



DHS Exhibit 300 Public Release BY09 (Form) / ICE - Detention and Removal Modernization (2009) (Item)

Form Report, printed by: Administrator, System, Feb 6, 2008

OVERVIEW

General Information

1. Date of Submission:	Sep 10, 2007
2. Agency:	Department of Homeland Security
3. Bureau:	Security, Enforcement and Investigations (SEI)
4. Name of this Capital Asset:	ICE - Detention and Removal Modernization (2009)
5. Unique ID:	024-50-01-02-01-5352-00

(For IT investments only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)

All investments

6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2009? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M ONLY in FY2009, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2009 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)
Mixed Life Cycle
7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?
FY2008
8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap. [LIMIT: 2500 char]
The Immigration and Customs Enforcement's (ICE) Office of Detention and Removal Operations (DRO) primary mission is to provide adequate and appropriate management of aliens in custody, to facilitate the processing of aliens through the immigration enforcement lifecycle, and to enforce their departure from the United States. DRO faces a continued demand to improve its operational performance due to a number of factors such as the Secure Border Initiative (SBI), changes in immigration law, and the roll-out of 287(g) nationwide. Modernizing DRO IT Systems will improve technology and business processes to better manage, track, and report on the movement of aliens through the immigration enforcement lifecycle.
9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?
Yes
9.a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?
Jul 30, 2007
10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?
Yes
12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project?
Yes
12.a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?
Yes
12.b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)
No
12.b.1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?
12.b.2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?
12.b.3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?
13. Does this investment support one of the PMA initiatives?
Yes
If "yes," select the initiatives that apply:

Human Capital	No
Budget Performance Integration	No
Financial Performance	No
Expanded E-Government	Yes

Competitive Sourcing	No
Faith Based and Community	No
Real Property Asset Management	No
Eliminating Improper Payments	No
Privatization of Military Housing	No
R and D Investment Criteria	No
Housing and Urban Development Management and Performance	No
Broadening Health Insurance Coverage through State Initiatives	No
Right Sized Overseas Presence	No
Coordination of VA and DoD Programs and Systems	No

13.a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)

DROM will provide ICE an advanced Business Intelligence reporting and Data Warehousing capability leveraging large data sources currently available in the DHS and ICE component, resulting in instant retrieval of alien data. Moreover, the system will provide Federal (e.g. DHS-ICE, FBI, SSA); State and local (e.g. New York State Corrections) and foreign governments (e.g. Embassy Consulates) a collaborative and automated way to process and manage Alien activity.

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)?

Yes

14.a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review?

Yes

14.b. If "yes," what is the name of the PART program assessed by OMB's Program Assessment Rating Tool?

ICE - Detention and Removal

14.c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?

Moderately Effective

15. Is this investment for information technology (See section 53 for definition)?

Yes

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)?

Level 3

17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)

(4) Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started

18. Is this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4-FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)

No

19. Is this a financial management system?

No

19.a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?

19.a.1. If "yes," which compliance area: [LIMIT: 250 char]

19.a.2. If "no," what does it address? [LIMIT: 500 char]

19.b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52. [LIMIT: 2500 char]

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2009 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Area	Percentage	
Hardware	35.35	
Software	33.17	
Services	17.01	
Other	14.47	
Total	100.00	★

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

No

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?

Yes

Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas?

Yes

SUMMARY OF SPENDING

SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (In Millions)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

All amounts represent Budget Authority

	PY-1 & Earlier	PY	CY	BY
	-2006	2007	2008	2009
Planning:				
Budgetary Resources	1.500	3.470	0.000	0.000
Acquisition:				
Budgetary Resources	2.000	0.000	13.700	11.300
TOTAL, sum of stages:				
Budgetary Resources	3.500	3.470	13.700	11.300
Maintenance:				
Budgetary Resources	13.666	12.430	2.000	1.287
TOTAL, All Stages				
Budgetary Resources	17.166	15.900	15.700	12.587
Government FTE Costs	0.825	0.636	0.580	0.785
# of FTEs	6.00	5.00	4.00	6.00
Total, BR + FTE Cost	17.991	16.536	16.280	13.372

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies).

Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

Yes

2.a. If "yes," how many and in what year? [LIMIT: 500 char]

6 FTE = FY09

5 FTE = FY10

5 FTE = FY11

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2008 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes. [LIMIT: 2500 char]

Changes to the initial FY08 Budget request have been made based on the DROM Cost Benefit Analysis which provided a more comprehensive investment analysis, and provided cost data better aligned to the Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) timing and expenditures. Additionally, DRO conducted a number of enterprise-wide requirements gathering sessions to ensure the Modernization investment captured all DRO requirements. The FY09 budget request reflects the resultant changes allowing a better alignment of DROM projects to the DRO mission.

Changes have been made to ensure the DROM Investment builds upon current ICE Enterprise Architecture (EA), the current ICE information technology (IT) infrastructure, and DRO priorities. The Investment contains project changes and enhancements that:

- Demonstrate ICE commitment to sound program planning and management
- Address Government Accountability Office (GAO) recommendations
- Demonstrate compliance with Office of Management and Budget (OMB) capital planning principles and cost benefit principles, and
- Establish a linkage to the DHS EA

The DROM FY09 Business Case estimates the funding necessary to resolve critical performance gaps in the IT infrastructure's capability to meet expanding functional requirements.