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Abstract

The National Intellectual Property Rights Coordination Center (IPR Center), led by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, is a multi-agency task force that serves as the Federal Government’s clearinghouse for investigations into violations of intellectual property rights, including counterfeiting and piracy. The IPR Center solicits complaints from victims, witnesses, and public and private organizations and uses this information to analyze, process, and deconflict them in order to ensure that the appropriate investigative partner agency can examine and resolve the complaint. The IPR Center is conducting this Privacy Impact Assessment because the IPR Center solicits information through a public-facing website, some of which is personally identifiable.

Overview


The IPR Center’s mission is to coordinate and enhance Intellectual Property (IP) enforcement, raise awareness of the economic and social impact of the trade in counterfeit products, create IP crime investigation training programs, and actively engage in and improve enforcement and coordination efforts. The IPR Center places particular emphasis on protecting the health and safety of U.S. consumers, investigating major criminal organizations engaged in transnational IP crime, and pursuing the illegal proceeds derived from sales of counterfeit merchandise. The IPR Center works with copyright owners, trade associations, and both foreign and domestic law enforcement agencies. Through its website, the IPR Center accepts allegations concerning IPR violations from individuals and entities in both the public and private sector. In fiscal year (FY) 2013, the IPR Center received 8,540 complaints and leads regarding alleged IP crimes. These complaints are analyzed by IPR Center staff (member agency representatives and
supporting personnel) or at partner agencies (in the case of complaints that fall under the jurisdiction of the particular partner).

When a complaint is submitted to the IPR Center’s website or through its telephone line, it is entered into an internal database and then analyzed by designated agency representatives to the IPR Center to determine if additional information is required and whether any investigations relating to the complaint are already ongoing. If there are no current investigations, the complaint will be examined to decide if it should be opened as a criminal investigation. In that event, a lead agency (one or more) is identified for investigative purposes, based on the commodity involved, the origin of the lead, the agency with legal jurisdiction, and a rotation schedule. Once an investigation has commenced, the IPR Center continues to track and monitor its progress, providing investigative, deconfliction, and coordination assistance as appropriate. A working group composed of representatives of partner agencies meets weekly to review lead and case deconfliction results, discuss lead viability and dissemination, and coordinate identified overlap between agency efforts. The IPR Center database will consist of only lead information and investigative follow-up activity will not be tracked or input into the database. That information will be maintained in the appropriate investigative case management system at the appropriate agency.

The IPR Center is modernizing and automating its operations in an effort to gain efficiencies and effectiveness. It has developed a new complaint form that appears on its website. Individuals who wish to report an alleged IPR violation may voluntarily include identifying information, such as name, telephone number, email address, and any other pertinent details about the IPR matter they wish to report. Completing the form with personally identifiable information (PII) is voluntary, but resolution of the matter may depend on obtaining sufficient contact information in order to pursue the issue, particularly if there is a need for additional clarifying details. The IPR Center will automate the intake, development, deconfliction, and referral of investigative lead information intended for the purpose of initiating or resolving criminal and civil investigations and will support actions involving IPR violations. The IPR Center will accept complaints or new submissions of potential IP violations through its website (http://www.iprcenter.gov/), populate an internal database with the particulars, and circulate by encrypted email the new submissions to agency partners to determine whether an investigation is warranted.

In addition to maintaining any PII from individuals submitting the complaints in its internal database, the IPR Center may also maintain other PII derived from these complaints, such as information pertaining to violators (e.g., name, business or personal address, or other identifying details). Other PII in the database will consist of identifiers of ICE personnel who are involved in maintaining the IPR Center’s website and its activities. The IPR public-facing website and internal database will be maintained by an ICE administrator who will ensure that those who view information have a need to know.
Section 1.0 Authorities and Other Requirements

1.1 What specific legal authorities and/or agreements permit and define the collection of information by the project in question?


1.2 What Privacy Act System of Records Notice(s) (SORN(s)) apply to the information?

To the extent that information in the IPR Center’s database is about individuals and is retrieved by their names or personal identifiers, SORN coverage is provided by DHS/ICE-009, External Investigations.¹

1.3 Has a system security plan been completed for the information system(s) supporting the project?

The security of the IPR Center’s public-facing website has, until recently, been the responsibility of a partner agency. The security responsibilities are being transitioned to ICE, and a revised system security plan will be developed and approved by ICE.

1.4 Does a records retention schedule approved by the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) exist?

No. ICE is currently drafting a records schedule for its Investigative Case Files. The schedule proposes retention for 15 years after a case is closed, to consist of transfer to a Federal Records Center after five years and destruction after an additional 20 years. As proposed, allegations that do not rise to the level of an investigation will be maintained only for five years after a determination is made not to pursue them. ICE will retain all IPR Center records until NARA approves the final IPR records schedule.

¹ DHS/ICE-009 External Investigations, 75 FR 404 (Jan. 5, 2010).
1.5 If the information is covered by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), provide the OMB Control number and the agency number for the collection. If there are multiple forms, include a list in an appendix.

The IPR Center is currently seeking OMB approval (and a control number) for its complaint form that it will use on its website to collect information from the public.

Section 2.0 Characterization of the Information

The following questions are intended to define the scope of the information requested and/or collected, as well as reasons for its collection.

2.1 Identify the information the project collects, uses, disseminates or maintains

The IPR Center form collects identifying information provided voluntarily from the complainants regarding potential or actual intellectual property crimes. This information consists of full name, address, telephone number, email address, and website address, if pertinent. The form also asks questions about the alleged crime, including details about the alleged perpetrator, if known. The IPR Center maintains information on the status of its deconfliction and investigative efforts in its database.

2.2 What are the sources of the information and how is the information collected for the project?

Initially, information is collected from the public (which includes individuals, trade associations, members of industry, law enforcement, and other government agencies) who complete complaint forms for reporting allegations of intellectual property crimes. The information is then routed to agency partners participating in the IPR Center (either at the IPR Center or at their home agencies) for review and analysis to determine if the complaint is one that is currently being investigated, is similar to other complaints that are under investigation, or is something new. If the information is sent to partner agencies, it is sent as an encrypted email.

Receiving offices query their respective records and advise the IPR Center whether they have a potential matching record to deconflict or jurisdictional interest in the matter. If the complaint presents a novel issue, it is assigned to the agency with appropriate jurisdiction or, if

---

2 In this context, deconfliction is the process by which the IPR Center ensures that a new investigative lead is not already being investigated by one of its participating agencies. The purpose of deconfliction is to ensure agencies do not expend resources in a duplicative or redundant manner, and to ensure the safety of law enforcement officers who are working on the case.
there is no clear jurisdictional nexus, to the next agency on a rotation list of participants that are available for an investigation.

2.3 Does the project use information from commercial sources or publicly available data? If so, explain why and how this information is used.

Yes. The starting point for any investigation by IPR Center agency members is the complaint form. In determining whether to open an investigation, IPR Center members may manually query commercial databases or publicly available information as part of the analysis of the complaint, e.g., to obtain additional information about the subject of a complaint and to determine if it is actionable. The resultant information could be maintained in the IPR Center database, in an agency’s investigative case file, or both, if the agency decides to open an investigation.

2.4 Discuss how accuracy of the data is ensured.

Complainants voluntarily submit their own PII. Complainants also submit information about alleged IPR perpetrators. The accuracy of the submitted information may be able to be verified during the deconfliction process if other complaints from the same person or entity or about the same alleged perpetrator have been received. It is not until the complaint is referred for investigation, however, that the accuracy of what is alleged can be verified or disproved.

2.5 Privacy Impact Analysis: Related to Characterization of the Information

Privacy Risk: There is a risk that allegations about the potential perpetrator of an intellectual property crime may not be accurate.

Mitigation: The review and analysis of complaints that occurs during the deconfliction process, during which information can be corrected and updated as necessary, and the investigative process itself, reduces the potential that inaccurate information will become the basis of law enforcement action.
Section 3.0 Uses of the Information

The following questions require a clear description of the project’s use of information.

3.1 Describe how and why the project uses the information.

The IPR Center will use complaints entered through the IPR Center’s website as the basis to determine whether investigative action is warranted. The PII that the complainant provides may be used for contact purposes if there are additional questions or a need for clarification of the initial information. Information about the alleged perpetrator will be used by IPR Center members to query their data holdings to determine if there is already an investigation underway or if the initiation of one should be undertaken (and by whom). Some of the information may ultimately be included in reports about the IPR Center’s activities. However, the personal identifiers of complainants will not be included in any report that is disseminated outside the IPR Center members.

3.2 Does the project use technology to conduct electronic searches, queries, or analyses in an electronic database to discover or locate a predictive pattern or an anomaly? If so, state how DHS plans to use such results.

No.

3.3 Are there other components with assigned roles and responsibilities within the system?

Yes. U.S. Customs and Border Protection participates in the IPR Center.

3.4 Privacy Impact Analysis: Related to the Uses of Information

Privacy Risk: There is a risk that IPR Center participants will use the information that is collected and maintained for purposes beyond those described in this PIA.

Mitigation: Participants in the IPR Center have agreed to a set of protocols for lead dissemination and case deconfliction that specify, among other provisions, that all information provided during the case deconfliction process is the exclusive property of the submitting partner. No further dissemination of the information is authorized without prior approval from the submitting partner, including to agency field offices. Consequently, the agency with jurisdiction over the complaint can rely on this agreement to safeguard against inappropriate use. With increased automation of IPR Center processes it will be easier to audit agency action to ensure the protocols are followed.
Section 4.0 Notice

The following questions seek information about the project’s notice to the individual about the information collected, the right to consent to uses of said information, and the right to decline to provide information.

4.1 How does the project provide individuals notice prior to the collection of information? If notice is not provided, explain why not.

Individuals or entities that submit complaints to the IPR Center do so voluntarily and are provided with a Privacy Act notice on the submission form that explains the authority, purpose, and uses of their information. Submission of the complaint form constitutes consent for the IPR Center to use the information to look into the complaint further and take action where appropriate. Individuals may provide contact information, but it is not required. If provided, the complainant may be contacted by members of the IPR Center for follow-up.

4.2 What opportunities are available for individuals to consent to uses, decline to provide information, or opt out of the project?

Because submission of personal identifiers of complainants is voluntary, individuals can decline to provide this information and submit a complaint/referral anonymously. The alleged perpetrators who are named in the online submissions will potentially be subjects of investigations and therefore unable to decline the use of their information.

4.3 Privacy Impact Analysis: Related to Notice

There is little privacy risk to the complainant regarding notice because the IPR Center provides a Privacy Act notice to complainants about how their information will be used. The IPR Center notifies complainants that submission of personally identifiable data is voluntary.

Privacy Risk: The risk is that individuals who are the subject of a complaint may be unaware that their information was provided to the IPR Center.

Mitigation: There is no mitigation for this risk. Individuals who are the subject of a complaint will likely be unaware that a complaint was made against him or her.
Section 5.0 Data Retention by the project

The following questions are intended to outline how long the project retains the information after the initial collection.

5.1 Explain how long and for what reason the information is retained.

The proposed retention schedule for IPR Center investigative records is 10 years from the time an investigation is opened. Complaints that are not deemed sufficient for investigation are proposed to be maintained for five years after that determination has been made. Once the retention schedule is approved for these records, the destruction date will be calculated based on the date of the record (and pertinent action pertaining to it). These retention periods will facilitate the IPR Center’s ability to make comparisons among cases and analyze trends over time.

5.2 Privacy Impact Analysis: Related to Retention

Privacy Risk: Until the retention schedule is approved, IPR Center records must be maintained as permanent records. Therefore, there is a risk that information submitted by individuals will be retained for longer than necessary.

Mitigation: This risk is partially mitigated. The Federal Records Act requires agencies to schedule records or, in the absence of an approved schedule, to maintain them until a schedule is approved. The risk is partially mitigated for complainants because complainants can submit information anonymously. For individuals who are named as alleged perpetrators, the risk is more significant, but through investigation the subject may be cleared of any suspicion and the records updated accordingly. Once the retention schedule for IPR Center records is approved, the record destruction date will be calculated from the date the complaint was resolved, not the date the schedule was approved.

Section 6.0 Information Sharing

The following questions are intended to describe the scope of the project information sharing external to the Department. External sharing encompasses sharing with other federal, state and local governments, and private sector entities.

6.1 Is information shared outside of DHS as part of the normal agency operations? If so, identify the organization(s) and how the information is accessed and how it is to be used.

Yes. U.S. law enforcement agencies have overlapping areas of responsibility for enforcing intellectual property laws. Recognizing that collective leverage of resources and expertise is essential to success, the IPR Center shares information and promotes a coordinated U.S. Government response to IPR enforcement. Complaints about alleged intellectual property
crimes received by the IPR Center consequently are shared among the partners. IPR Center members also share information from investigations that will aid future inquiries, such as emerging trends and new infringing technologies.

6.2 Describe how the external sharing noted in 6.1 is compatible with the SORN noted in 1.2.

ICE’s External Investigations SORN covers the information that ICE collects through the IPR Center and permits sharing with other law enforcement agencies for purposes of collaboration, coordination, and deconfliction of cases. Routine uses K (law enforcement efforts pertaining to export violations), Q (law enforcement intelligence), and S (deconfliction), among others, permit sharing of information for these purposes: they are compatible with the stated purpose of the system, which is to identify potential criminal activity, to uphold and enforce the law, and to ensure public safety.

6.3 Does the project place limitations on re-dissemination?

Onward sharing of information is permitted to the extent necessary for the agency with lead authority to investigate allegations in a complaint and with the consent of the agency partner whose information is to be shared. Information about cases, stripped of personally identifiable details, may also be used in the IPR Center’s substantial outreach and training efforts. Since July 2008, the IPR Center has conducted nearly 2,000 outreach and training events.

6.4 Describe how the project maintains a record of any disclosures outside of the Department.

The spreadsheet on which complaints are maintained logs information about disclosures of the information for purposes of compiling reports and developing statistical information. The same log can be used to maintain a record of disclosures of any PII that is provided by complainants.

6.5 Privacy Impact Analysis: Related to Information Sharing

Privacy Risk: There is a risk that data will be shared more widely among partner agencies than is necessary to assign responsibility for any follow-up investigation.

Mitigation: Agencies that participate in the IPR Center agree to a set of protocols that govern the way complaints are handled and information is used by all members of the Center. Use of the information includes access to the IPR Center’s internal database on a need-to-know basis as determined by ICE Homeland Security Investigations (HSI) representatives at the IPR Center.
Section 7.0 Redress

The following questions seek information about processes in place for individuals to seek redress which may include access to records about themselves, ensuring the accuracy of the information collected about them, and/or filing complaints.

7.1 What are the procedures that allow individuals to access their information?

Individuals seeking access to records maintained as a result of their complaint to the IPR Center may submit a request in writing to the ICE Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Office by mail or facsimile by following the procedures posted at http://www.ice.gov/foia/request. Individuals about whom complaints are submitted may be unaware that they have been identified in a complaint to the IPR Center. Their records, when they are retrieved by name or personal identifier, are exempt from access pursuant to the Privacy Act, and may be withheld in whole or in part, to prevent harm to law enforcement investigations or interests. Nevertheless, Privacy Act requests are also considered under FOIA in order to provide the greatest access to the requester, whether complainant or subject.

7.2 What procedures are in place to allow the subject individual to correct inaccurate or erroneous information?

Individuals who submit a complaint to the IPR Center may correct anything that may be inaccurate by submitting an updated complaint. Because investigative action may be taken on the submission, it is important to have accurate information. Individuals who are the subject of a complaint, on the other hand, may be unaware of that status. Their ability to correct the information is limited because once a matter is taken for investigation, some or all of the information may be exempt from correction pursuant to the Privacy Act in order to prevent harm to law enforcement investigations or interests. Through the investigative process, however, information in complaints is verified, and the records that are compiled as a result of any investigation will reflect any updates or corrections that are found to be required.

7.3 How does the project notify individuals about the procedures for correcting their information?

Each participating agency in the IPR Center maintains a link on its home page where information can be found about submitting FOIA and Privacy Act requests. ICE’s instructions for submitting a request can be found at http://www.ice.gov/foia/request. Individuals about whom complaints are submitted may not have notice of that fact, but if the complaint is accepted for investigation, they may have notice as a result of the investigatory process.
7.4 Privacy Impact Analysis: Related to Redress

Privacy Risk: With regard to individuals who submit complaints to the IPR Center, there is a privacy risk that during an investigation, the submitter may not be able to access his or her information. With regard to individuals about whom a complaint is submitted, they may be unaware that a complaint has been lodged that implicates them and therefore unaware of any potential redress.

Mitigation: Individuals who submit complaints control how much information they provide and so can mitigate the privacy risk that their own information will be unavailable while an analysis and potential investigation of their complaint is underway.

Because the records of the IPR Center (those that are not maintained separately in agency investigative files) are collected for a law enforcement purpose, individuals’ rights to be notified of the existence of data about them and how that data are used may be limited, particularly as it concerns individuals who are the subjects of complaints/investigations. Notification to these individuals that information about them is being gathered could compromise the existence of ongoing law enforcement activities.

Section 8.0 Auditing and Accountability

The following questions are intended to describe technical and policy based safeguards and security measures.

8.1 How does the project ensure that the information is used in accordance with stated practices in this PIA?

Agencies that participate in the IPR Center agree to a set of protocols that govern the way complaints are handled and information is used by all members of the Center. Use of the information includes access to the IPR Center’s internal database on a need-to-know basis as determined by ICE Homeland Security Investigations (HSI) representatives at the IPR Center.

A working group, composed of representatives of partner agencies, meets weekly to review lead results and case deconfliction results, discuss lead viability and dissemination, and coordinate identified overlap between agency efforts. In the unlikely event that disagreements cannot be resolved by the working group, the protocols provide that the issues be escalated to the principals of partner agencies.

8.2 Describe what privacy training is provided to users either generally or specifically relevant to the project.

Participating agencies in the IPR Center are responsible for ensuring that their representatives have the requisite background to identify and handle intellectual property crimes.
Additionally, each agency is responsible for any privacy and security training of its personnel. ICE employees are required to have yearly privacy and information security training. Additionally, HSI personnel at the IPR Center will ensure that all personnel with access to the IPR Center database have had appropriate privacy and information security training.

8.3 What procedures are in place to determine which users may access the information and how does the project determine who has access?

As noted above, a set of protocols determines who has access to information collected by the IPR Center and to the Center’s database. If there is overlap, the matter is assigned for joint action to all agencies with jurisdiction. Even after a lead is assigned and a participating agency opens an investigation, the IPR Center continues to monitor its progress, providing coordination assistance as required.

8.4 How does the project review and approve information sharing agreements, MOUs, new uses of the information, new access to the system by organizations within DHS and outside?

If additional agencies wish to participate in the IPR Center and share information about intellectual property crimes, representatives from the agencies agree to be bound by the protocols. Agreement is signified by an addendum to the protocols.

Responsible Officials

Lyn Rahilly
Privacy Officer
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
Department of Homeland Security

Approval Signature

Original signed and on file with the DHS Privacy Office.

Karen L. Neuman
Chief Privacy Officer
Department of Homeland Security