected to be much lower than a release of foot and mouth disease virus or Rift Valley fever virus as the Nipah virus vector is not present in the western hemisphere.

Comment No: 2 Issue Code: 15.4

DHS notes the commentor's opposition to the Manhattan Campus Site Alternative. Section 3.10.4 discusses the economic effects of the Manhattan Campus Site Alternative to the surrounding community.

Comment No: 3 Issue Code: 4.0

DHS notes the commentor's concern regarding potential coercion of local residents. Since the inception of the NBAF project, DHS has supported a vigorous public outreach program. DHS has conducted public meetings in excess of the minimum required by NEPA regulations; to date, 23 public meetings have been held in the vicinity of NBAF site alternatives and in Washington, D.C. to solicit public input on the EIS, allow the public to voice their concerns, and to get their questions answered DHS has also provided fact sheets, reports, exhibits, and a Web page (<http://www.dhs.gov/nbaf>). Additionally, various means of communication (mail, toll free telephone and fax lines, and NBAF Web site) have been provided to facilitate public comment. It is DHS policy

to encourage public input on matters of national and international importance.

Comment No: 4 Issue Code: 24.1

DHS notes the commentor's opposition to the five mainland site alternatives, in particular, the Manhattan Campus Site Alternative in favor of the Plum Island Site Alternative. The NBAF would be designed and constructed using modern biocontainment technologies, and operated by trained staff and security personnel to ensure the maximum level of worker and public safety and least risk to the environment in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations.

Comment No: 5 Issue Code: 5.0

DHS notes the commentor's opposition to the five mainland site alternatives, in particular, the Manhattan Campus Site Alternative in favor of the Plum Island Site Alternative based on safety concerns. DHS believes that experience shows that facilities utilizing modern biocontainment technologies and safety protocols, such as would be employed in the design, construction, and operation of the NBAF, would enable the NBAF to be safely operated in populated areas such as Manhattan. An example is the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention located in downtown Atlanta, Georgia.

Anonymous PD0218, Anonymous PD0218

Page 1 of 1

PD0218

August 22, 2008

1| 5.0

I would prefer not having the National Bio Agro Defense Facility on our U.S. mainland.

I thank you very much.

Comment No: 1

Issue Code: 5.0

DHS notes the commentor's opposition to the five mainland site alternatives.

Anonymous PD0220, Anonymous PD0220

Page 1 of 1

PD0220

August 22, 2008

Hi. I was calling to let y'all know that I live in Madison County and would be more than happy and feel honored and privileged to be a part of protecting our Nation's security against bioterrorism. And am all for having the facility, the National Bio and Agro Defense Facility, in Mississippi.

1| 24.5

I think it's extremely important that we continue the research and development of this particular area. I do believe this facility is safe and secure. It does not frighten me or any one I've spoken with whatsoever and, in fact, it would bring so many more new jobs to the area that are needed.

And especially in the Madison County area we have wonderful education. This gives a great opportunity for those educational facilities to utilize whatever may be there or influence them in maybe choosing a career, a future. I just think it's a wonderful opportunity. And it will help bring brighter young people and employees to the area which can do nothing but improve what we already have which are already great resources.

So I certainly encourage this organization to please seriously consider Mississippi as the location.

I appreciate your time.

Thank you.

Comment No: 1

Issue Code: 24.5

DHS notes the commentor's support for the Flora Industrial Park Site Alternative.

Anonymous PD0221, Anonymous PD0221

Page 1 of 1

PD0221

August 22, 2008

I'm a resident north of Manhattan about 30 miles. And I'm in a farming community that has a lot of cattle. And we would like to encourage you to put your research thing on foot and mouth disease that you're planning on putting in Manhattan, Kansas - I really feel it should be put on an island somewhere. I don't know if Plum Island is right or not but, but please don't put it here. We would...really wish you would put it somewhere else.

1| 5.0
2| 25.4

Thanks a lot.

Good bye.

Comment No: 1 Issue Code: 5.0

DHS notes the commentor's opposition to the five mainland site alternatives. DHS believes that experience shows that facilities utilizing modern biocontainment technologies and safety protocols, such as would be employed in the design, construction, and operation of the NBAF, would enable the NBAF to be safely operated in populated areas such as Manhattan. An example is the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention located in downtown Atlanta, Georgia.

Comment No: 2 Issue Code: 25.4

DHS notes the commentor's opposition to the Manhattan Campus Site Alternative.

Anonymous PD0225, Anonymous PD0225

Page 1 of 1

PD0225

August 22, 2008

1) 25.5 Hello. I live in Flora, Madison County, Jackson area of Mississippi. I am providing you a comment. I would like to say that I am not in favor of the bio chemical plant to be housed there in Flora, Mississippi. I feel like that it is not of the interest of the citizens of Flora, Mississippi. And that it would not bring any increase in jobs nor would it bring the increase in housing there. No one in Flora would be able to have the high paying jobs such as chemist, biologist or scientist. I feel like that most of the jobs will be janitorial work, construction work. Therefore the construction work would only last while the plant is being built. After the plant is being built there will no longer be any need for the construction work.

2) 15.5

I just feel like this is not a good move for the citizens of Flora.

Thank you.

Bye.

Comment No: 1 Issue Code: 25.5

DHS notes the commentor's opposition to the Flora Industrial Park Site Alternative.

Comment No: 2 Issue Code: 15.5

DHS notes the commentor's statement regarding employment. In addition to creating temporary construction jobs, the proposed action is expected to directly and indirectly support permanent jobs. A portion of the permanent jobs at the NBAF will be filled by the local labor force and the household spending by new residents and the operations of the NBAF are expected to indirectly support additional jobs that will be filled by the local labor force. The number of short-term and permanent jobs that would be directly and indirectly created by the construction and operations of the NBAF at the Flora Industrial Park Site are discussed in Chapter 3 of Section 3.10.5 of the NBAF EIS.

DHS notes the commentor's statement regarding housing. Section 3.10. 5. 3.2 of the NBAF EIS concludes that the overall effect of NBAF on housing market conditions would be negligible. It is expected that the housing market would be able to meet the relatively small increase in housing demand generated by NBAF employees relocating to the area.

Anonymous PD0226, Anonymous PD0226

Page 1 of 1

PD0226

August 22, 2008

1| 25.5
2| 19.5
3| 15.5

Hi. I am a lifelong resident of Madison County, Mississippi. I am greatly opposed to building the Agro Defense Lab in Flora, Mississippi. I believe that it poses health risks or possible health risks associated with any accidents that could happen at the facility—health risks that could affect the livestock in the area and impacting business in the area.

Thank you for your time.

Comment No: 1 Issue Code: 25.5

DHS notes the commentor's opposition to the Flora Industrial Park Site Alternative.

Comment No: 2 Issue Code: 19.5

DHS notes the commentor's concern regarding the potential health risks posed by a NBAF accident. Section 3.14 investigates the chances of a variety of accidents that could occur with the proposed NBAF and consequences of potential accidents, Accidents could occur in the form of procedural violations (operational accidents), natural phenomena accidents, external events, and intentional acts. Although some accidents are more likely to occur than others (e.g., safety protocol not being followed), the chances of an accidental release are low. The specific objective of the hazard identification, accident analysis, and risk assessment is to identify the likelihood and consequences from accidents or intentional subversive acts. In addition to identifying the potential for or likelihood of the scenarios leading to adverse consequences, this analysis provides support for the identification of specific engineering and administrative controls to either prevent a pathogen release or mitigate the consequences of such a release. The risk of an accidental release of a pathogen is extremely low.

Comment No: 3 Issue Code: 15.5

DHS notes the commentor's concern about potential impacts to local business from an accident. The risk of an accidental release of a pathogen is extremely low, but DHS acknowledges that the possible economic effect would be significant for all sites. Section 3.10.9 of the NBAF EIS presents estimates of the possible economic effect of an accidental release.

Anonymous PD0228, Anonymous PD0228

Page 1 of 1

PD0228

August 22, 2008

1) 25.4 I'm calling in regards to the lab that would be built at Manhattan. And we are against it because we do not want these deadly germs in Kansas. We believe that they still belong where they are now. And that that is the best place for this building to be at--on Plum Island. And there's just too much chance of human error and it would contaminate our State. First of all, life is too precious and second it would be a big monetary loss to our State.

2) 24.1
3) 21.4
4) 15.4

Thank you very much.

Comment No: 1 Issue Code: 25.4

DHS notes the commentor's opposition to the Manhattan Campus Site Alternative.

Comment No: 2 Issue Code: 24.1

DHS notes the commentor's opposition to the five mainland site alternatives, in particular, the Manhattan Campus Site Alternative in favor of the Plum Island Site Alternative. The NBAF would be designed and constructed using modern biocontainment technologies, and operated by trained staff and security personnel to ensure the maximum level of worker and public safety and least risk to the environment in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations.

Comment No: 3 Issue Code: 21.4

DHS notes the commentor's concern regarding a potential accident during NBAF operations. Section 3.14 of the NBAF EIS investigates the chances of a variety of accidents that could occur with the proposed NBAF and consequences of potential accidents. Accidents could occur in the form of procedural violations (operational accidents), natural phenomena accidents, external events, and intentional acts. Although some accidents are more likely to occur than others (e.g., safety protocol not being followed), the chances of an accidental release based on human error are low in large part due to the design and implementation of biocontainment safeguards in conjunction with rigorous personnel training. For example, as described in Section 2.2.2.1, all laboratory staff would receive thorough pre-operational training, as well as ongoing training, in the handling of hazardous infectious agents, understanding biocontainment functions of standard and special practices for each biosafety level, and understanding biocontainment equipment and laboratory characteristics.

Comment No: 4 Issue Code: 15.4

Section 3.14 and Appendix E of the NBAF EIS investigate the chances of a variety of accidents that could occur with the proposed NBAF and consequences of potential accidents. DHS cannot guarantee that the NBAF would never experience an accident; however, the risk of an accidental release of a pathogen from the NBAF is extremely low. The economic impact of an accidental release, including the impact on the livestock-related industries, is presented in Section 3.10.9 and Appendix D. The major economic effect from an accidental release of a pathogen would be a potential ban on all U.S. livestock products until the country was determined to be disease-free.

Anonymous PD0230, Anonymous PD0230

Page 1 of 1

PD0230

August 22, 2008

1 | 25.4

I am against the NBAF in Manhattan, Kansas. I do not want it in my town.

Thank you.

Comment No: 1

Issue Code: 25.4

DHS notes the commentor's opposition to the Manhattan Campus Site Alternative.